REPUBLIC OF KENYA

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL

Enhancing Accountability

REPORT

OF

THE AUDITOR-GENERAL

ON

COMPETITION AUTHORITY OF KENYA

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE, 2020

PARLIAMENT OF KENYA LIBRARY

THE NATIONAL ASSEMBLY
PAPERS LAID
DAY
DATE: 11 FEB 2021
TABLED BY:
CLERK-AT-THE-TABLE:

COMPETITION AUTHORITY OF KENYA

Creating efficient markets for consumers

COMPETITION AUTHORITY OF KENYA

ANNUAL REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR ENDING

JUNE 30, 2020

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL
P. O. Box 30084 - 00100, NAIROBI
REGISTRY
08 JAN 2021
RECEIVED

Prepared in accordance with the Accrual Basis of Accounting Method under International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acronyms v
Preamble 6
Board Of Directors Fy 2019-2020 10
Management Team 14
Corporate Governance 21
Chairman's Statement 28
Director-General's Statement 31
Internal Audit And Assurance 37
The Authority's Interventions In Response To Covid-19 40
Regulation Of Restrictive Trade Practices 44
Regulation Of Mergers 49
Buyer Power 58
Theme 2: Consumer Protection 65
Theme 3: Planning, Research And Quality Assurance 73
Theme 4: Visibility And Corporate Image 84
Theme 5: Organizational Sustainability 86
Human Capital 86
Statutory Committee Activities 89
Resolution Of Public Complaints 92
Information And Communication Technology 92
Public Procurement 93
Financial Management 95
Appendices 130
1.1 Restrictive Trade Practices Cases 130
1.2 Compliance Matters 148
1.3 Exemptions 153
1.4 Advisory Opinions 154
1.5 Notifiable Mergers For The Fy 2019/2020 Financial Year 155
1.6 Merger Exclusions 176
1.7 Non-Mergers 184

1.8 Mergers Notified To Comesa Competition Commission Under The Competition (General) Rules, 2019 187

1.9 Buyer Power Annual Report Matrix 188

1.10 Consumer Protection Cases 206

Physical Address:

Kenya Railways HQs Block 'D', Ground Floor,
Workshop Road off Haile Selassie Avenue,
P.O Box 36265 – 00200, NAIROBI, Kenya.
Tel: +254-20-2628233 or +254-20-2779000
Website: www.cak.go.ke
Email: info@cak.go.ke

Independent Auditors

Office of the Auditor General,
Anniversary Towers,
P. O. Box 30084 – 00100, NAIROBI.

Principal Legal Advisors

1. The Hon. Attorney-General, State Law Office, P. O. Box 40112 - 00100, NAIROBI	**2.** Rachier & Omollo Advocates, Mayfair Center, 5th Floor, Ralph Bunche/ Argwings Kodhek Road, P. O. Box 55645 – 00200, NAIROBI
3. Goretti Munialo Mwinali Company Advocates, Uchumi House, 14th Floor Aga Khan Walk, P. O. Box 10771 - 00100, NAIROBI	4. Wesonga, Wamalwa & Kariuki Associates Advocates Mezzainine Floor, Suite M14, Madona Hse Westlands P. O. Box 45770 – 00100, NAIROBI
5. Mohammed Muigai Advocates, K-REP Centre, P. O. Box 61323-00200, NAIROBI	6. Kibungei & Co. Advocates Postbank House, 2nd Floor, Banda Street, Haile Selassie Avenue, P.O. BOX 103082 – 00101, NAIROBI

7. Ogola Okello & Company Advocates, Office Suite 14, 1st Floor Green House, Ngong Road, P. O. Box 62550 – 00200, NAIROBI	

Bankers:

KCB Bank Limited, KICC Branch, P. O. Box 30081-00100, NAIROBI	National Bank of Kenya, Times Tower Branch, P O Box 72866-00200, NAIROBI	Absa Bank Yaya Centre Branch P.O Box 30120-00100 NAIROBI

ACRONYMS

CBK	-	Central Bank of Kenya
CIOC	-	Constitutional Implementation Oversight Committee
EAC	-	East Africa Community
FSD (K)	-	Financial Sector Deepening Kenya
SCAC	-	State Corporations Advisory Committee
CCC	-	COMESA Competition Commission
JFTC	-	Japan Fair Trade Commission
ICPEN	-	International Consumer Protection Enforcement Network
ICN	-	International Competition Network
COMESA	-	Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa
CCSA	-	Competition Commission of South Africa
UoN	-	University of Nairobi
MoU	-	Memorandum of Understanding
RTP	-	Restricted Trade Practices
PPRA	-	Public Procurement Regulatory Authority

PREAMBLE

The Competition Authority of Kenya ('the Authority') is a State Corporation created under Section 7 of the Competition Act No. 12 of 2010 (the Act). Its mandate is to enhance the welfare of the people of Kenya by promoting and protecting effective competition in markets and preventing unfair and misleading market conduct throughout Kenya, to:

i. Increase efficiency in the production, distribution and supply of goods and services;
ii. Promote innovation;
iii. Maximize the efficient allocation of resources;
iv. Protect consumers;
v. Create a conducive environment for investment, both foreign and local;
vi. Capture national obligations in competition matters with respect to regional integration initiatives;
vii. Bring national competition law, policy and practice in line with best international practices; and
viii. Promote the competitiveness of national undertakings in World markets.

The Authority has a statutory obligation under section 83 of the Act to prepare an Annual Report for submission to the National Assembly by the Cabinet Secretary/the National Treasury and Planning. The Annual Report captures the overall performance of the Authority, based on its key interventions and performance indicators.

This report provides the nature and scope of the Authority's activities; its plans and priorities including the number and nature of complaints and applications determined and/or under consideration; number and nature of investigations completed and continuing; and significant studies and market inquiries completed during the financial year 2019/20. This report also includes the Authority's Financial Statements for the same period.

Vision

"A Kenyan economy with globally efficient markets and enhanced consumer welfare for shared prosperity"

Mission

"To enhance competition and consumer welfare in the Kenyan economy by regulating market structure and conduct in order to ensure efficient markets for sustainable growth and development."

Motto

Creating efficient markets for consumers.

Core Values

The guiding principles in the operations of the Authority are:

i. Customer focus - commits to attaining the highest standards in service delivery to all stakeholders;
ii. Integrity - commits to acting in an honest, transparent and responsible manner while implementing its programmes;
iii. Professionalism - shall be guided by professional ethics aimed building an appropriate corporate culture and creating the right corporate image;
iv. Impartiality - shall uphold the highest levels of equity by treating all stakeholders without any discrimination whatsoever;
v. Teamwork - shall adopt a participatory approach and work together at all levels in the conduct of its business; and
vi. Innovation and Creativity - shall be a learning organization that embraces change and continuously enhances creativity and innovation in its business processes.

Quality Policy

The Authority is committed to enhance the welfare of the people of Kenya by promoting and protecting effective competition in markets and preventing unfair and misleading market conduct. Towards this, the Authority commits to comply with all applicable statutory requirements and continual improvement of its Quality Management System based on ISO 9001:2015 International Standard.

The Authority's top management commits to review this policy and establish quality objectives biennially to ensure sustained improvement and suitability.

Quality Objectives

1. Provision of quality and effective regulation of both market conduct and structure;
2. Protection of consumers from false and misleading market structure and conduct;
3. Optimum utilization of the Authority's resources in the attainment of its mandate;
4. Enhancement of customer satisfaction that exceeds their expectations by providing quality services;
5. The Authority's operations comply with all applicable laws and regulations;

6. The Authority proactively engages its stakeholders and obtains prompt feedback on its services;
7. The Authority attracts, trains and retains highly qualified, skilled and motivated staff for the realization of its mandate.

Mandate

The Authority's mandate is to promote and safeguard competition in the national economy and to protect consumers from unfair and misleading market conduct. This, as indicated in the Act, has the objective of enhancing the welfare of the people of Kenya. The Act's approach is competition neutrality which means it applies to all persons including the national and devolved Governments' actions, and State Corporations in so far as they engage in trade.

The Authority achieves its mandate through the following specific functions:-

a. Promotion and enforcement of compliance with the Act;
b. Receiving and investigating complaints from legal or natural persons and Consumer entities ;
c. Promoting public knowledge, awareness and understanding of the obligations, rights and remedies under the Act and the duties, functions and activities of the Authority;
d. Promoting the creation of consumer bodies and the establishment of good and proper standards and rules to be followed by such bodies in protecting competition and consumer welfare;
e. Recognizing consumer bodies duly registered under the appropriate national laws as the proper bodies, in their areas of operation, to represent consumers before the Authority;
f. Making available to consumers' information and guidelines relating to the obligations of persons under the Act and the rights and remedies available to consumers under the Act;
g. Carrying out inquiries, studies and research into matters relating to competition and the protection of the interests of consumers;
h. Studying government policies, procedures and programmes, legislation and proposals for legislation so as to assess their effects on competition and consumer welfare and publicizing the results of such studies;
i. Investigating impediments to competition, including entry into and exit from markets, in the economy as a whole or in particular sectors and disseminate the results of such investigations to appropriate publics;
j. Investigating policies, procedures and programmes of regulatory authorities so as to assess their effects on competition and consumer welfare; and disseminate the results of such studies to appropriate public;

k. Participating in deliberations and proceedings of Government, Government Commissions, regulatory authorities and other bodies in relation to competition and consumer welfare;
l. Making representations to Government, Government Commissions, regulatory authorities and other bodies on matters relating to competition and consumer welfare;
m. Liaising with regulatory bodies and other public bodies in all matters relating to competition and consumer welfare; and
n. Advising the government on matters relating to competition and consumer welfare.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS FY 2019-2020

1. **Amb. Nelson Ndirangu, OGW**
   Date of Birth: 31st December, 1952
   Designation: Board Chairman
   Term: 1st Term

   Ambassador Nelson Ndirangu's appointment brings into the Authority a wealth of experience on Competition Policy, including trade policy and economic policy.

   Amb. Ndirangu has strong leadership and trade skills of more than 20 years in trade competition policies, strategic planning, programmatic design, implementation, team building and setting of impact assessment systems. He was involved with the competition Policy issues in the WTO where he articulated Kenya's position regarding possible negotiations on multilateral disciplines in Competition Policy.

   Amb. Ndirangu has a Master of Arts (M.A.), degree and a post Graduate Diploma in International Economic Relations from the University of East Anglia in the UK, a Post Graduate Diploma in International Trade Policy from the WTO, Switzerland and a Bachelor of Commerce Degree (B.Com Hons.) from the University of Nairobi, Kenya.

2. **Mr. Francis W. Kariuki, MBS**
   Date of Birth: 24th July, 1969
   Designation: Director – General
   Term: 2nd term

   Mr. Kariuki has vast experience in competition policy and law formulation enforcement. His main interests are in competition regulation and economics of institutions' development. He is a distinguished authority in the competition enforcement world for his advocacy efforts and other initiatives geared towards modernizing various competition regimes. He is currently involved in various international forums aimed at informing the developing of optimal regulatory regime for Digital economy and online platforms.

   Mr. Kariuki holds a Master of Science (MSc), Degree in Economic Regulation and Competition from City University, London; a Bachelor of Arts (BA), Degree in Economics and Business Studies from Kenyatta University; and various Certificates in Strategic Leadership and Corporate Governance.

3. **Mr. Albert Mwenda**
   Date of Birth: 10th May, 1971
   Designation: Alternate to the Principal Secretary, The National Treasury and Planning
   Term: Appointed in December, 2019

   Mr. Mwenda is the Director-General, Budget, Fiscal and Economic Affairs in The National Treasury. He also represents the Principal Secretary of the National Treasury as an Alternate Member in the Commission on Revenue Allocation. Mr Mwenda has excellent knowledge of public finance and budgeting as well as fiscal decentralisation at national, regional and international levels. Previously, he has made significant contribution in the formulation of finance and procurement related laws such as; the Public Finance Management Act, the Public Procurement & Asset Disposal Act and the Public Audit Act. He has also contributed to the design of Kenya's current framework for management of intergovernmental fiscal transfers and coordinated the formulation of annual Division of Revenue Bills and County Allocation of Revenue Bills.

   Mr. Mwenda served as the Chief Executive and member of the Board of Directors of the Institute of Economic Affairs (IEA) Kenya. Also, has previously worked as a consultant for organizations such as the World Bank, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Norwegian People's Aid (NPA-Rwanda), State University of New York (SUNY Kenya), Society for International Development (SID), among others.

   He holds a Master's degree in Public and Economic Policy from the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE), UK, as well as a Masters of Business Administration (Finance) and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics both from the University of Nairobi (UoN).

4. **Ms. Elizabeth Ng'ang'a**
   Date of Birth: 24th August, 1962
   Designation: Alternate to the Attorney-General
   Term: Appointed in 2012

   Ms. Ng'ang'a is a Parliamentary Counsel in the Office of the Attorney-General. She has undergone various trainings on drafting and has a wide experience in legislative drafting.

Over the years, she has served on numerous committees and task forces as a drafting expert, more recently being drafting financial legislation under the National Treasury and Planning. She is an advocate of the High Court of Kenya and holds a Bachelor of Laws (LLB) Degree from the University of Nairobi, as well as a Postgraduate Advanced Diploma in Legislative and Financial Drafting from the University of West Indies Cave Hill Campus, Barbados.

5. **Ms. Redempta Oyeyo**
   Date of Birth: 10th July, 1960
   Designation: Alternate to the Principal Secretary, State Department for Trade
   Term: Appointed in February, 2019

   Ms. Oyeyo has built her career largely within the public sector having over fifteen (15) years in the public service. She spearheaded the task force that developed the Draft Retail Trade Regulations, 2018 and Code of Practice for the Retail Sector.

   She holds a Master of Business Administration (MBA) (Strategic Management) from the University of Nairobi and a Bachelor of Education (Hons.) degree in Business Studies and Economics from Kenyatta University.

6. **Dr. Abdirizak Nunow**
   Date of Birth: 5th April, 1965
   Designation: Independent member
   Term: Appointed in October, 2019

   Dr. Nunow is a Senior Lecturer in Moi University's School of Arts and Social Sciences where he teaches Natural Resources Management in the Department of Geography. He was a member of the Constitution of Kenya Review Commission (CKRC) that undertook the writing of a new constitution for the country. In addition, he worked with the Inter-Parliamentary Union of IGAD (IPU-IGAD) Member States based in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. He was a member of a Working Group appointed by the Budget and Appropriation Committee (BAC) of the National Assembly, to carry out Socio-Economic Audit of the Constitution of Kenya, 2010. Dr. Nunow has wide experience in consultancies in social development, strategic planning, impact assessment and environmental audit, among other areas.

   He holds a PhD in Environmental and Behavioural Sciences from the University of Amsterdam, a Masters of Philosophy in Environmental Planning from Moi University and a Bachelor of Arts in Economics and Business studies from Kenyatta University.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS WHOSE TERM EXPIRED DURING THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2019-2020

1. **Ms. Leila Ali**
   Date of Birth: 11th January, 1979
   Designation: Independent Member
   Term: Appointed in October, 2019

   Ms. Ali has over ten (10) years managerial experience in the financial industry. She has been actively involved in setting up small and medium sized companies from inception to launching into profitable and successful companies. She has wealth of experience having served in various boards, including Wangari Mathai foundation, Elimu Plus Limited and Acorn Properties UK. Her background provides the Board with expertise in financial and operational issues.

   Ms. Leila is a holder of a Bachelor's degree in Accounting & Finance (Hons.) from London South Bank University. She is also an ACCA Affiliate.

2. **Mr. Wekesa Khaoya**
   Date of Birth: 1st January, 1972
   Designation: Alternate to the Principal Secretary, the National Treasury and Planning
   Term: Appointed in October, 2018

   Mr. Khaoya is a Financial Analyst at the National Treasury. He has wide experience in budgeting and economic planning in the public sector. He has previously held positions of Deputy Chief Economist in various ministries and Director of Policy and Research with the National Sports Fund.

   Currently, Mr. Khaoya is a Deputy Director of Budget at the National Treasury where he convenes the Governance, Justice, Law and Order Sector. He holds a Master of Science (MSc) from the University of Dortmund, Germany and a B.A (Hons.) degree in Economics and Applied Mathematics from the University of Nairobi. He is a member of the International Society for SPRING Planners and an Associate Member of the Kenya Institute of Management (KIM).

MANAGEMENT TEAM

Wang'ombe Kariuki, MBS
Director - General

Mr. Kariuki has vast experience in competition policy and law formulation enforcement. His main interests are in competition regulation and economics of institutions' development. He is a distinguished authority in the competition enforcement world for his advocacy efforts and other initiatives geared towards modernizing various competition regimes. He is currently involved in various international forums aimed at informing the developing of optimal regulatory regime for Digital economy and online platforms.

Mr. Kariuki holds a Master of Science (MSc), Degree in Economic Regulation and Competition from City University, London; a Bachelor of Arts (BA), Degree in Economics and Business Studies from Kenyatta University; and various Certificates in Strategic Leadership and Corporate Governance.

Mr. Boniface Makongo
Director, Competition and Consumer Protection
Mr. Makongo has over 15 years of experience in litigation, corporate and commercial law. He joined the Competition Authority of Kenya in December, 2013 as the Manager, Legal Services. He was appointed Director, Competition and Consumer Protection on 5th May, 2019, placing him in charge of the Authority's three technical departments. Mr. Makongo has previously worked for both Constituencies Development Fund Board and Water Services Trust Fund as a Legal Officer, heading both institutions Legal Departments which he also helped establish.

Mr. Makongo holds a Master's Degree in International Economic Law from the University of South Africa, a Bachelor of Law Degree from Moi University and a Diploma in Management of Information Systems from the Institute for Management of Information Systems (UK) as well as a postgraduate Diploma in Corporate Governance and is a Certified Public Secretary. He is an active member of the Law Society of Kenya and ICPS (K).

Dr. Adano Wario Roba
Director, Planning, Research and Quality Assurance
Dr. Roba joined the Authority in July 2018. He is responsible for developing effective strategies for economic analysis and policy, research, strategy and quality management system and performance management. He has conducted extensive applied research covering broad areas of interest and therefore brings nearly 15 years of research and policy experience to the Authority.

Prior to joining the Authority, Dr. Roba was a Senior Policy Analyst at the National Treasury's Fiscal & Economic Affairs Department. During his tenure, he was involved in several taskforces that developed legislation on climate change, petroleum, minerals, energy and their related policies and regulations.

Dr. Roba has also served as a Senior Lecturer in environmental economics at University of Eldoret and Moi University. Dr Roba earned his PhD (*cum laude*) from the University of Amsterdam (the Netherlands) and an MSc from the University of York (UK). He has a number of monographs, peer reviewed articles and book chapters to his name, and also in collaboration with colleagues.

Mr. Eric Mwangi
Director, Corporate Services
Mr. Mwangi joined the Authority in December 2014 as the Manager Internal Audit. He was appointed Director Corporate Services in February 2018. He has over eleven (11) years' experience in the audit of the Government Parastatals. Previously, he had worked with Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS), Kenya Film Commission (KFC) as the Manager, Internal Audit and Kenya National Audit Office (KENAO) which is currently the Office of the Auditor General (OAG) as an Auditor.

He is a holder of Bachelor of Science Degree (Hons.) and Masters of Business Administration (Finance Option) from the University of Nairobi. He is a Certified Public Accountant (Kenya), Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE) and Certified Information Systems Auditor (CISA).

Mr. Mwangi is an active member of the Institute of Certified Public Accountants (ICPAK), Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE) and Information System and Control Association (ISACA). He is also a qualified Quality Management System ISO 9001: 2015 Auditor.

Mr. Gideon Mokaya
Manager, Enforcement and Compliance
Mr. Gideon Mokaya is a holder of a Master of Business Administration from University of Nairobi (2006). Additionally, he is a Certified fraud examiner (CFE) and a Certified Public Accountant (CPAK). Mr. Mokaya has over seventeen years work experience in forensic investigations and audit, having worked at the Kenya National Audit Office and the Kenya Anti-corruption Commission.

He has broad experience as a team leader in forensic investigations. Mr. Mokaya has also undergone extensive training locally and abroad on investigations skills, developing reliable evidence among others.

Mr. Boniface Kamiti
Manager, Consumer Protection
Mr. Boniface Kamiti holds a Master of Global Competition and Consumer Law Degree from the University of Melbourne, a Master of Arts Degree in Economic Policy and Management from the University of Nairobi and a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Social Science (Economics) from The Catholic University of Eastern Africa.

He has expertise in consumer related investigations, Corporate Governance and Results Based Management (RBM). Boniface is a member of Institute of Directors of Kenya (IOD-K). He has headed the Consumer Protection Department since its inception in 2015.

Ms. Ninette Mwarania
Manager, Planning, Policy and Research
Ms. Mwarania joined the Authority in 2018 and heads the Planning, Policy and Research (PPR) Department which, is the Central Planning Unit for the Authority. Additionally, PPR monitors performance to ensure that the Authority's vision is realized, advances the Authority's internal and external knowledge management and enhances institutional risk management practices.

Ninette has over thirteen (13) years' work experience in marketing and social research in both the private and public sector, having previously worked with Consumer Insight Africa, Millward Brown East Africa and the Kenya Tourism Board.

Ninette holds a Master of Business Administration (Marketing Management) Degree from the University of Nairobi and a Bachelor of Commerce (Banking and Finance) Degree from Kenyatta University. She is an active member of the Marketing and Social Research Association (MSRA).

Mr. Raphael Mburu
Manager, Mergers and Acquisitions
Mr. Mburu joined the Authority in December 2014. He possesses advanced skills in Competition Law and Economics dealing with regulation of market structure. He holds a Bachelors Degree in Science (Statistics and Chemistry) from JKUAT, has completed graduate studies in Economics for Competition Law and EU Competition Law for King's College London and has undergone various leadership courses.

Prior to joining the Authority, Mr. Mburu worked in the telecommunications and advertisement sectors.

Ms. Priscilla Njako
Manager, Buyer Power
Ms. Njako joined the Authority in March 2019. Prior to that she worked both in legal practice and at various institutions of higher learning. In her career experience of 20 years, she served at managerial positions, including as Head of Faculty and Head of Examinations and Research.

Priscilla's key responsibilities, include investigating complaints of Abuse of Buyer Power and delayed payments and carrying out market assessment. Her duties also include engaging in research to identify the most effective practices for effective enforcement. Priscilla has a keen interest in enforcement of competition law in ways that are apt for the needs of developing countries.

Priscilla holds a Master of Laws Degree (LLM) from the University of South Africa, Bachelor of Laws Degree (LLB) from University of Nairobi and a Diploma in Law from the Kenya School of Law. She also holds a Certified Public Secretaries qualification (CPSK) from Kenya Accountants and Secretaries Board (KASNEB) and various certificates in Competition Law. She is an active member of the Law Society of Kenya.

Mr. Anthony Njagi (exited in November 2019)
Manager, Human Resources and Administration
Mr. Njagi joined the Authority in May 2013. Previously, he worked at Kenya Electricity Generating Company Ltd where he was involved in implementation of various staff welfare programs. His main interests are in staff development and welfare issues.

He holds a Master of Business Administration from Moi University, Bachelor of Arts degree in Sociology from Kenyatta University and Higher National Diploma in Human Resource Management. He has certificates on leadership and management. He is also a member of institute of Human Resources Management.

Ms. Faith Marete (December 2019 to date)
Manager, Human Resources and Administration
CHRP Faith Marete is a seasoned professional with distinct experience in Human Resource leadership and talent development which she has acquired through training, practice, and networking. Ms. Marete is responsible for the implementation of the Authority's strategies, policies and programs relating to the advancement of the Authority's human capital in order to facilitate the effective execution of the organizational mandate.

She holds a Master of Business Administration (Strategic Management) from the Catholic University of Eastern Africa and Bachelor of Science degree in Human Resource Management from the Moi University.

Ms. Marete is a Certified Human Resource Practitioner (CHRP) with over 10 years' experience, gained from various organizations in the Public and Private Sector. She is also an active and certified member of the Institute of Human Resource Management (IHRM).

Ms. Alexia Waweru
Acting Head, Legal Department

Ms. Alexia joined the Authority in April, 2015 as a legal officer. She currently manages the Board activities at the Authority and oversees the functions of the Legal Department since May 2019.

She is an advocate of the High Court of Kenya for 10 years standing, having being admitted to the bar in 2011. Her background is majorly in telecommunications law, commercial law and competition law. She previously worked as a litigation associate at Kirundi and Company advocates and Kiptinness and Odhiambo advocates, and in the telecommunications industry, in Safaricom Limited's Regulatory and Public Policy Department.

Alexia is an active member of the Law Society of Kenya (LSK) and currently serves as a member of the LSK Corporate (In-House) & Public Sector Committee. Previously she has served in various leadership positions such as; member of the LSK Committee on Continuous Professional Development (CCPD) which is in charge of the advocates legal training in Kenya, the East Africa Young Lawyers Conference committee, the LSK Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) committee and as a member of the LSK Young Lawyers committee. In addition, she has actively been involved in training lawyers and various stakeholders in the area of competition law and practice.

Alexia holds a Bachelor of Laws (LLB) degree in Law, and has completed Master's studies in International Trade and Investment Law at the University of Nairobi. In addition, she is an associate member of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (Kenya Branch).

Mr. Mugambi Mutegi
Manager, Communications and External Relations
Prior to joining the Authority, Mr. Mugambi worked at the Nation Media Group (NMG). He served as a writer and editor attached to the Business Daily publication, taking a keen interest in competition law and policy.

His main role at the Communications & External Relations Department is ensuring that the Authority's stakeholders are well appraised about the Authority's mandate. The department develops and executes strategies through which the Authority can increase its visibility as well as enhance its corporate image among its key stakeholders.

Mugambi's background working at a leading media company has benefitted the Authority as it seeks to strength its relations with members of the 4th estate, a key stakeholder in creating awareness about the Authority's mandate. Mugambi holds a Bachelor's degree in Biotechnology from the Kenyatta University.

Ms. Mercelline Anduro
Manager, Information and Communications Technology (ICT)
Ms. Anduro was appointed Manager ICT in February 2019. She has over 14 years' experience in network management, database administration, web design, corporate communication, hardware and software maintenance and user support from the Public Sector. She has been involved in various ICT projects, ICT Strategy formulation, execution and alignment with business, ICT security, Business Continuity Management, Project Management and Forensic investigations.

Ms. Anduro has vast experience in information communication and technology field drawn from over 12 years' experience working with the Public sector. She holds a degree in Business Information Technology from Kenya Methodist University, Diploma in Computer Studies from Pwani University. She is also a qualified Microsoft Certified Solutions Associate and Microsoft Certified Professional. She has attended various management courses including Corporate Governance, Risk Management, Information Security management and Business Continuity management. She is an active member of the Computer Society of Kenya.

Mr. Ochieng' Ochiel
Manager, Internal Audit
Mr. Ochiel has vast experience in the fields of auditing, finance and taxation both in public and private sectors spanning over sixteen years. He holds a Masters of Business Administration (MBA) degree from the University of Nairobi, a Bachelors of Commerce degree (Accounting) from Daystar University, Certified Public Accountant (CPAK) and Certified Information Systems Auditor (CISA) from Strathmore University.

Prior to his appointment as the Manager, Internal Audit at the Authority, he served as the Senior Internal Audit and Risk Management officer at the Kenya Civil Aviation Authority. His prior work experience were at the Kenya Rural Roads Authority (internal auditor), Compassion International (partnership auditor) and Kenya Utalii College (senior internal auditor).

Mr. Ochiel's key responsibilities include offering independent and objective assurance on the effectiveness of the internal controls, risk management and governance processes. He is a member of the Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK) and the Information System and Control Association (ISACA).

Mr. Walter Masinde
Manager, Supply Chain Management
Mr. Masinde holds a Master of Business Administration (MBA) degree (Procurement & Supply Chain Management) from the University of Nairobi, Bachelor of Business Administration (BBA) and possesses a postgraduate Diploma in Purchasing and Supply Chain Management (CIPS-UK), Diploma in Supplies Management (KNEC) and a Procurement Practitioners License from the Kenya Institute of Supplies Management.

He holds professional memberships to the Kenya Institute for Supplies Management (KISM) and the Chartered Institute of Purchasing and Supply (UK). Mr. Masinde is an accomplished professional in Supply Chain Management with over ten (10) years' experience.

Mr. Ambrose Ageng'a
Manager, Finance
CPA Ambrose Ageng'a is a holder of a Master of Business Administration (Finance option) degree from the University of Nairobi and a Bachelor of Commerce Degree from the Catholic University of Eastern Africa. He is also a Certified Public Accountant of Kenya (CPAK) and an active member of the Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK).

CPA Ageng'a has over nineteen (19) years' work experience in both the private and public sector, having previously worked with Mastermind Tobacco, Kenya Revenue Authority and the Salaries and Remuneration Commission. He has also been involved in audit committee activities at both the County and National Government levels.

Ms. Beryl Mwandale
Head, Risk and Quality Assurance
Ms. Mwandale has experience in the fields of auditing, finance, enterprise risk management, business continuity, policy analysis and quality assurance both in public and private sectors spanning over ten years. Ms. Mwandale holds a Master's of Finance Degree from the University of Dundee and a Bachelor of Science Degree in Actuarial Science from the University of Nairobi.

She is also a qualified ISO 9001:2015 Quality Management System Auditor, ISO 31000:2018 Lead Risk Manager, ISO 27001:2013 implementer and ISO 22301 implementer. She has attended various courses including Competition Law and Policy, Risk Management, Information Security management and Business Continuity management. She is also an ACCA Affiliate.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Act, in Section 10 provides that the Board shall comprise of; a Chairman, five (5) independent, non-executive members, appointed from among persons experienced in competition and consumer welfare matters; the following statutory members; the Principal Secretaries to the National Treasury and Planning; the Ministry of Industry, Trade and Co-operatives and the Hon. Attorney – General; and the Director – General who is an *ex-officio* member of the Board. The Board brings together Members from different professional backgrounds with diverse skills, competencies and complies broadly with the constitutional gender balance requirements as shown in Figures 1 and 2 below.

Figure 1: Professional Background of Board Members



Figure 2: Board Gender Representation



The Board is responsible and accountable to the Government through the National Treasury and Planning, and adheres to the highest standards of corporate governance and ethics as well as ensuring compliance with all applicable laws. It is committed to ensuring that the Authority's obligations, roles and responsibilities to its various stakeholders are fulfilled through its corporate governance practices. The Members and Management perform their duties with impartiality, honesty, transparency and accountability, professionalism, integrity, care and due diligence and act in good faith to the best interests of the public.

Further, the Board is committed to ensuring that ethics and integrity remain at the core of the Authority's operations. It recognizes that ethical management is key to the Authority's sustainability and is, therefore, continuously putting in place practices, systems and processes to integrate ethics in all the Authority's operations. All new members and staff equally undergo mandatory induction training that includes ethical conduct and are required to sign the Code of Conduct and Ethics and to adhere to its principles and provisions.

The Board Chair

The Chairman provides leadership and governance to the Board and creates conditions for overall Board and individual Director's effectiveness, by ensuring that all key and appropriate issues are discussed by the Board in a timely manner. He ensures that the Board plays a full and constructive part in the development and determination of the Authority's strategies and policies. He also ensures that the Board is supplied with timely and sufficient information to enable it discharge its duties effectively. In furtherance of the above, the Chairman ensures adherence to good corporate governance practices and procedures, and continuously promotes the highest

standards of integrity, probity and corporate governance throughout the Authority and particularly at Board level.

Role of the Board

The Board is responsible for overall strategic direction and operational guidance of the Authority. In this regard, the responsibilities of the Board include:

i. Establishing short and long-term goals of the Authority and development of strategies to achieve these goals;
b) Monitoring the Authority's performance against these set goals;
c) Overseeing the preparation of annual financial statements and reports;
d) Approving annual budgets; and
e) Ensuring that the Authority has adequate systems of internal controls coupled with appropriate monitoring of compliance activities to ensure business continuity.

The Board's key achievements during the FY 2019/2020 were: -

a) Approved and continually monitored the implementation of the Authority's Annual Operating Plans and Budget;
b) Implemented the Authority's Performance Contract with The National Treasury and Planning;
c) Approved 121 mergers and approved the imposition of penalties worth Ksh. 44 million;
d) Oversaw the development, approval and implementation of the Competition (General) Rules, 2019 in order to enable stakeholders to have a better appreciation of the processes applicable under the Competition Act, No. 12 of 2010.
e) Adoption of the reviewed Records Management and Knowledge Management policies to enhance economic growth and productivity.
f) Approved the Memorandum of Understandings between the Authority and Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA) to address potential consumer protection concerns in the digital credit markets through carrying out a market inquiry on digital lending; and with the Energy & Petroleum Regulatory Authority (EPRA).
g) Reviewed the Authority's financial controls, financial statements and reporting systems based on both strategic and long-term plans; and,
h) Oversaw the Authority's management of enterprise risk and approved all significant corporate actions, among others.

Board Membership and Attendance

The Board meets at least once every quarter depending on the exigencies of the business. The Board has established two standing committees to assist in the execution of its responsibilities:

Technical & Strategy and Audit Committees. The Board held nine (9) meetings and one retreat to deliberate on items that could not be discharged during a normal Board meeting. Following the outbreak of the Covid-19 Pandemic in Kenya, the Board meetings were conducted through online platforms.

The number of meetings for the Board and Committee, including the online meetings, were held as shown in Tables 1 & 2 below: -

Table 1: Attendance for the current Board Members for the FY 2019-2020

Board Member	Classification	Designation	Technical & Strategy Committee (Total number of meetings held: 6)	Audit Committee (Total number of meetings held: 3)	Full Board Meetings (Total number of meetings held: 9)
Amb. Nelson Ndirangu	Independent member	Board Chairman (appointed in May, 2019)	n/a	n/a	9
Ms. Redempta Oyeyo	Representing PS Ministry of Industry, Trade & Cooperatives	Member (appointed in March, 2019)	5	2	9
Mr. Abdirazak A. Nunow	Independent Member	Member	6	3	5
Ms. Elizabeth M. Ng'ang'a	Representing Hon. Attorney General	Member	5	3	7
Mr. Albert Mwenda	Representing PS National Treasury & Planning	Member	2	1	2

Table 2: Board attendance for Board Members whose terms expired during the FY 2019-2020

Board Member	Classification	Designation	Technical & Strategy Committee (Total number of meetings held: 7)	Audit Committee (Total number of meetings held: 3)	Full Board Meetings (Total number of meetings held: 9)
Ms. Leila Ali	Independent member	Member	4	3	3
Mr. Wekesa Khaoya	Representing PS National Treasury & Planning	Member (appointed in October, 2018)	n/a	n/a	5

Capacity Building for the Board

Training and development programs were developed to equip the Board with the necessary skills for effective discharge of their mandate. During the year, Members attended various capacity building programs focusing on Competition Law and Policy.

Board Committees

There are currently two (2) standing committees of the Board which assist it to discharge its functions and responsibilities effectively. Operations of each committee are defined in the terms of reference approved by the Board. In order to adequately interrogate issues presented by the management, the Board had constituted the following Committees within the financial year:

a) Technical and Strategy Committee

The committee consisted of four (4) members, namely; Mr. Abdirizak Nunow (Chair), Ms. Redempta Oyeyo, Ms. Elizabeth Ng'ang'a and Mr. Albert Mwenda. This Committee is mandated to advise on strategic planning, implementation of the Act, work with management on the technical aspects of the operational performance and functions of the Authority.

b) Audit Committee

The Committee consisted of four (4) members, namely; Ms. Redempta Oyeyo (Chair), Dr. Abdirizak Nunow, Ms. Elizabeth Ng'ang'a and Mr. Albert Mwenda. This Committee is mandated to periodically review the Authority's Financial reports in liaison with the External Auditors, review the Authority's financial statutory and non-statutory reporting obligations and risk assurance; and checks on effectiveness and robustness of internal control measures.

CHAIRMAN'S STATEMENT

On behalf of the Board, I take this opportunity to welcome you to the Authority's Annual Report and Financial Statements for the FY 2019/2020 which will highlight the achievements, learning points, and challenges that the Authority encountered during the reporting period.

Most importantly, we elucidate the programs and activities undertaken by the Authority to effectively execute its mandate of promoting competition, consumer welfare and prevention of abuse of buyer power.

During the review period, the global economic growth decelerated by 2.9% in 2019. To put this fiscal performance in perspective, this decline was the worst recorded since the global financial crisis that hit the World in 2007/2008.

Sub-Saharan Africa's real GDP growth slightly slowed to 3.1% in the review period from 3.3% growth in 2018. According to the Economic Survey 2020, Kenya's real GDP grew by 5.4% down from the previous year's 6.3%. Agriculture, Fishing and Forestry sectors were most responsible for the slowdown. It is, however, encouraging to note that key sectors such as accommodation and food service sector, electricity supply, information and communication, wholesale and retail continued to contribute positively to the economy.

In January 2020, the World became aware of a respiratory virus that was spreading rapidly with deadly consequences. It is projected that the global economy will contract by 4.9% in 2020 due to the impact of disrupted supply chains, subdued global production levels, volatile financial and oil markets volatility, among other factors.

The World Bank projects that Sub-Saharan economy will record its first recession in 25 years. Official government data projects that Kenya's economic growth will contract to 2.5% given that most sectors of the economy, especially tourism, aviation and construction, slowed down significantly, or shut down altogether. However, the Government has moved in to cushion the economy from the adverse impact of the Covid-19 pandemic through a Ksh.53.7 billion stimulus programme targeting key growth sectors such as tourism, manufacturing, agriculture, health, among others. The Authority intends to fully participate in the post Covid-19 economic recovery through various activities and programs aligned to execution of our mandate.

During the review period, the Authority continued to implement its Strategic Plan 2017/18 – 2020/21 which is aligned with the Government's Big 4 Agenda on manufacturing, universal healthcare, food production and affordable housing. Facilitation of these economic targets was done through various activities, including investigations into key sectors including the manufacturing in order to extinguish anti-competitive practices. In addition, the Authority doubled down on its advocacy and enforcement in order to enhance compliance the Competition Act.

The Strategic Plan focused on several broad areas, including deepening competition law enforcement, remove regulatory obstacles in key sectors of the economy, and increase deterrence of abuse of buyer power, and enhancing our visibility and corporate image, among others.

In the review period, the Competition (General) Rules, 2019 came into force. These Rules make the Competition Act more responsive, progressive while promoting innovation. They also enhance transparency, predictability and accountability between the Authority and its stakeholders during the review period the execution of its mandate.

Additionally, the Competition (Amendment) Act, 2019 came into force. This gave the Authority enhanced oversight powers needed to address emerging challenges in the economy, specifically by enhancing the buyer power provisions under the Act in order to address emerging challenges in the economy.

In the year under review, the Board continued to executive its mandate with an aim of enhancing the operations and activities of the Authority and bolster our interactions with sector-specific regulators, development partners, among others. To address potential consumer protection concerns in digital credit markets, the Authority signed a Memorandum of Understanding between the Authority and Innovations for Poverty Action (IPA) which will also facilitate joint training and research. To address consumer welfare and anti-competitive concerns in the energy sector, the Authority entered into a MoU with the Energy & Petroleum Regulatory Authority (EPRA) which will see the two agencies collaborate and exchange information on matters relating to competition and consumer protection in the energy sector.

In order to enhance service delivery, in light of our expanded mandate, the Authority populated vacant positions and continued to implement various policies aimed at enhancing staff welfare and attracting and retaining talent. In this regard, the Authority experienced and qualified personnel, especially in the Buyer Power Department whose investigative capacity needed enhancement.

In order to ensure that our automated functions are available with minimal downtime, and offer round the clock support to our members of staff who are working from home, the Authority invested in its ICT Department in terms of enhancing skills, software and hardware. Going into the new Financial Year, the Covid-19 pandemic will remain in sharp focus. The Authority is committed to playing a leading role in the economic recovery by supporting Government's ongoing initiatives. The recognition of the Authority's critical role in creating competitive markets and enhancing consumer welfare has seen our budgetary resources remain relatively stable over the past five years, with the FY 2019/20 allocation standing at Ksh. 524 Million.

We are grateful for the sustained support accorded by our parent ministry, the National Treasury and Planning, from whom the Authority drew 67% of its budget during the financial year under review. The Authority has consistently used these resources prudently by ensuring the highest standards of corporate governance and ethics are observed as well as complying with all applicable statutory laws. At the Board level, this monitoring is conducted through (2) standing committees - including technical and strategy as well as audit. The Authority's professionalism and fidelity when utilizing its resources saw us get awarded as the best Government agency applying the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) at the 2019 Financial Reporting (FiRe) Awards. This was the third consecutive time that the Authority has received this commendation.

Additionally, the Authority's Board has consistently scored over 90% during the annual evaluations conducted by the State Corporations Advisory Committee (SCAC). This exemplary performance is further a clear testament of the inculcation of good governance structures and measures within the Authority. Over the course of my first full financial year as Board Chair, I have experienced exemplary cooperation, teamwork and support all of which have enabled us execute our mandate of promoting effective competition and protecting consumers from misleading market conduct.

I am indebted to my fellow Board members and the Management for the support accorded to me.

Amb. Nelson Ndirangu, OGW
Chairman

DIRECTOR-GENERAL'S STATEMENT

It is my pleasure to present to you the Authority's Annual Report and Financial Statements for the FY 2019/2020 at a time when we are contending with a challenging and unprecedented socioeconomic environment. In my remarks, I will highlight in detail the activities undertaken by the Authority in the past twelve (12) months. Special focus will be on our interventions in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, from an enforcement and organizational perspective.

I shall also share the Authority's medium-term plan which we are developing with the clear and renewed understanding that competitive and functioning markets are all the more essential during a crisis.

During the year under review, the Authority continued to implement its Strategic Plan 2017/18 – 2020/21 which is aligned with the Big 4 Agenda, the Vision 2030 Blueprint, Third Medium Term Plan (MTP III) and the Sustainable Development Goals. Our Plan, and its alignment with aforementioned blueprints, takes cognizance of the fact that effective enforcement of competition law and policy is a catalyst for sustainable economic development.

One of the Authority's major interventions was in the manufacturing sector where investigations were conducted on the main paint manufacturers with the objective of extinguishing collusive conduct. Paint is a key component in the construction and housing industry and therefore, any anti-competitive conduct between producers of this input severely disenfranchises consumers in terms of product pricing and choice while harming SMEs and stifling innovation.

The Authority also investigated producers of edible oil, bread and fruit juice in order to eliminate any practices that amount to deceiving consumers through false or misleading claims regarding ingredients and/or nutritional benefits. As a result, the Authority ordered the parties found culpable of breaching the Competition Act to immediately remedy the infractions, pay penalties, and implement compliance programs within their organizations.

The Authority's approach to consumer welfare interventions is prioritizing activities which have an impact on a large number of Kenyans, while also focusing on advancing its awareness creation activities at the grassroots level. For instance, the Authority commemorated the annual World Consumer Rights Day 2020, themed: **The Sustainable Consumer**, on March 15, 2020 by conducting roadshows in Migori, Kisumu and Kakamega counties. Members of staff engaged residents of these towns about their consumer rights, obligations and the Authority's consumer complaint handling process.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

It is, therefore, encouraging to note that during the review period, the Authority investigated and finalized one hundred and seventy-eight (178) consumer complaints, which represented an increase of 28% from the previous year. This is attributable to increased awareness about our mandate. The consumer cases we processed cut across sectors such as e-commerce, aviation, retail, construction, cosmetics, and hospitality, among others.

During a crisis such as what the World is experiencing, businesses may get tempted to exploit consumers either through unjustifiable increases in prices or hoarding products in order to artificially create a surge in demand. In March 2020, when the first patient with Covid-19 was recorded in Kenya, the Authority initiated an extensive investigation into businesses supplying homecare products such as masks and sanitizers and essential food commodities, to ensure customers are not exploited through price gouging.

The Authority penalized businesses found to have breached the law, including ordering them to refund consumers they had overcharged. Additionally, we ordered manufacturers and distributors of essential commodities to expunge exclusivity clauses in their contracts. It is notable that the prices of these commodities stabilized following our intervention. The Authority continues to monitor the situation and is adequately equipped to ramp up enforcement if and when our intelligence points to pricing models that are not being signalled by the forces of supply and demand.

As guided by our Strategic Plan, the Authority prioritizes its interventions on sectors that have a wide impact on Kenyans and the economy. In this regard, another standout enforcement initiative was an investigation into the retail sector, which focused on twenty-five (25) medium and large retailers. The main objective of the retail sector investigation was securing the sustainability of the sector, which supports the livelihood of tens of thousands of Kenyans. This stem from the recognition that abuse of buyer power in any sector exposes small enterprises to business collapse, an unfavourable outcome which would entrench concentration of dominant players. It was determined that four (4) retailers had delayed payments to their local suppliers, most of whom are SMEs, for a period exceeding ninety (90) days. Following engagements with the Authority, the affected retailers were ordered to develop clear payment plans to offset delayed payments. In addition, monitoring to ensure adherence to the plans was done and shall progress into the next financial year.

It is noteworthy that Parliament, in recognition of the need to curtail abuse of buyer power in the economy, donated extra powers to the Authority through amendments of the Competition Act.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

The new provisions clearly elucidate conducts that constitute abuse of buyer power while providing the minimum requirements of contracts, including terms of payment, interest payable, and mechanism of dispute resolution, among others. Further, the amended law empowers the Authority to issue Prudential and Reporting Orders to businesses found in breach of the Act, as was evidenced in the aforementioned retail sector investigation.

Another key legislative development that happened during the year was the coming into force of the Competition (General) Rules, 2019. One highlight of these Rules is the review of the merger thresholds, exempting mergers whose combined turnover or assets is below Ksh. 500 Million from having to notify the Authority. This review is aimed at promoting small and medium-sized enterprises to be more competitive, reducing transaction costs and promoting innovation. The Rules also expunged the dual notification requirement for transactions with a regional dimension. These changes are expected to spur economic activity and play a facilitative role in the country's post-COVID recovery.

During the year under review, the Authority made determinations on 121 mergers. This was a decline from the previous year's 141 mergers which is attributable to the enactment of the merger thresholds elucidated above. However, the Authority has witnessed more complex mergers that have cross-border dimension and new frontiers of competition assessment such as digital economy and big data. The biggest merger activity was in the manufacturing sector with twenty two (22) cases. The economy continues to experience consolidation in the banking sector with four mergers applications reviewed and approved by the Authority.

To promote stakeholder engagement and compliance with the Act, the Authority ramped up its advocacy efforts, including rolling out awareness creation activities. Towards this, the Authority conducted over twenty (20) sensitization sessions with various stakeholders on our mandate. We also sensitized key policy makers in nineteen (19) county governments about the provisions of the Competition Act and empowered them with information necessary to develop pro-competitive regulations and laws.

To enhance the Authority's visibility and corporate image, we undertook various activities and programmes which are aimed at increasing the awareness about the Competition Act among our stakeholders. During the review period, the Authority published all its determinations, clearly articulating the reasoning behind each decision. This is aimed at increasing the transparency of our processes and ensure that we remain accountable to our stakeholders. We have also relaunched our Newsletter in which we elucidated more about the Authority's activities, including giving incisive information about competition law enforcement in the country. We also

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

kept our stakeholders, including the Media, apprised of key developments in our ongoing enforcement interventions through the issuance and publicizing of various Statements, Orders and Press Releases.

The Authority also continued implementing existing MoUs with other agencies like the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Communications Authority, the Kenya Civil Aviation Authority, and Insurance Regulatory Authority, among others.

These inter-agency relations are set to take on more significance as dwindling resources will necessitate more collaboration, including conducting joint research, information exchange and enhancing human capacity development through joint trainings. The Authority under the Second Development Policy Operation, and in collaboration with PPRA and the support of the World Bank, reviewed applicable standard tender documents which are aimed at combating irregularities in public procurement by bidders who do business with government.

In adherence to the directives issued by the Government aimed at curtailing the spread of COVID-19, the Authority facilitated all members of staff to work from home. Service delivery was however unaffected given the fact that the Authority automated its functions in FY 2018-2019. The Case Management Systems (CMS), and the "E-filing Portal" fully automated filing of mergers and exemption applications, register restrictive trade practices, abuse of and consumer complaints, and abuse of buyer power enforcement. In line with this, the Authority no longer accepts hardcopy applications from stakeholders and only processes cases submitted through our Public Portal.

I wish to thank the Board for its foresight in approving the implementation of the CMS which has facilitated business continuity in the pandemic period. We are also grateful to our parent ministry, the National Treasury and Planning, for availing the resources to build the system, procure the necessary hardware and software, and enhance our human capacity which has enabled round-the-clock availability of our systems. We wish to reassure our stakeholders that the Authority has deployed robust measures to ensure that our systems adhere to the highest standards in terms of confidentiality, data integrity, security, usability and availability. Further, the Authority has deployed a fully functional disaster recovery site.

The Authority's online platform has created efficiencies, including reducing printing costs by 40% and lowering the turnaround time in which we handle cases. For instance, the Authority now takes an average of thirty (30) days to analyze merger applications, an improvement from the previous year's thirty seven (37) days. These incremental efficiencies are aligned with our

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

commitment to play a positive role in improving the country's overall ease of doing business within the remit of the law.

In order to share experiences on international best practices, especially on emerging areas in competition law enforcement and consumer protection, the Authority continues to engage with regional and global partners. The Authority participated in workshops hosted by the United Nation Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) and the African Competition Forum (ACF), International Consumer Protection and Enforcement Network (ICPEN), Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Federal Trade Commission (FTC), among others. These forums are important platforms for knowledge sharing and networking. Traditionally, these workshops have been organized and executed in physical setups, however, the move to virtual applications will ensure that these interactions endure and executed with lower overhead costs.

Towards this, the Authority in March 2020 hosted an International Competition Network (ICN) Merger Working Group Webinar on Sound Decision Making, attracting over 160 participants from ICN member States from 10 countries, representing all continents. The Webinar was aimed at promoting the adoption of best practices in the design and operation of merger review regimes, thereby promoting their effectiveness in enhancing competitive markets.

The COVID-19 pandemic has occasioned a paradigm shift for competition regulation as well as how government services are delivered. The clarity of purpose within the Authority is that we need to adopt a long-term approach to our activities and interventions, with the goal being executing our mandate while facilitating economic recovery with optimal utilization of resources.

The Authority is also alive to the fact that there could be need to momentarily allow cooperation and collaboration between some competitor businesses, especially those supplying essential goods and commodities required in the fight against the Covid-19. These interventions should be short-term in nature since their sustained existence would undo the gains made over the years in competition enforcement. In this regard, the Authority is developing Block Exemptions which are aimed at addressing supply chain challenges by preventing the decline in production, distribution and retailing of essential commodities key in the fight against COVID-19.

Going forward, we anticipate changes in consumer behaviour as more consumers make more purchases online. As mentioned earlier, the e-commerce space in the country has exhibited a renewed vibrancy as consumption trends change. This may entrench the dominance of some players while increasing distrust among and complains by consumers. This will call for enhanced

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

INTERNAL AUDIT AND ASSURANCE

The Internal Audit function provides independent assurance that the Authority's governance, risk management and internal control processes are operating effectively. This is achieved by assessing the governance structures/practices in place, implementation of the risk management framework and the level of compliance with the Competition Act, documented policies and procedures and government guidelines issued from time to time. It further assesses the Authority's activities and expenses to ensure value for money for all its undertakings. Additionally, internal audit is charged with the responsibility of mainstreaming and reporting on matters of integrity within the Authority.

Functionally, Internal Audit reports to the Board of Directors through the Board Audit Committee and administratively to the Director-General. This dual reporting structure ensures that the independence and objectivity of the function is guaranteed. During the reporting period, the function assessed the Authority's operations in all the departments - both technical and support - and provided an assurance to the Board and the management on the adequacy, reliability and completeness of the controls implemented. Fifteen (15) audits and two reviews of the institutional risk management framework (IRMF) were conducted. During the period under review, the Authority also reported to the Ethics and anti-corruption Commission (EACC) on its activities geared towards corruption deterrence, detection and reporting. There were zero reported cases of corruption during the financial year under review.

QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Authority's commitment to meet and exceed customer expectations is built on a Quality Management System based on ISO 9001:2015; the international standard to which it was certified in the FY 2015/16. Compliance to this standard has streamlined operations and created consistency in the Authority's approach and in the quality of the decisions it makes which is a key outcome especially in its enforcement activities.

The Quality Management System (QMS) is maintained through regular Internal Audits and Surveillance Audits as a demonstration of its commitment to ensuring continual improvement in the service delivery. During the year under review, the Authority carried out two internal audits and one surveillance audit the results of which were important in strengthening the system. In addition, in order to equip the Authority with adequate skills to manage the system, the Authority trained a number of its members of staff as QMS auditors. Implementation of the QMS

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

surveillance, market research and inquires by the Authority as well as judicious enforcement action.

Our biggest asset in actualizing the aforementioned targets remains our members of staff. The Authority continued investing in our employees by facilitating them to attend various trainings, conferences and workshops, in order to enhance their skills and competences. Members of professional bodies were also supported to attend professional development courses.

The Authority take cognizance of the important role of its human capital, to attract and retain staff especially during this time of COVID-19 pandemic, our employees have access to a revolving mortgage and car loan schemes, and securing the health of their families through comprehensive medical insurance covers as well as giving them competitive employment terms.

Our parent ministry, the National Treasury and Planning, has also continued to support the Authority's programmes through invaluable technical and financial support. I also recognize the support the Board has given the Management in actualizing the activities that had been planned for the financial year under review. As we transit to a new business dispensation, the Authority shall remain reliant on the support of our key stakeholders in order to fulfil our mandate of promoting competition in our markets while enhancing consumer welfare.

Wang'ombe Kariuki, MBS
Director-General

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

has enabled the Authority harness efficiencies in its operations including in the resolution of customer complaints and using customer feedback in helping improve our service delivery.

QUALITY POLICY

The Competition Authority of Kenya is committed to enhance the welfare of the people of Kenya by promoting and protecting effective competition in markets and preventing unfair and misleading market conduct. Towards this, the Authority commits to comply with all statutory and other applicable requirements and to continual improvement of its Quality Management System based on ISO 9001:2015 International Standard.

This Policy and the established quality objectives shall be reviewed to ensure their continual suitability and adequacy, shall be communicated, understood and applied throughout the organization and shall be available to relevant interested parties as appropriate.

ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

The Authority has committed to a process of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) aligned with the principles of best practice corporate governance and ERM standards. The Authority's Risk Framework aims to improve its capacity to identify and mitigate risks. Effective risk management is imperative to the Authority and has been identified as one of the areas of focus in our current Strategic Plan 2017/2018 – 2020/2021, which will enable the Authority achieve its core mandate as outlined in its Mission and Vision statements. The realization of the strategic objectives depends primarily on the Authority's ability to take calculated risks in a way that does not jeopardize the direct interests of its stakeholders. Sound assessment of risk enables us to anticipate and respond to changes in our organizational environment, as well as make informed decisions under conditions of uncertainty.

The Authority has committed to ensuring that risk management is embedded within its operations and that every individual is accountable for risk management in their day to day activities as risk culture. The continuous monitoring of risks and effective mitigation strategies enabled the Authority to minimize the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic within the period under review. There is continuous monitoring of situations and implementation of mitigating measures to avert any adverse impacts in future.

BUSINESS CONTINUITY MANAGEMENT

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

The Authority continues to implement its Business Continuity Management (BCM). The overall objective of the BCM is to ensure the safety of customers, visitors, employees and all other personnel associated with the Authority, the continuity of business and technological operations in the event of a crisis or disruptive incident. The Authority's business continuity management system designates the need to maintain and improve business processes by taking preventive and corrective actions, based on the results of management review and re-appraising the scope of systems and business continuity objectives. The Business Continuity Plan provides for immediate actions after an incident hence the need to have minimal disruptions to ensure continuity of service and business operations.

The Authority developed the BCM framework which defines the basic minimum levels of requirements for safety, availability and continuity of its operations and services. Subsequently, all departments developed a Business Impact Analysis (BIA) that provides guidelines for development, maintenance and exercising the Business Continuity Plans (BCP). In the implementation of the BCM framework, staff are regularly trained to be sensitized on its provisions. The Authority also has an established Disaster Recovery site in readiness of an eventuality or downtime of its ICT systems.

The Authority is committed to ensuring safety of the customers, visitors, its employees as well as any third parties (such as outsourced service providers, contractors, stakeholders) using its services, operating within its premises and the continuity of operations to provide its key services in the event of any disruptive incident. The Corona Virus (COVID-19) continues to spread, seriously affecting business operations around the world. As governments and organizations act to protect their citizens, operations and employees at home and abroad, these actions threaten to produce business interruptions, travel risks and other effects that could be detrimental to business continuity.

Awareness has been heightened at the Authority of individual responsibility to staff members and communities at large. The three priorities guiding decisions during this time of uncertainty: **to keep employees safe**, to **ensure service** to customers and partners, and to do our part in **preventing the spread** of the virus. During these challenging and uncertain times, the resilience of our systems will aid in post COVID-19 recovery strategies.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

THE AUTHORITY'S INTERVENTIONS IN RESPONSE TO COVID-19

i. Investigations into Unconscionable Price Increases and Hoarding

Following the confirmation of the first novel COVID-19 outbreak in March 2020 in Kenya, the Authority established that some manufacturers and retailers were contemplating collusive behaviour with the intention of subsequently increasing prices and/or hoarding various consumer goods following the pronouncement by the Government of the first confirmed Coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19) in Kenya.

Pursuant to the Authority's *suo moto* powers under Section 70A of the Act, in order to protect consumers from unfair business practice, and to ensure that Kenyans continue accessing essential products such as hand sanitizers and face masks at competitive prices. The Authority initiated investigations focusing on pharmaceutical products and basic commodities stocked by the retailers, whose demand was anticipated to spike because of the pandemic. The investigation covered over one hundred (100) businesses spread across fifteen (15) counties; Nakuru, Embu, Kiambu, Mombasa, Kisumu, Kwale, Kilifi, Trans Nzoia, Kisii and Homa Bay, among others.

The investigation sought to establish businesses that were in contravention of section 56(1) of the Act, which states, *"it shall be an offence for a person in trade in connection with the supply or possible supply of goods or services to another person, to engage in conduct that is, in all the circumstances, unconscionable."*

As part of the investigation, the Authority issued a remedial order to Cleanshelf Supermarkets after investigations determined that the retailer unconscionably adjusted prices of Tropikal brand hand sanitizers (500 ml), in contravention of section 56 of the Act. The retailer usually sold the specific hand sanitizers at Ksh. 800/=. The Authority determined that the retailer sold the same batch of product to consumers at varying prices above Ksh. 800/=, including Ksh. 1000/=, with the prices increase taking effect within hours.

The retailer, therefore, exploited its relative strength to commercially detriment consumers whose bargaining position has been diminished following the pronouncement of existence of COVID-19 in Kenya. The Authority issued a remedial order in respect of the player in the retail sector; to contact and refund all the consumers who purchased nine hundred and sixty (960) pieces of the product above the usual selling price and submit evidence to support the same.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

ii. Order on Exclusivity Agreements on Essential Services

The Authority issued an Order to major manufacturers and distributors of essential foodstuffs and commodities, who had entered into exclusive agreements without its approval, to expunge them immediately. The Order applied to manufacturers and distributors of; maize flour, wheat flour, edible oils, rice, toilet papers, sanitizers and facemasks.

The agreements facilitate allocation of distributorship territories, brand exclusivity, quantities supplied, and deter manufacturers of competing brands from accessing the same distributors to deliver their products to retail outlets contrary to section 21(1) of the Act.

Such exclusive arrangements distort allocation of optimal prices for these commodities, ultimately denying consumers in some regions of the country from accessing the commodities at competitive prices. This situation may be exacerbated further by the COVID-19 pandemic.

The manufacturers and distributors were also ordered to ***cease*** and ***desist*** from entering into such exclusive agreements unless authorized by the Authority. Additionally, distributors who operate their own retail outlets were ordered to avail the aforementioned essential commodities, and other commodities they distribute, to other retail outlets on non-discriminatory terms.

iii. Home-based deployment & Automation of Services

Following the Government's directive on home-based deployment as one way of curtailing the community spread of COVID-19, 90% of the Authority's members of staff immediately commenced working remotely. This operational change did not result in service disruption since the Authority, in FY 2018/19, automated its core mandate and support functions through the Case Management System (CMS), the E-Filing Public Portal and the Enterprise Resource Planner (ERP).

The CMS and the Portal have fully automated the Authority's key mandates, which include; filing of mergers and exemption applications, restrictive trade practices such as abuse of dominance, as well as filing consumer and Abuse of Buyer Power complaints. The ERP automated processes of procurement, human resources, and enhanced financial management and auditing of the financial resources allocated to the Authority.

Automation has facilitated members of staff to work from the comfort of their homes, thereby ensuring continued and unfettered delivery of the Authority's mandate while also protecting their health and, in the long-term, ensuring the sustainability of our human capital. The Authority has progressively purchased laptops for all its staff, allowing them to access the technical and support functions while they are away from their regular workstations. The ICT Department also offers round the clock support to staff members and other stakeholders in order to ensure minimal downtime.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Further, and in order to curtail the spread of COVID-19, the Authority stopped receiving cases filed in physical form, instead directing all stakeholders to lodge their applications through the E-Filing Portal.

Home-based deployment has also called for enhanced performance management. The Authority's staff members are required to submit weekly work reports that track their activities and deliverables. There was also increased virtual and consistent discussions between employees and their supervisors regarding specific assignments will ensure every party remains responsible and accountable. For instance, virtual Town-halls where experiences regarding working remotely were held.

Going forward, the Authority intends to review its policies on recruitment, placement and induction, performance management, and training and development in order to clearly provide guidelines that accommodate the needs of the Authority in terms of, for instance, effective handling and conclusion of consumer complaints, merger cases and investigations of restrictive trade practices.

iv. Block Exemptions on Concerted Decisions, Practices and Agreements

In order to address supply chain challenges which may be occasioned by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Authority has developed Draft Block Exemptions. The Guidelines, which are currently under review, envision excluding certain decisions, practices or agreements between manufacturers, distributors or retailers of essential commodities and foodstuff from certain sections of the Competition Act.

The essential commodities are; disinfectants, sanitizers, sanitary towels, toilet paper, toiletries, ventilators, surgical masks, gloves and thermometers while the essential foodstuff are; maize and maize products, rice, edible oils and fats, sugar, milk, wheat and wheat products and baby food.

The purpose of these exemptions is to support the programs designed to fight spread and recovery of COVID-19, as well as prevent the decline in the production, distribution and retail of the aforementioned commodities in the market. This will be achieved through allowing certain business coordination between competitors during this pandemic in the supply chain of these commodities.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Competition (General) Rules, 2019 in Eliminating Double Merger Notifications

On 6th December, 2019, the Kenyan Competition (General) Rules, 2019 ('Rules') were gazetted with the main aim of making the Act a dynamic and progressive legislation that catalyzes innovation and enhances transparency, predictability and accountability among the business community regarding the competition law enforcement process.

The Rules also accord the Competition Authority of Kenya the requisite discretion to prioritize enforcement, thereby minimizing regulatory burden and cost.

Specifically, the Rules have introduced a single notification regime for transactions with a regional dimension involving both the Authority and the COMESA Competition Commission (CCC). In such scenarios, the Rules now prescribe a single notification regime whereby, if at least two-thirds of the merging parties' combined turnover or assets (whichever is higher) is generated or located in Kenya, approval only needs to be sought from the Authority.

The Rules have also reduced the merger filing fees for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), with the aim of enabling them to unlock their contribution to the economy. Specifically, mergers where the parties' combined **turnover does not exceed Ksh. 500 million, are now** exempt from notification to the Authority.

This amendment is expected to reduce the transactional costs of SMEs which intend to merge, thereby making them more credible competitors by improving their portfolio, while supporting a sector which plays an important role in economic development in terms of job creation.

This intervention is in line with the Government's broader agenda of promoting start-ups and attracting investment in the economy. Further, the new thresholds will enable the Authority focus its resources on transactions which have a significant impact on competition.

So far, the Authority has received four (4) extra-territorial information requests on transaction notified to COMESA but were not notified to Kenya. Also, the referral of cases to national agencies has been actualized with the Authority having made one such request to COMESA successfully.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

AUTHORITY'S PERFORMANCE BASED ON STRATEGIC THEMES

THEME 1: DELIVERING EFFECTIVE ENFORCEMENT

1. REGULATION OF RESTRICTIVE TRADE PRACTICES

Section 9 (a) and (b) ensures compliance with the Act in order to promote and enforce competition. This is achieved through investigations of agreements or concerted practices and unilateral or abuse of dominance practices prohibited under sections 21, 22 and 24 of the Act. The Act further prohibits such co-ordinated practices and unilateral conduct as they have a negative effect on competition, either by object or effect, with the reverberating effect of diminishing the ease of doing business, investment climate and competitiveness of the national economy.

Although coordination of practices by undertakings, association of undertakings and professional associations are prohibited under sections 21, 22 and 29 of the Act, they may be granted an exemption by the Authority, upon application under section 25, where the overriding public benefits to be generated from such arrangements is assessed.

Pursuant to section 31 of the Act, the Authority initiated investigations into alleged violations upon receipt of complaints and through screening of various sectors. In the period under review, the Authority investigated forty (40) restrictive trade practices, twenty four (24) of which were finalized while the rest were at various stages of investigations at the close of the period under review. Various remedies, including financial penalties and issued restraining orders, were imposed to undertakings found to have infringed the Act.

Two (2) exemption applications in the Agriculture and Energy sectors were received and are still undergoing review in line with the provisions of section 25 and 26 of the Act.

In order to increase transparency and predictability on how the Authority determines applicable financial remedies imposed on undertakings found to have engaged in prohibited practices, the Guidelines on Restrictive Trade Practices and Fining & Settlements were reviewed. These reviews were informed by the amendments of the Act in 2016 and 2019, enactment of the Competition (General) Rules, 2019 specifically on settlement rules. In addition, the reviews considered the development in jurisprudence on competition law and feedback by way of stakeholders' comments. It also reviewed the assessment of horizontal and vertical agreements to align the guidelines to international best practices.

Compliance audits were also carried out to ensure adherence to the Authority's decisions and the Act. Towards this end, the Authority reviewed compliance to conditions for eight (8) mergers and two (2) decisions in relation to the consumer welfare. It established that key conditions such as maintaining employment levels and/or maintenance of the distribution channels of the target

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

firms in the conditional mergers were implemented. Additionally, it was also established that consumer protection decisions on ensuring proper packaging, labelling of products and repair of defective motor vehicles were adhered to.

The specific restrictive trade practices cases, exemption applications, compliance cases handled and advisory requests handled during the period under review are provided for in Annexes 1, 2, 3 and 4. The summary of the cases handled per sector affected are highlighted under Figure 5 below.

Figure 5. Restrictive Practice Cases FY 2019/2020



The highest percentage of the investigations were in the manufacturing market segment. This was attributed to the Authority's initiatives of screening and initiating investigations *suo-moto* based on the market intelligence gathered in the period under review. Information and communication sector recorded the second-highest number of investigations, which is explained by the complaints received due to increased usage of the digital space in the period under review.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Some of the RTP Cases investigated during the review period

INVESTIGATIONS OF THE PAINTS MANUFACTURERS AND DISTRIBUTORS IN KENYA

The Authority initiated investigations into an alleged collusive conduct between certain players in the paints manufacturing and distribution sector of Kenya in July, 2018 pursuant to the provisions of section 31 of the Act. This was in light of the fact that the manufacturing and housing sectors are part of the Big Four Agenda to drive economic growth in the country.

A search and seizure exercise (Dawn Raid) was conducted at the premises of Crown Paints PLC (Crown), Basco Products Kenya Limited (Basco), Kansai Plascon Kenya Limited (Plascon) and Galaxy Paints and Coatings Limited (Galaxy) in December, 2018 with the intent of retrieving information on the alleged conduct. The Authority reviewed the information to determine whether there was alleged collusive conduct between these manufacturers and distributors of paint products. The alleged concerted practices are harmful to competition since the transparency of strategies by firms is likely to increase prices or reduce product availability in the market to the detriment of the consumer welfare.

Pursuant to section 38 of the Act, Basco Products (K) Ltd elected to settle the matter soon after the Dawn Raid and paid a financial settlement amount of Kenya Shillings twenty million, seven hundred and ninety nine thousand, two hundred and seventy seven and seventy cents (KSh. 20,799,277.70). The Company also gave a written undertaking to desist from any anticompetitive conduct and put in place a competition compliance program to sensitize its leadership and key staff on competition law

The investigations with respect to three other paint manufacturers and distributors were concluded in July 2019 with the Authority making a preliminary finding that the parties were involved in an anti-competitive agreement on prices, discount structure and transport charges.

Accordingly, the parties were accorded an opportunity to respond to the allegations levelled against them pursuant to section 34 of the Act through written and oral submissions which were presented on various dates between September and November, 2019.

The Authority, made a determination that the parties were in breach of section 21(1) and (3) of the Act. The three parties (Crown paints, Plascon and Galaxy) contested the Authority's determination and consequently appealed the decision at the Competition Tribunal.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

INVESTIGATIONS OF JET-AI FUEL MARKET IN KENYA

A number of oil marketers in Kenya petitioned the National Assembly to investigate the alleged influx of illegal oil marketers in the country. The petitioners pointed out that despite the requirement for Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs) to obtain licenses from the Energy and Petroleum Regulatory Authority (EPRA), in order to engage in the business of supplying Jet A1 fuel in the country, the market had been penetrated by allegedly unlicensed and illegal agents. It was further alleged that these agents were supplying jet fuel below the landing cost to the detriment of legitimate suppliers.

The National Assembly recommended the Authority to investigate the business practices of the OMCs involved in the supply and distribution of Jet A1 fuel with the view of ensuring a level playing field among the operators.

Pursuant to this recommendation and powers vested on the Authority under section 31 of the Act, investigations into the activities of undertakings involved in the supply of Jet A1 fuel in the country were initiated, specifically relating to possible abuse of dominance and/or cartelization.

The investigation involved interactions with key stakeholders including OMCs, EPRA, Kenya Airport Authority (KAA) and various Jet A1 fuel reselling companies. The Authority noted from the engagements that there was effective competition among the OMCs and that the product was priced above the landing costs. Further, the Authority did not detect any instances of abuse of dominance (predatory pricing and access to market) and therefore the matter was recommended for closure. Accordingly, the findings of the investigation were forwarded to the Departmental Committee of Energy, of the National Assembly.

COMPLIANCE INITIATIVES

REFUND BY CLEANSHELF SUPERMARKETS - RESPONSE TO THE EFFECTS OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

After the first COVID-19 cases were announced, sanitizers and facemasks became more difficult to access. However, the prices of foodstuff remained relatively stable across thirty seven (37) Counties assessed by the Authority during the period. The Authority sent requests for information to manufacturers and retailers of the following:

(i) Essential products including brands of hand sanitizers, gloves, toiletries, antiseptic disinfectants, facemasks, detergents, water purifiers; and
(ii) Food stuff, including maize flour, sugar, bread, edible oils and fats.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

The Authority received a number of complaints on arbitrary price increases of hand sanitizers and facemasks from across Kenya including a complaint of overcharging the customers who purchased the sanitizers. The price increases allegedly did not reflect the forces of demand and supply.

Pursuant to Section 56 the Act, the Authority investigated allegations of price gouging by the Cleanshelf Supermarket and tested the issues for unconscionable conduct by the firm. Cleanshelf Supermarket allegedly increased the price of Tropical brand hand sanitizers, by a margin of 25%. The Authority termed this as exploitation of customers since the prices and the margins were increased by over one hundred percent without any reasonable justifications, and demanded that the retailer refunds all customers who purchased the product within 10 days of the directive. Cleanshelf promptly apologized for the conduct and agreed to refund the customers who were overcharged.

Pursuant to section 9 (1) of the Act, the Authority followed through with a compliance process and confirmed that fifty three (**53**) customers had been contacted and refunded.

The Authority also recommended that the management of Cleanshelf Supermarket develop a competition compliance program to sensitize its employees on restrictive trade practices and consumer welfare and to continue refunding the customers as long as they presented evidence.

As a result of the investigation and compliance and the Authority public notices warning on unjustified price increases, there was increased awareness in the market on such conduct and this served as a deterrence for unwarranted price increases.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

2. REGULATION OF MERGERS

Pursuant to the provisions of the Competition Act No. 12 of 2010, as per Part IV of the Act all mergers require approval by the Authority. To reduce the regulatory burden on SMEs the Competition (General) rules, 2019, which became effective on 6th December 2019, exempt all mergers whose combined turnover/assets is below Ksh. 500 million from notification.

The objective of merger control is to ensure that undertakings do not acquire, preserve or strengthen their market power or dominance through mergers or acquisitions, which they can use to negatively affect competition and negatively affect consumers.

During the period under review, the Authority received a total of one hundred and forty-eight (148) notifications, out of which one hundred and forty-three (143) were finalized while five (5) were ongoing at the close of the year. The merger notifications were mainly from the following sectors; manufacturing (15.10%), distribution (8.6%), ICT (7.2%), energy (6.5%) and retail distribution (5.0%).

The Authority determined one hundred and twenty-one (121) mergers; Forty-four (44) of which met the thresholds for full merger analysis as stipulated in the Merger Threshold Guidelines. Its noteworthy that pursuant to the Competition Rules 2019, mergers with a COMESA dimension are no longer notified to the Authority. In this regard, four (4) mergers were reviewed through the COMESA Competition Commission as provided for in the Competition (General) Rules, 2019. Seventy-six (76) of the notifications satisfied the criteria for exclusions and hence were excluded from the provisions of Part IV of the Act while one was ongoing as at year end. In addition, twenty-two (22) transactions which were nevertheless notified to the Authority did not qualify as mergers in terms of sections 2 and 41 of the Act.

Table 3 shows slight reduction of mergers in 2019/2020 compared to 2018/2019. These declines are attributed to array of factors, including the new Merger Thresholds as a result of which many SMEs no longer require to notify; notifications to COMESA that previously used to be brought to the Authority, and the outbreak of COVID-19, with a resultant economic downturn.

Table 3: The Comparison Between Transactions Handled during the FY 2018/19 and 2019/20

Item	2018/2019	2019/2020
Merger transactions	45	44
Transactions excluded from provisions of Part IV of the Act	96	77
TOTAL	141	121

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Non-merger transactions (restructuring & advisory opinions)	41	22
Transactions carried forward	21	5
Mergers with international dimension	87	72
Mergers with local dimension	54	49

Figure 3: Number of Mergers Handled per Sector in 2019/2020



** Transactions labelled '**Others**' include sectors that recorded less than two (2) notifications during the year. Some of the sectors are mining, advertising, security, recruitment, digital payments systems among others.*

Figure 4: Trends in Merger Notifications over the Last Three (3) Years

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED



THE RETAIL SECTOR

The retail sector has witnessed increased activities in the period under review as evidenced by new entrants, expansion and exits. Nakumatt, was until recently a leading player in the sector with over sixty (60) outlets across the country, having been in existence for over thirty (30) years, exited the market. This left a wide gap in the sector that resulted in entry by international firms, restructuring, mergers & acquisitions by international and local retail outlets, including online shoppers.

The entry and expansion by Majid al Futtaim, under the brand name Carrefour, has seen the outlet open eight (8) stores, majority of which were former Nakumatt locations. Other retailers have also taken the spaces exited by Nakumatt.

a) Amethis and Naivas

In this merger, Amethis acquired 30% shareholding in Naivas, with controlling rights. Amethis, which also has an interest in Ramco Group, was seeking to use its investment to improve Naivas' customer experience and policy as the entity seeks expansion.

The Authority considered the transaction a vertical merger since the acquirer did not have any pre-existing interest in the retail space. Further, the Authority determined that the transaction was unlikely to lead to a substantial lessening or elimination of competition in the market for formal wholesale and retail distribution of consumer goods in Kenya. However, the Authority noted the potential public interest issues arising post-merger specifically, to honour the existing contracts and debts with suppliers.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

To ameliorate the aforementioned concerns, the Authority approved the transaction on condition that: -

a. The merged entity honours all the current contracts with suppliers and SMEs for the surviving period of such contracts;
b. The merged entity ensures that prior to implementation of the proposed transaction, all the outstanding debts owed to its suppliers and other SMEs are paid to the extent permitted by the contracts entered into between the parties; and
c. The merged entity reviews its existing and future contracts with suppliers and SMEs to ensure that any clauses that are contrary to the Buyer Power provisions of the Act and the Buyer Power Guidelines are removed.

b) Marsyetu and Mija Limited

The online retail business has witnessed growth since the entry of Jumia in 2013 from Nigeria. Mija Limited, a Seychelles entity offered to invest in Marsyetu in exchange for a controlling stake.

Marsyetu is an online-based retail outlet that focuses mainly on fast-moving consumer goods. The investment by Mija would give the outlets the much-needed capital to enable it to rival brick and mortar stores such as Naivas, Quick Mart, Tuskys, Carrefour and Chandarana Foodplus. Additionally, it would compete with other online based outlets such as Jumia, Kilimall, Masoko among others. The store had sales of over one (1) billion Kenta Shillings in the relevant financial year and hence met the threshold for mandatory notification.

The transaction was approved unconditionally as its review and analysis indicated that the target was a nascent entrant which was likely to increase competition in the market for retail distribution of consumer goods and would not result into any negative public interest concerns.

c) Sokoni Retail Kenya Limited and Quick Mart Limited

The Authority approved investment of over two (2) billion Kenya Shillings by Sokoni Retail Kenya Limited (Sokoni) in Quick Mart Supermarkets. The outlet commenced operation in 2006, operated eleven (11) stores with majority of them located within the Nairobi suburbs (Ruaka, Embakasi, Ruai, Ruiru, among others) with only two (2) operating in upmarket Nairobi.

Having acquired Tumaini Supermarkets earlier on, the investment in Quick Mart in exchange for 100% shareholding paved way for Sokoni to put the two retail stores together. Post-transaction, the two entities now operate over twenty five (25) outlets across the country, most of which are found within Nairobi. The investment also enabled Adenia to open more Quick Mart stores within Nairobi with some being granted 24 hour operating licenses.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

The Authority's review and analysis of the merger did not find any likelihood of the proposed transactions leading to negative competition and public interest concerns and hence it was approved unconditionally.

THE FINANCIAL SECTOR STRATEGIES THROUGH MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS

Over the past few years, the banking and insurance sectors have seen shifts in policy and market dynamics. The sectors have witnessed consolidation and mergers both locally and entry from international institutions. The Authority, during the year under review approved six (6) mergers.

a) National Bank of Kenya (NBK) and Kenya Commercial Bank Group PLC (KCB)

The Competition Authority of Kenya approved the acquisition of National Bank of Kenya Limited by KCB on condition that 90% of the merged entity's employees will be retained for a period of eighteen (18) months from the closing of the merger.

KCB is a non-operating holding company incorporated in Kenya and listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange (NSE). KCB is cross-listed at the Dar es Salam Stock Exchange, Uganda Securities Exchange and Rwanda Stock Exchange. KCB, through its subsidiaries, provides various financial services including retail and corporate banking services, forex trading, financing of trade and other auxiliary banking activities. The bank also provides asset financing, insurance brokerage, bancassurance, leasing, stock brokerage, investment advisory, and partnership services. One of its subsidiaries, KCB Bank Kenya Limited, operates as a commercial bank in Kenya.

National Bank of Kenya Limited (NBK) is a public limited liability company incorporated in Kenya and listed at the NSE. It is also licensed to operate as a commercial bank in Kenya. NBK provides retail and corporate banking services, investment banking and brokerage services, insurance services, among other financial services. The proposed transaction was an offer by KCB to acquire up to 100% of the share capital of NBK through a share swap. The transaction therefore qualified as a merger within the meaning of Sections 2 and 41 of the Act.

The Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) classifies commercial banks operating in Kenya into three tier groups using a composite weighted index (CWI) that considers net assets, customer deposits, capital and reserves, number of deposit accounts and number of loan accounts. While KCB and NBK may focus, or are stronger, on certain aspects of banking, their products offerings are in three main business lines namely; (i) Retail banking (ii) Corporate banking and (iii) Non-banking products, specifically the sale of short-term insurance.

The table below illustrates the various segments in banking;

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Retail Banking	Corporate Banking

• Current accounts
• **Savings accounts**
• **Lending (comprising both short-term and long-term loans)**
• **Mobile-Lending**
• **Credit Cards**
	• Government deposits
	• Current accounts
	• Fixed Deposits/Call accounts
	• Lending
	• Credit cards; and
	• Investment Banking:
	  o Brokerage; and
	  o Corporate Advisory

It is the Authority's view that the CBK's CWI provides a detailed analysis of the market structure and forms a concrete basis for assessment of the impact that the proposed transaction would have on competition.

According to information from the CBK, there are forty two (42) licensed banks in Kenya. Based on their asset base, the banks are classified into three tiers – Tiers 1, 2 and 3. Data from the CBK further shows that the market shares and concentration for the leading banks in the market for retail and corporate banking services are as follows: **KCB (14.14%)**, NIC/CBA Banks (10.67%), Cooperative Bank of Kenya (9.93%), Equity Bank (9.85%), Standard Chartered Bank (7.11%), Diamond Trust Bank (6.72%), Barclays Bank of Kenya (6.57%), CFC Stanbic (5.65%), I & M Bank (4.78%). These banks are classified as Tier 1.

Collectively, commercial banks in Tiers 2 and 3 control 24.58% of the market for retail and corporate banking services market. **NBK, a Tier 2 bank, has a market share of 2.37%,** according to CBK data. It is worth noting that the NBK has been ceding market share. In 2015, the bank had a market share of 3.42%. Post-merger, the market share of merged entity will stand at 16.51%. Further, the merged entity would face competition from other banks, more so Tier 1 institutions which control 49.47% of the market. Therefore, the proposed transaction was not likely to lead to lessening of competition in the market for retail and corporate banking services in Kenya.

Data from the Insurance Regulatory Authority (IRA) indicated that there were eight thousand one hundred and twenty three (8,123) licensed insurance intermediaries as at August 2017. Commercial banks mainly operate insurance agency services. Customers can access insurance products directly from an insurance company, brokers or agents. Data gathered by the Authority indicates that these segments control 18%, 31.5% and 50.5% market share respectively.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Data from the IRA further indicates that KCB and NBK's combined insurance business represents a market share **less than 1%** in an industry that is valued at over Ksh. 200 billion. In the brokerage business, some of the market players include Minet Insurance Brokers, D&G Insurance Brokers, Bim Biz Insurance Brokers, Royal Associate Insurance Brokers and Chancery Insurance Brokers.

Based on the foregoing, it was the Authority's view that the proposed transaction was unlikely to raise competition or market foreclosure concerns since the target has a minimal market presence. Further, the market has thousands of players who will offer competitive restraint.

During merger analysis, the Authority also considers the financial health of the parties and the extent to which the proposed transaction will impact the sustainability of the businesses in question. In this regard, it is important to note that NBK's profits decreased by 84% from Ksh. 410,784,000.00 in 2017 to Ksh. 7,008,000.00 in 2018 due to reduced lending. Loans and advances to customers in 2018 dropped by Ksh 9.9 billion (or 17%) to Ksh. 48 billion compared to Ksh. 57.88 billion in the previous year. This drop was attributable to increased loan impairment.

As at December 2018, NBK's core capital stood at Ksh. 2.34 billion. This was four times lower than the Ksh. 9 billion it closed 2017 with. This left the bank significantly in breach of regulatory capital ratios. NBK's total capital to total risk weighted assets ratio stood at 3.7% compared to the minimum statutory limit of 14.50%.

Although its liquidity ratio is above the minimum requirement of 20%, NBK's total capital to total risk-weighted assets was deficient to the extent of -10.4% at the end of 2018. Additionally, the bank's core capital to total debt liabilities stood at a negative 5.5% while core capital to total assets stands at negative 7.9%.

Based on the financial position elucidated above, it was clear to the Authority that NBK was under financial distress and required additional capital injection in order to continue operating as a going concern. KCB delivered positive financial results in 2018. The bank closed the financial year with total assets of Ksh. 714.3 billion, while its profits improved by 22% to Ksh. 24 billion. The bank's return on average equity was 21.9%. Further, the bank was not in breach of minimum statutory limits.

Another key consideration during merger analysis is public interest concerns that maybe occasioned by proposed transactions. Public interest concerns during merger analysis include the;

i. Extent to which a proposed merger would impact employment opportunities;

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

ii. Impact on competitiveness of small and medium enterprises (SMEs);
iii. Impact on particular industries/sectors; and
iv. Impact on the ability of national industries to compete in international markets.

The Authority was of the view that the proposed merger is likely to result in negative public interest concerns that needed to be considered and addressed. Specifically, the Authority anticipated that the merger would result in duplication of certain roles which is likely to lead to loss of employment.

Premised on the above, the Authority approved the proposed acquisition of National Bank of Kenya Limited by KCB Group on condition that 90% of the merged entity's employees will be retained for a period of eighteen (18) months from closing of the merger. In the Authority's view, the limitation on the number of employees that would be laid off will enable the merged entity progress with the ongoing restructuring. The time limitation of 18 months was informed by case precedence and submissions by the parties.

b) Access Bank PLC and Transnational Bank

In this transaction, the Authority unconditionally approved the merger between Access Bank PLC and Transnational Bank. Access Bank, the acquirer, is a Nigerian Bank listed on the Nigerian Stock Exchange to provide financial and monetary intermediation services such as money markets. It has operations in Rwanda, Zambia, Nigeria, Ghana, Gambia, Sierra Leone, and the Democratic Republic of Congo with the merger granting it access into the country. On the other hand, Transnational Bank is a limited liability banking services provider, providing retail, corporate and foreign exchange services in Kenya.

In its review of the transaction which sought to inject over one (1) billion Kenya Shillings into the Kenyan economy through the bank, the Authority did not identify any market overlaps in the transaction based on the fact that the acquirer had no presence in the country premerger. The transaction was, therefore, unlikely to lead to a lessening or prevention of competition in the banking sector in the Kenya and was approved unconditionally.

c) Commercial Bank S. A E and Mayfair Bank

The Authority also reviewed a notifiable exclusion transaction involving Commercial Bank S. A E and Mayfair Bank. The acquirer, Commercial Bank S.A.E is an Egyptian Bank listed on the Cairo Stock Exchange and the London Stock Exchange. It provides banking services in Egypt and entered into the country through the acquisition of the Mayfair Bank. The acquirer sought to revamp the target bank with over three (3) billion Kenya Shillings of investment.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Mayfair bank is a traditional brick and mortar as well as digital virtual banking entity that provides banking and other financial services to its customers mostly found in major cities in Kenya.

The Authority, in its review, considered the market for banking and the transaction was excluded since it was unlikely to lead to lessening of competition or raise any negative public interest considerations and met the thresholds for Exclusion.

d) ICEA Lion Insurance Holdings Limited and Eastern Africa Holdings Limited

The Authority unconditionally approved the acquisition of control of ICEA Lion Insurance Holdings Limited by Eastern Africa Holdings Limited. The acquirer, East Africa Holdings Limited is incorporated in United Kingdom and affiliated to Leapfrog Strategic Africa. The target, ICEA Lion Insurance Holding, is an insurance service provider incorporated in Kenya. Specifically, the entity provides general and life insurance services as well as assets and fund management services.

In its competition and public interest analysis of the transaction, the Authority did not identify any overlaps given that the acquirer had no presence in Kenya before the merger. The Authority cognizant of the investment value of over Ksh. 10 billion into the Kenyan economy did not identify any elements of the transaction that were likely to raise any negative competition concerns or detriment public interest.

e) ICEA Lion and Stanlib Kenya Limited

In another insurance transaction, ICEA Lion acquired the business and assets of Stanlib Kenya Limited which at the time was exiting the country. Stanlib Kenya Limited is a subsidiary of Liberty Holdings Limited which is listed on the Johannesburg stock exchange.

The Authority in its determination identified the relevant market for asset and fund management services. Data from the Retirement Benefits Authority (RBA) indicated that post-merger the merged entity will hold a market share of 15% putting it at number three (3) in the market after Sanlam and Gen Africa respectively.

The Authority was of the view that the merger would safeguard the over Ksh. 120 billion assets funds, including pension funds, managed by the target through the transfer to the acquirer. Additionally, the transaction would not confer any dominance to the acquirer and, therefore, was not likely to negatively affect competition in the market. It was also unlikely to lead to negative public interest concerns. Therefore, in order facilitate exit of Stanlib without substantial harm to fund management in the country, the transaction was approved unconditionally.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

3. BUYER POWER

Buyer power refers to the influence that can be exerted by a purchaser (buyer) to obtain more favourable terms than would otherwise pertain, or to impose on a supplier a long term opportunity cost which would be significantly disproportionate to any resulting long term cost to the buyer. An undertaking with buyer power is able to influence the bargaining process and compel its suppliers to reduce prices below the level that is profitable or competitive. It is also able to extract other terms of supply that are more favourable than a supplier's ordinary contractual terms and engage in conduct that would otherwise not be accepted, but for the existence of buyer power.

Conducts amounting to abuse of buyer power include delays in payment without justifiable reason in breach of agreed terms of payment; unilateral termination or threats of termination of a commercial relationship without notice or on an unreasonably short notice period without an objectively justifiable reason; refusal to receive or return goods without justifiable reason; transfer of costs or risks to suppliers of goods or services by imposing a requirement for the suppliers to fund the cost of a promotion; and demand for limitations on supplies to other buyers. Others include transfer of commercial risks meant to be borne by the buyer to the suppliers; demanding for preferential terms unfavourable to the supplier; reducing prices by a small but significant amount where there is difficulty in substitutability of alternative buyers and reducing prices below competitive levels.

Any conduct that amounts to abuse of buyer power in a market in Kenya, or a substantial part of Kenya, is an offence punishable by imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years, or a maximum fine of 10 million shillings, or both. The Authority is additionally enabled to take administrative measures to remedy infringements.

Amendments to the Act, which took effect in December 2019, expanded the mandate of the Authority with regard to regulation of abuse of buyer power. Section 31 of the Act now explicitly includes abuse of buyer power among the market conduct that the Authority can investigate either on its own initiative or upon receipt of a complaint. Furthermore, the Authority can inquire into sectors or undertakings that are experiencing or are likely to experience incidences of abuse of buyer power and monitor their activities. To ensure compliance, the Authority may impose reporting and prudential requirements. With this power, the Authority can act pre-emptively in order to safeguard the wellbeing of markets.

While utilising the powers donated by Parliament in the recent amendments, the Authority commenced a sector-wide investigation into incidences of abuse of buyer power in the retail sector, culminating in among other interventions, imposition of prudential and reporting requirements.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

To foster self-regulation, the Authority may require industries and sectors in which instances of abuse of buyer power are likely to occur to develop a binding code of practice. The developed code shall be published by the Authority in consultation with the relevant stakeholders, relevant Government agencies and the Attorney-General. Following the investigation into the retail sector referred to above, the Authority has requested stakeholders in the sector to prepare and submit to it a code of practice for publication.

Situations of unwritten contracts are often open to abuse since terms are not on record. In a situation where buyer power exists, the supplier may have little choice except to abide by what the buyer dictates. Furthermore, terms of engagement can be varied on the whims of the buyer. With the 2019 amendments, the Act sets out the minimum terms that shall constitute a contract of supply. An agreement between a buyer and a supplier undertaking shall include:

- the terms of payment;
- the payment date;
- the interest rate payable on late payment;
- the conditions for termination and variation of the contract with reasonable notice; and
- a mechanism for the resolution of disputes.

The Authority has isolated sectors that have high incidences of abuse of buyer power, specifically the insurance and retail sectors, with a view to developing template contracts in consultation with the stakeholders for adoption by players in these sectors.

In determining a complaint of alleged abuse of buyer power, the Authority applies a two-tiered approach established under the Act and clarified in the Buyer Power Guidelines. The first test is to establish whether the buyer was in a position of buyer power as against the supplier. The test takes into account all relevant circumstances including the nature and determination of contract terms between the concerned undertakings; the commercial significance of the products in relation to the buyer undertaking; the buyer's significance to the seller; the ability of the buyer undertaking to easily switch to competing suppliers and the supplier to easily switch buyers. Dominance is not necessary since abuse of buyer power occurs even in markets with low levels of concentration. Consequently, consideration of market shares bears equal weight with all relevant factors.

The second test is subject to a positive finding of existence of buyer power. It comprises investigation into whether the buyer engaged in any of the conducts that are prohibited by the Act. Where the outcome of the second test is also affirmative, the Authority will make a finding of breach of section 24A (1) of the Act, which prohibits abuse of buyer power in a market in Kenya.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

In the year under review, the Authority investigated thirty two (32) abuse of buyer power cases from which eighteen (18) were concluded. Of these cases, 38% emanated from the insurance sector, 28% from the retail sector and 14% from government procurement. The remainder were from manufacturing, telecommunications, energy and agriculture sectors as shown in Figure 6 below. All cases emanating from government procurement were forwarded to the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA).

Figure 6. Buyer Power Cases by Sector



Informed by the high prevalence of abuse of buyer power reports in the insurance sector, the Authority plans to develop a template contract for consideration and adoption by players in the sector in liaison with the sector regulator, Association of Kenya Insurers, Kenya Motor Vehicle Repairer's Association, among other stakeholders.

In terms of abuse of buyer power conducts investigated, delayed payments was the most prevalent at 66%, followed by unilateral termination without notice or on short notice at 15%. Figure 7 below indicates the prevalence of the various types of conducts in the complaints presented to the Authority.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Figure 7. Nature of Conduct Complained about



The use of buyer power can negatively affect sustainability of suppliers. Price cuts precipitated by exertion of buyer power reduce a supplier's incomes and consequently the capacity for research and development. The more costs are shifted from the supplier to the powerful purchaser, the greater the likelihood that the supplier will ultimately become unsustainable and exit the market. With this in mind, the Authority prioritised investigations into cases with the greatest impact on SMEs in view of their pivotal position in the economy. Data from the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics indicates that SMEs contribute up to 40% of the GDP and constitute up to 98% of all businesses in the country. The vast majority of suppliers are SMEs with a substantial disparity in bargaining power between themselves and buyers of their goods and services for resale in the market. They are the biggest casualties of abuse of buyer power. In this regard, below are some notable matters investigated in the year:

a) Chred Designs Limited vs Greenlands Agro-producers (K) Limited

Chred Designs Limited, presented a complaint against Greenlands Agro-producers (K) Limited, an exporter of horticultural products, claiming that from September 2018 Greenlands engaged in abuse of its buyer power by delaying payments, followed by unilateral termination of the contract of supply. Chred claimed to have been in a commercial agreement with Greenlands for the supply

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

of perforated packaging bags for use in packaging horticulture products for local and export markets between the years 2017 and 2019.

Chred alleged that termination of the contract in 2019 was precipitated by its demands that all its delayed payments be honoured. Following several such demands, the buyer abruptly stopped placing orders in December 2019, effectively terminating the contractual relationship.

The Authority's investigations and assessment established as follows:

1. Greenlands held a position of buyer power over Chred. This was arrived at in consideration of the following factors:

a. Following assessment of the market, the Authority established that although the majority of horticulture companies procure perforated bags for the export market, securing business with such companies was not open to small suppliers at the level Chred was found to be at. Existing bigger suppliers in the market with established long-lasting relationship with the companies took up that business leaving SME suppliers to supply to smaller undertakings such as Greenlands;
b. Chred's ability to switch to alternative buyers was limited by its size and was further suppressed by the withheld payments which made it difficult to invest in expansion in order to qualify for access to bigger buyers; and
c. The contract between the parties was not reduced into writing. The Authority construed its terms from business documents exchanged by the parties, such as local purchase orders (LPOs) and invoices. The mode of operation between the parties was such that Greenlands would issue LPO and Chred would make deliveries of the ordered goods. The duration within which payment was made varied between thirty-five (35) to ninety (90) days at the discretion of Greenlands. This ability to adjust the contract to its convenience and the fact that the supplier had to accept the new terms pointed to a superior bargaining position.

2. Greenland's engaged in conduct in abuse of buyer power. Evidence provided by the supplier and which Greenlands admitted confirmed that the buyer had:

a. Delayed payment in the amount of Three Hundred and Twenty Four Thousand, Eight Hundred (Ksh.324,800); and
b. Unilaterally terminated the contract without notice and without an objectively justifiable reason.

Investigation of records from Chred established that the conduct of the buyer had reduced its profitability and caused it to default on a bank finance.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Greenlands opted to settle the matter by settling the sum of Three Hundred and Twenty Four Thousand, Eight Hundred Kenya shillings (Ksh. 324,800.00) to Chred. The Authority's decision secured the recovery and sustainability of the supplier.

b) Kishliz Enterprises vs Lemigo Dala Holdings

Conduct in abuse of buyer power is actionable only where there is an existence of buyer power. In the instant case, Kishliz Enterprises, a supplier of general hygiene products presented a complaint against Lemigo Dala Holdings, alleging abuse of buyer power by delaying payment. The Lemigo Dala Holdings is a retailer running two supermarket branches.

Kishliz alleged that it delivered goods worth Forty One Thousand, Seven Hundred and Sixty Kenya shillings (Ksh. 41,760.00) to Lemigo Dala in June 2019 and issued an invoice for the amount. Of this the invoiced sum, Lemigo Dala paid Six Thousand, Seven Hundred and Twenty Kenya shillings (Ksh. 6,720.00) leaving Thirty-five Thousand and Forty Kenya shillings (Ksh. 35,040.00) outstanding. Consequently, Kishliz discontinued supplies and moved to alternative retailers, thereby terminating its relationship with the retailer. It subsequently presented a complaint to the Authority.

On investigation, the Authority found as follows:

1. Lemigo Dala did not have buyer power over Kishliz:

a. It was established that there was a good spread of alternative outlets in the relevant geographical market. There were a total of 9 alternative retail undertakings competing with Lemigo Dala in the relevant market;
b. The fact that the supplier was able to easily and quickly find alternative market for its goods negated any possibility of dependency on the buyer for the distribution of its products to the consumer; and
c. The buyer was not in a position to obtain more favourable terms than would ordinarily be possible or to impose a long term opportunity cost which if carried out would be disproportionately injurious to the supplier than to itself. Termination of the contract of supply was not likely to cause more loss to Kishliz than to Lemigo Dala.

Premised on these findings, the Authority did not proceed to investigate occurrence of conduct in abuse of buyer power and closed the investigation.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

CONTRIBUTION TO SECURING FUNCTIONAL SUPPLY CHAINS

Retail Sector Investigations

In April 2020, the Authority received information of retail supermarkets failing to pay local suppliers on time. Pursuant to section 31(1) of the Act, the Authority commenced investigations into the matter, focusing on twenty five (25) undertakings across the country identified as having the capacity to exercise buyer power. Information was requested regarding their debt portfolios for outstanding supply payments over ninety (90) days. Concurrently, the Authority requested for information from local suppliers of supermarkets on their outstanding debts beyond 90 days and received submissions from seventy three (73) suppliers.

Analysis of the information and documents presented to the Authority revealed that the vast majority of retail supermarkets were not in breach of the law and were honouring supplier payments on time. However, four (4) top-tier retailers, who were found to have delayed payments past ninety (90) days, were required to present payment plans to reduce their debt portfolios. Subsequent consistent compliance checks are being conducted to ensure adherence.

The Authority imposed Prudential and Reporting Orders under section 24A (2) on one retailer and continues to monitor compliance.

In addition to preserving supply chains, especially of essential supplies, the surveillance of the retail sector secured payment of suppliers and promoted understanding of the obligations of buyers under the Act. The Authority held consultative meetings with Business Membership Organisations such as Association of Kenya Suppliers, Retail Trade Association of Kenya and Kenya Association of Manufacturers thereby introducing the beginning of self-regulation.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

THEME 2: CONSUMER PROTECTION

The Authority's mandate of protecting consumers is derived from Part VI of the Act. It provides for investigations of consumer cases relating to false or misleading representations; unconscionable conduct; and unsafe, defective or unsuitable goods. This mandate is in line with Article 46 of the Constitution.

HANDLING OF CONSUMER COMPLAINTS

During the period under review, the Authority received, investigated and finalized consumer complaints into different markets, specifically wheat and maize flour, breads, juices and edible oil.

In the FY 2019/20, a total of one hundred and seventy-seven (178) consumer complaints were handled. This was a twenty-eight (28) per cent increase compared to FY 2018/19 where the Authority handled one hundred and thirty nine (139) consumer complaints.

The FY 2019/2020 saw the number of complaints in the Manufacturing sector increase by 19 consumer complaints representing eight (8) percent from the previous year to thirty nine consumer complaints representing twenty-two (22) percent of the total cases.

As noted in figure 1 below, cases handled in the financial and insurance, transportation and storage, accommodation and food services increased to thirty seven, twelve and two consumer complaints representing 21%, 7% and 1% respectively. These increases may have resulted from the Authority's continued consumer rights campaign initiatives, including creation of awareness to the consumers at the County level and increased media campaigns, which have led to consumers lodging complaints with the Authority. It is noted that increases in consumer complaints in these sectors will necessitate the Authority to enhance its engagement with market players in these sectors, to sensitize them on the need to adhere to consumer rights.

The year under review saw consumers lodge complaints relating to telecommunications, airline, construction, health, administrative activities as well as media and advertising services. The Authority will continue to monitor the trends in these sectors, with particular focus on the interventions to ensure that the number of complaints do not increase.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Figure 8: Comparison of Consumer Complaint Cases between FY 2018/19 and FY 2019/20



KEY CONSUMER RELATED CASES HANDLED

A majority of the Kenyan populace is increasingly becoming health conscious in terms of the food they eat, and this is reflected in their shopping patterns as they shop for products that offer more health benefits. According to Euro monitor International, Kenyan middle class consumers are increasingly interested in health benefits for most edible commodities. Further, it is notable that with the advancements in technology and increasing use of the internet, consumers in Kenya remain prime targets for advertising and marketing practices that are deceptive.

Article 46 of the Constitution of Kenya provides that consumers have the right to information necessary for them to gain full benefit from goods and services. It further provides that consumers have the right to the protection of their health, safety, and economic interests. The Authority is therefore cognizant of the need for manufacturers to be clear on the information they are providing to consumers through labelling of products.

Pursuant to its mandate, the Authority undertook a market screening into the food retail sub-sector, targeting food products that have a national coverage. The targeted food products were edible oil and fats, fruit juices, breads and maize/wheat flour.

The investigations into the food retail sub-sector aimed at establishing whether the companies had violated the Act, and complied with the Kenya Standards on labelling of pre-packaged goods and specific standards on the products. Section 60 (1) of the Act provides that it shall be an offence, in trade, for a person to supply goods that are intended to be used, or are of a kind likely

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

to be used, by a consumer, being goods of a kind in respect of which a consumer product information standard has been prescribed. In this case, the prescribed consumer product information standard is the Kenyan Standard.

It is worth noting that the Authority in undertaking the investigations consulted with the Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS). This is also provided for in section 67 of the Act which states that the Authority shall consult with the KEBS in all matters involving definition and specification of goods and the grading of goods. Highlights of the investigations into these markets are as below:

a) Investigations into Edible Oils and Fats

Alongside price, consumers' purchasing decisions are heavily influenced by nutritional and dietary information provided by manufacturers. Generally, consumers rely on the information provided in the product labels to make decisions on the healthier and more nutritional choices to go for when purchasing edible oils and fats.

Consumers suffering from high cholesterol, for example, will choose cooking oils and fats which are cholesterol free, so as not to aggravate their prevailing condition. Other consumers who are watching their health, will go for the healthier brands of edible oils and fats that are fortified with nutrients and lack cholesterol in a bid to maintain a healthy lifestyle.

If the information provided by the manufacturers in these labels are false, then harm caused to such consumers may be grave as they are misled to believe that they are making healthy choices. According to statistics from the Kenya Association of Manufacturers (KAM), the edible oils sub-sector in Kenya produces over 755,000 MT/year. This evidences the important role the sub sector plays in the food basket of the Kenyan consumer. Therefore, the dissemination of false or misleading claims on products would have a huge negative impact on consumers.

As indicated earlier, the Authority undertook a market screening of the edible fats and oils sold in Kenya. The main objective of the market screening was to further investigate the health claims on the products, with particular focus on aspects regarding cholesterol levels, fortification with vitamins and minerals, the presence of certain ingredients, and the use of references that have not been approved by the relevant prescribed product information standards in Kenya.

The Authority's investigations established that some of the manufacturers of edible oils and fats were referencing the nutritional information of their products to United States Food and Administration (USFDA) reference, creating the false impression to consumers that the products were tested by the USFDA. The Authority observed that this was a contravention of Section 55 of the Act, which prohibits suppliers of goods and services from giving false or misleading information to consumers. Regarding levels of fortification and cholesterol, the Authority, in its

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

investigations established that the levels were within allowable levels according the relevant Kenya country Standards.

The Authority therefore ordered the responsible manufacturers to revise the labels to accurately depict nutritional values derived from tests that they have undertaken rather than relying on book values derived from USFDA. The timelines for implementation ranged between three months and nine months to effect these changes, determined on a case by case basis. It is expected that all manufacturers will have complied by May 2021.

b) Investigations into the Impact of Juices Sector on Consumers

The Authority investigated fresh juice products in the market. This was necessitated by the growing use of unsubstantiated nutritional claims by some manufacturers in the Kenyan market. The scope of the screening focused on; product manufacture, product labeling and display, ingredients/contents of products, displays of disclaimers, among others.

The investigations into the major fruit juice manufactured in Kenya established that the companies had failed to indicate in their labelling that the juices were made from concentrates. This was in contravention of the Act and prescribed standard on labelling of juices. However, it should be further emphasized that the contraventions on labelling were not in any way harmful to consumers.

The Authority's intervention in the juices sub-sector saw all the manufacturers whose products were investigated revise their labelling to reflect that they are manufactured from concentrates.

To augment the investigations, the Authority continues to sensitize these manufacturers on the provisions of the Act, and on consumer rights in the food sector. The sensitizations have been positively taken by the manufacturers who continue to collaborate with the Authority to ensure they are in compliance with the Act.

c) Investigations into the Bakery Sector

Regarding investigations into the bread sub-sector, the focus of the Authority was on product labelling and display of date and month of manufacture and best before dates, ingredients of products, displays of disclaimers, among others. The investigations were based on the provisions Section 55, 59, and 60 on false or misleading representations, product safety standards and unsafe goods and product information standards, respectively.

The investigations focused on all the bread manufacturers in Kenya with the aim of establishing whether they were in compliance with the Act and the relevant standard on labelling of bread. A

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

review of the labelling by bread manufacturers established that had not indicated the month of manufacture on the label of their breads. Additionally, the 'best before dates' were indicated as sell by or expiry dates, while some bread manufacturers had indicated that their breads were fortified with minerals, without necessarily indicating the specific minerals. Some bakeries which manufacture pre- packaged bread did not provide any product information on the labels of their bread.

After conclusion of the investigations, the Authority required all the manufacturers to change their labelling to reflect the words "Best before" and manufacture dates and month on the label of their breads. Those that had not indicated the specific vitamins and minerals in their labels were required to include the information on the wrapper of their breads.

d) Investigations into the Maize Flour Sector

The Authority recognizes the importance of the wheat and maize flour sub-sector as the majority of Kenyans consume maize and wheat flour. It is in this regard that the Authority launched sector wide investigations into the fortification and labeling claims as displayed by various manufacturers of maize and wheat flour.

It was positive to note that the majority of the manufacturers had complied with labelling standards. Additionally, the fortification was within the required levels. However, it was established that Soko Maize meal and Pembe Maize flour produced by Capwell Industries Limited and Pembe Flour Mills Limited, respectively, were not in compliance with the relevant consumer information standards and labelling requirements. However, it is worth noting that the products did not pose any consumer harm.

The investigations culminated into a settlement under section 38 of the Act. Pembe Flour Mills Limited and Capwell Industries Limited made an undertaking to ensure that all its products contain the minimum required nutritional and mineral value standards, to comply with the provisions of the Act and all applicable legislation by providing information to consumers on the labelling of their products as required by any standards.

The Authority will ensure continuous monitoring the markets to ensure consumers are provided with information necessary for them to gain full benefit of the goods or services as provided for in Article 46 of the Constitution.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

CONTRIBUTION TO POLICY DEVELOPMENT- CONSUMER PROTECTION FRAMEWORK IN THE FINANCIAL SERVICES SECTOR

In the Medium Term Plan III, the Authority, in the year under review played an important role in implementing the overall goal of enhancing the financial capability of Kenyans, and increasing financial inclusion.

Therefore, with guidance from The National Treasury, the Authority in collaboration with the Joint Financial Sector Regulators Forum (JFSRF), consisting of the Central Bank of Kenya, Capital Markets Authority, Insurance Regulatory Authority, Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority and the Retirement Benefits Authority, were tasked in developing a consumer protection framework in the financial services sector.

The rapid development of financial services, particularly; the development of credit products and emerging innovations have revolutionized how consumers access services and products in the insurance, capital markets and retirement benefits sectors, from the comfort of their mobile phones and computers. The Authority has however noted that there is need to enhance the protection of consumers in the financial services sector. This has been necessitated by the increasing number of consumer complaints the Authority has been receiving specifically in regards to mobile loan applications and credit only institutions, commonly referred to as "shylocks". In its assessment of the market, the Authority notes that the rapid changes in the financial services sector, coupled with a lack of a robust legal and regulatory framework, and a generally lack of understanding of financial products by consumers, has resulted in consumers of financial services being left exposed to violation of their rights.

Some of the complaints the Authority has been receiving range from unfair practices by mobile loan companies in the recovery of unpaid loans; the non-disclosure of fees and charges when applying for mobile phone loans or loans through micro-credit institutions; and unfair terms and conditions in the banking and insurance sectors. Thus, a Consumer Protection Framework that will enhance the protection of consumers is therefore needed.

It is for this reasons that the Authority, with guidance from the National Treasury and Planning developed a Position Paper on the Consumer Protection Framework in the Financial Services Sector. The Paper gives recommendations for the review of the legal and regulatory environment to address the concerns in the sub sectors. Additionally, it gives recommendations for a Consumer Protection Framework that is anchored on six key pillars: Redress and dispute resolution mechanisms; Fraud prevention; Data protection and privacy; Information disclosure and transparency; Protection of funds; and Encourage Competition

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

OTHER CASES HANDLED BY THE AUTHORITY UNDER CONSUMER PROTECTION

a) Jacob Okelloh vs Kilimall International Ltd - False or Misleading Representation in e-Commerce

The Authority investigated a complaint on allegations that Kilimall International Ltd was posting inaccurate description on their website of a Soundbar Bluetooth Speaker they were selling. It was alleged that the product specifications on the website did not match the actual product delivered.

Pursuant to Section 70A of the Act, investigations were initiated into the conduct of Kilimall International for possible contravention of Section 55 (a)(i) of the Act. The investigations culminated in Kilimall refunding the consumer all his money upon return of the speaker. Additionally, Kilimall International Ltd were required to review the description of their products on the website to reflect accurate information of the products.

Consumers should be given accurate information regarding the products they purchase, to enable them make informed choices.

b) **Consumer Protection Intervention in Regard to COVID - 19**

As a result of the announcement of the first COVID-19 case in Kenya, the Authority carried out a research to assess how various jurisdictions around the world were undertaking enforcement and policy formulation regarding price increases of essential commodities.

In an attempt to address the mushrooming consumer issues during the COVID-19 phase, the Authority issued a Cautionary Notice on 13th March, 2020 to manufacturers and traders on the unconscionable increase in prices, hoarding and taking advantage of the pandemic; conducted Mystery shopping in forty three (43) counties across Kenya; sensitized the public about consumer issues arising during COVID-19 through Live talk shows on TV; took remedial Action against a supermarket that was exploiting consumers through price gouging practices; and ordered manufacturers to expunge exclusivity contracts and retailers to avail essential goods at non-discriminatory terms.

Further, the Authority has been receiving complaints from consumers through various channels (social media, CAK public portal); requesting information from local suppliers on delayed payments, and encouraging consumers to engage the Authority through its public Portal (i.e. https://competition.cak.go.ke:444.)

The Authority is also undertaking an internet sweep and researching on consumer protection in the digital financial services, to aid in addressing issues of financial fraud. The Authority's

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

interventions during the COVID-19 phase have increased availability of essential products including foodstuffs and promoted stability of prices for essential products and foodstuffs.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

THEME 3: PLANNING, RESEARCH AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

The overall objective of the policy and research activities of the Authority as prescribed in Section 9 of the Act, is to ensure that the Authority's decisions are informed by research findings to promote competition and protect the interests of consumers. In this regard, the Authority undertakes market inquiries, research studies, provides policy advice to government and liaises with relevant sector regulators on competition policy and consumer protection matters.

The Act also empowers the Authority to carry out competition advocacy which is one of the main pillars of modern competition law that aims at creating, expanding and strengthening awareness of competition law and policy in the economy. Section 9 of the Act mandates the Authority to undertake advocacy in order to deepen the culture of competition in the economy.

The Authority sensitized over four hundred (400) stakeholders during the period under review including lawyers and advocates, nineteen (19) county governments, sector regulators and business communities among others. As a result of the advocacy initiatives, there has been an increase in the number of competition law advocates from four (4) in 2013 to over forty-seven (47) advocates in the country. Further, county governments have begun engaging the Authority to provide advice on competition policy analysis for their proposed laws and policies. The Authority' visibility in both the traditional and new media has also been enhanced following our advocacy activities. The policy, research and competition advocacy initiatives undertaken during the financial year are:

A. Market Inquiries

a) Airline sector study

The Authority in collaboration with African Competition Forum (ACF) carried out a cross-country study in the airline industry. The ACF is an informal network of African Competition Authorities, whose principal objective is to promote the adoption of competition principles in the implementation of national and regional economic policies of African countries. The ACF members who participated in the cross country study were: Egypt, Nigeria, South Africa, Gambia, Mauritius, Angola, Kenya and also COMESA.

The study sought to understand the market structure, alliances, state involvement and regulatory setting for the domestic, regional and international market for Kenya; to identify competition concerns and to identify regional and continental priorities for Kenya in the air passenger transport. The objectives of the ACF study were:

i. Mapping of the airline industry to appreciate the regional and international dynamics that are of primary relevance to the member country;

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

ii. To understand the market structure, alliances, state involvement and regulatory setting for the airline industry in the different ACF member countries;
iii. To understand the market structure, alliances and state involvement and regulatory setting on regional and international services that impact on continental trade and tourism;
iv. To get an understanding of the type of competition concerns that exist in respect of the airline industry in the different ACF member countries; and
v. To provide a platform for identifying regional and continental priorities in respect of the airline industry.

The study recommended the following in order to increase competition in the prioritized routes:
i. Review the existing bilateral agreements to ensure consistency with the Yamoussoukro Decision framework;
ii. Encourage partnerships, mergers and consortium within African Airspace by offering incentives to operators;
iii. Collaboration with Aviation Authorities to assess price competition concerns; and
iv. Improvement of airport infrastructure is essential in increasing the airport capacity, as it is a determinant of landing and take-off slots.

However, due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, the ACF member states agreed that the recommendations made in the report were no longer applicable as the airline industry had been greatly affected by the pandemic. It was, therefore, agreed that the duration of the study be extended with the aim incorporating the impacts the pandemic has on the findings of the study and make relevant recommendations going forward.

b) Impact Assessment

The Authority conducted an impact assessment on its past decision in the Cement sub-sector. The objectives of the assessment were to:

i. Evaluate the impact of the Authority's decisions in the sectors in terms of economic benefits which included: consumer savings, improved access to goods and services, greater product variety, and investment into the economy in response to the Authority's intervention in the sectors; and
ii. Increase accountability and improve on the Authorities decisions based on lessons learnt and the welfare gains of competition policy and law implementation.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

The Authority in 2014 investigated the Kenyan cement industry pursuant to section 31 of the Act. The investigations established that there existed the East African Cement Producers Association (EACPA) who had been collecting and disseminating commercial and strategic industry specific information to its members on a monthly basis. The information exchange enabled the members to align their business strategy in a manner that distorted competition by targeting market shares leading to the constraining of output.

The Authority ordered EACPA to stagger the dissemination of the aggregated data on domestic sales and export sales to six (6) months. The EACPA discontinued the conduct of data collation of domestic and export sales and disseminating the same to its members.

The impact assessment on the industry indicated that after the Authority's decision in the industry, the market opened up encouraging new entrants. This saw Rai Cement entering the market while National Cement and Mombasa Cement expanded their production capacities in 2019. Further, cement consumers benefitted from reduced prices cumulating total consumer savings of **Ksh. 1.4 billion** between 2017 and 2018 and an additional consumer saving of **Ksh. 3.1 billion** between 2018 and 2019. These findings are clear indications of low barriers to entry and expansion in cement production post the Authority's intervention in the industry.

c) Partnerships and Networks

In order to enhance competition in the national economy, the Authority engaged in partnerships, and networks and deepened integration. The Authority interacted with regional and international competition agencies and other institutions on information exchange and sharing of experiences on competition and consumer protection. The key highlights were:

i. Public Procurement Regulatory Authority

The Authority engaged the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) with the aim of promoting procedural cooperation and coordination when dealing with cases of collusive tendering and consumer protection in the public sector. During the year under review, the two sector regulators:

ii. Developed a Checklist of Bid Rigging Red Flags and Reporting Guidelines of Suspected Collusion in Public Procurement

In order to promote prudent management of public resources and promote competition and efficiency in government procurement, the Authority jointly with the PPRA developed a checklist of bid rigging red flags and Reporting Guidelines. This checklist of bid rigging red flags and Reporting Guidelines is meant to deal with competition concerns of bid rigging in

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

public procurement. The Authority is currently applying the checklist in investigation of bid rigging cases.

iii. Capacity Building on Bid Rigging & Evidence Gathering

Case officers from the two agencies were sensitized on bid rigging and evidence gathering aimed at increasing efficiency in detecting and investigating bid rigging cases. This training equipped the Authority's staff with necessary knowledge and skills to deal with competition concerns in public procurement focusing on bid rigging.

iv. Development Policy Operation (DPO) Reform Actions

Development Policy Operation (DPO) reform actions are innovative development policies spearheaded by the World Bank that addresses trade facilitation and competitiveness by offering a common framework for coordinating sector-specific reforms. During the period under review, the two agencies reviewed Standard Tender Documents and collaborated to combat illegal coordination among bidders through the Competition (General) Rules, 2019. The National Treasury and Planning gazetted these Rules that include specific provisions on agreements among competitors and tools to enhance deterrence.

v. Kenya Civil Aviation Authority

In order to promote consumer protection in the Aviation industry, the Authority in collaboration with the Kenya Civil Aviation Authority (KCAA) held a joint sensitization session on consumer welfare in the aviation sector. During the session, the Authority incorporated the use of technology to reach and interact with the industry stakeholders through webinars.

vi. Energy and Petroleum Regulatory Authority

The energy sector plays a critical role in the socio-economic development of the national economy. Petroleum and electricity, as sources of energy, are the key catalysts of the economic development. The Authority and the Energy and Petroleum Regulatory Authority (EPRA) signed a Memorandum of Understanding in February 2020 that established a cooperation framework to address competition and consumer protection concerns in the energy sector. The cooperation includes, but not limited to, carrying out joint investigations, evaluations and analysis of specified issues arising or incidental to both the Competition Act 2010 and the Energy Act 2019. In the coming financial year, both institutions will implement the work plan to operationalize this MoU.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

d) Adoption of International Best Practices that Suite the Authority

Despite the challenges posed by COVID-19 during the second half of the period under review, the Authority's participation in international conferences aimed at sharing information and experiences, learning and adopting international best practices on competition and consumer protection law and policy enforcement was not hampered. Some of the conferences which were held include:

i. Webinar by International Competition Network (ICN) Merger Working Group on Sound Decision Making hosted by the Authority

The ICN is a network of over 132 competition agencies around the world. The organization aims to promote efficient and effective competition across the globe dealing with emergent trends in the realm of competition with the aim of consumer protection. During the period under review, the Authority hosted the webinar on sound decision making in merger analysis whose main objective was to promote the adoption of best practices in the design and operation of merger review. The webinar attracted over one hundred and sixty nine (169) participants from the academia, international practitioners and competition agencies across the world. The session was useful in promoting the Authority's predictability in merger analysis, decision making and competition enforcement.

ii. International Competition Network (ICN) Virtual Meeting on Competition Law Enforcement at the Intersection of Competition, Consumer Protection and Privacy

The objective of the conference was to share experiences and increase the understanding of ICN members on the regulatory challenges posed by the intersection of competition law enforcement, consumer protection and privacy law enforcement. During the session, the Authority harnessed international best practices that will be key in informing decision making and competition enforcement, particularly for the digital economy to avoid market distortions.

iii. UNCTAD Webinar on Key Competition and Consumer Protection Priorities for Regional Integration in Africa

The Authority participated in the above webinar whose main aim was to share knowledge and experience on key competition and consumer protection priorities for regional integration in Africa in the wake of COVID-19 pandemic. The panelists who discussed the key issues pertaining to Competition and African integration were drawn from the Regional Organizations, Member States, Informal Networks and academia, NGOs and the UNCTAD. Other panelists were drawn from COMESA Competition Commission, Competition Authority of Kenya, West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU) Commission, University of Ngaoundéré-Cameroon and AU Consumer Association.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

The webinar focused on the following three areas:

a) The experience of regional organizations in the field of competition and consumer protection;
b) The work of regional networks in the field of competition and consumer protection; and
c) The contribution of the Africa Continental Free Trade Agreement in the field of competition.

The Authority shared its experience regarding cross border cooperation pointing out that cooperation between national and regional competition agencies, like COMESA Competition Commission, had introduced a one-stop shop for cross-border transactions thereby easing the cost of doing business through elimination of double or multiple notifications of transactions with a regional dimension.

iv. UNCTAD Webinar on the Role of International Cooperation During the COVID-19 Pandemic

The Authority participated in the above webinar organized by UNCTAD's Competition Division whose objective was to share experiences on competition law enforcement during the COVID-19 pandemic. Further, the competition agencies also shared experiences on the resultant benefits of effective cooperation between the agencies geared towards promoting competition and consumer protection in their economies during and post the COVID-19 pandemic.

The webinar attracted over two hundred (200) participants from competition agencies across all continents in the world with panelists drawn from UNCTAD Competition and Consumer Policies Division, Albanian Competition Authority, Brazilian Competition Authority, Indonesian Competition Commission and Competition Commission of South Africa. The commentators for the webinar were drawn from Austrian Competition Authority, Japan Fair Trade Commission, Russian Federal Antimonopoly Services, US Department of Justice and US Federal Trade Commission.

There was a consensus on the need for increased international cooperation between various agencies to clump down on issues of Consumer Protection and Restrictive Trade Practices particularly regarding the price fixing, collusion, sharing sensitive business information and other potential competition concerns that may arise during COVID-19 pandemic period.

The Authority also took part in the UNCTAD, Africa International Trade and the ACF Webinars.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

e) Awards / Honours / Recognitions

i. Financial Reporting (FiRe) Award

The Authority, for the third time in a row, won the Financial Reporting (FiRe) Award under the Public Sector Accounting Category for prudent financial reporting. This was under the International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) accrual category. This is a prestigious award in East Africa for financial reporting sponsored by the Capital Markets Authority (CMA), Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK), Nairobi Securities Exchange (NSE) and the Public-Sector Accounting Standards Board-Kenya (PSASB).

f) Stakeholder Engagement

i. Competition and Consumer Protection Forums with County Governments

During the year under review, the Authority together with Public Procurement Regulatory Authority sensitized counties on competition and public procurement as provided for in the Competition Act, 2010 and Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015, respectively. This is in line with the MoU signed between the two State agencies to deal with competition concerns between undertakings in public procurement focused on promoting a competitive, efficient and economically sustainable public procurement sector.

The advocacy forums took place in sixteen (16) counties and County officials were sensitized on the mandates of the two sector regulators as well as Regulatory Impact Assessment framework, Competition (General) Rules 2019, Mergers & Acquisitions, Buyer Power & its Abuse, Enforcement & Compliance with the Act, various guidelines and Public Procurement & Disposal Act (Code of Ethics for suppliers and the business community).

Sensitization was carried out in the following counties; Nyeri, Tharaka Nithi, Makueni, Taita Taveta, Tana River, Bomet, Kisii, Homa-Bay, Kisumu, Siaya, Nandi, Samburu, Baringo, West Pokot, Turkana and Nairobi. Through the forums, the two State agencies deepened the competition culture and entrenched public procurement and asset disposal policies in the regional governments, as well as creating awareness on the agencies' mandates and raising their corporate image and visibility. The emerging issues recorded from these forums include support to counties that are developing policies to ensure that they are not anti-competitive, investigations into various mergers implemented without approval in different counties and capacity building for the County officials on competition law and further awareness creation on the Code of Ethics for suppliers and the business community.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

ii. Annual Capacity Building Workshop on Competition Law and Economic Regulation

The Authority held its 6th Annual Capacity Building Workshop on Competition Law and Economic Regulation in September 2019. The training workshop covered Law for Economic Regulation and Competition and Economics for Competition and Regulation with the objective of equipping stakeholders with requisite knowledge in competition law and policy. The participants included sector regulators, the legal fraternity, government agencies, business community, consumer bodies, journalists and participants from other key sectors of the economy.

A total of eighty-two (82) stakeholders were trained during the year. This brings to a total of three hundred and sixty six (366) stakeholders who have been trained over the years on various aspects of competition law and economic regulation. The annual training programme was integrated to include both theoretical and practical aspects incorporating moot cases to help the stakeholders understand how the Authority arrives at its decisions.

iii. Annual Symposium on Competition Law and Economic Regulation

This event brings together stakeholders with an objective of deepening their understanding of competition enforcement mechanisms and fostering competition in the economy. The Authority held its 6th Annual Competition and Economic Regulation Symposium in September 2019. The theme was; "Financial Inclusion and SMEs growth through Competition Regulation". The Symposium was attended by nearly one hundred and seventy (170) stakeholders representing Government agencies, private sector players, consumer organizations, law firms, development agencies, among others. The panelists included representatives from FSD Kenya, Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, the US department of Justice, COMESA Competition Commission, Ethics and Anti-Corruption Commission, the World Bank Group, Capital Markets Authority and Kenya Bankers Association.

iv. Competition (General) Rules, 2019

The Rules became operational in December 2019 and will ensure predictability in decision making in fostering competition and ensuring consumer protection. The Authority sensitized stakeholders on the Competition (General) Rules, 2019. The participants were members of the public, lawyers, trade associations, consumer bodies, sector regulators, government agencies and corporates in the financial and other key sectors of the economy.

v. Advocacy initiatives on Buyer Power

Buyer power regulation is still a relatively new area. In order to increase awareness among stakeholders, and in line with the Authority's function of promoting public knowledge,

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

awareness, and understanding of the obligations, rights and remedies under the Act and the duties, functions and activities of the Authority, the Authority conducted seven sensitisation (7) initiatives focused on the enforcement of abuse of buyer power. Of these, five (5) were on the initiative of the Authority and two (2) on the request of specific undertakings. A total of two hundred and sixty five (265) people were trained. In order to ensure a broad complete view of the nature of abuse of buyer power, its effect on markets and the Authority's enforcement, staff from three departments of the Authority - Buyer Power, Enforcement and Compliance and Mergers and Acquisitions - conducted the trainings.

The specific areas of training during the engagement covered an overview of the Act, the law on abuse of buyer power and its enforcement, treatment of buyer alliances and joint purchasing agreements (buyer cartels) and consideration of buyer power concerns in merger decisions.
The following were the groups that were trained;

1. Kenya Association of Manufacturers (KAM) – Nairobi and Mombasa Chapters
2. Lake Region Economic Block (LREB) - Kisumu
3. North Rift Economic Blocks (NOREB) - Eldoret
4. Toyota Kenya Ltd
5. Safaricom Plc Limited
6. Members of the Legal Fraternity

The capacity building programmes for individual corporate undertakings took into account the relevant concerns in their respective sectors while the training for members of the legal fraternity had the specific intention of building capacity of legal service providers, especially those that practice in the area of competition law for more effective and efficient enforcement of the Act.

Of special note, the Authority conducted a joint sensitization with the State Department of Trade (SDT) for retail sector stakeholders in the Lake Region Economic Block (LREB) and the North Rift Economic regions on 28th and 30th January 2020, respectively. The objective was sensitization of the region's retail stakeholders on the Competition Act, the Buyer Power Provisions of the Act, the current status of the Draft Trade Regulations and the Retail Sector Code of Practice. The Retail Trade Association of Kenya (RETRAK - which is a Business Membership Organization (BMO) for retailers in the Country) was part of the initiative and mobilised attendance from its members. Trainees were drawn from a broad spectrum of the business community in the two blocks including:

a) Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs);
b) Wholesalers – large and small;
c) Retailers and their Suppliers;

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

d) Small and Large Manufacturers;
e) Business Membership Organizations (BMO's); and
f) Policy makers in the Counties.

It provided an opportunity to create awareness on the law and mandate of the Authority as well as receive feedback from stakeholders in the regions' business communities.

Creating awareness on abuse of buyer power and enforcement of the law remains critical component of effective enforcement of this area. The Authority has lined up initiatives targeting especially stakeholders in upcountry counties and BMO in the insurance, retail and hospitality sectors.

vi. World Competition Day 2019

This is an annual event commemorated across the globe on the 5th of December by Competition Agencies marking the adoption of the United Nations set of multilaterally agreed equitable principles and rules for the control of restrictive business practices by the General Assembly. The global theme was; *"Ensuring Effective Competition in an Increasingly Online World"*. The Authority held a stakeholders' sensitization forum majorly drawn from the providers of digital financial services and other financial institutions about its mandate, efforts to promote competition in this sector, emerging consumer protection issues and the need to set up consumer complaints handling mechanisms.

vii. The World Consumer Rights Day (WCRD)

This annual event was marked on 15th March with aims at promoting, protecting and creating awareness on the rights of consumers globally. The theme of the event was, *"Sustainable Consumer"* placing emphasis on how consumers need to be responsible as they make choices of goods and services to meet their needs while ensuring that the they do not harm the environment as per the Sustainable Development Goal 12 on ensuring sustainable consumption and production patterns. Consumers were sensitized on their rights and responsibilities, remedies available to consumers under the Competition Act and how to lodge a consumer complaint with the Authority.

viii. Sensitization of Consumer Bodies

The Authority, pursuant to Section 9 of the Act, is mandated to collaborate with consumer bodies. This is achieved through promoting the creation of consumer bodies and recognition of consumer bodies duly registered under the appropriate national laws as the proper bodies. During the period under review, the Authority sponsored the Consumer Grassroots Association's Consumer Registration Drive at St. Mary's Catholic Church in Ongata Rongai, Kajiado County. The main

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

objective of the event was to educate the public to understand the mandate of the Authority and deepen the culture of competition and consumer protection law in the economy. The event brought public and private consumer protection agencies aimed at strengthening and enhancing Consumer Protection and Education in the economy.

ix. Training of Stakeholders

i. **Getbucks Kenya Staff on Competition Law & Policy**: The Authority sensitized Getbucks Kenya staff on consumer protection provisions of the Act focusing on the general overview of the Act and Consumer Protection provisions on consumer issues. The participants were sensitized on consumer issues in the financial services sector and on how to file complaints with the Authority.

ii. **Micro, Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (MSMEs)**: The Authority also participated in the Kenya Quality Awards and Innovation Pipeline workshops 2020 activity which was conducted by Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS). The participants were drawn from Micro, Small and Medium-sized Enterprises in Kenya. The main objective was to promote and entrench a quality culture among MSMEs involved in the line of production. The event provided insights to MSMEs on how to be a one-stop shop centre of information for easier access to information on business processes, standardization and product certification in Kenya and internationally. The Authority sensitized the participants on its mandate and the provisions of the Competition Act.

iii. **Safaricom PLC Limited**: The Authority sensitized the staff of Safaricom PLC on buyer power issues affecting the telecommunication industry. The objectives of the training were capacity building and knowledge sharing. The specific areas of training were; mandate of the Authority, buyer power provisions and merger analysis.

iv. **Kenya Association of Manufacturers (KAM)**: The Authority sensitized stakeholders in the manufacturing sector on competition law provisions in so far as buyer power is concerned. The objective was to promote public knowledge, awareness, and understanding of the obligations, rights and remedies under the Act and the duties, functions and activities of the Authority.

v. **Association of Kenya Suppliers (AKS)**: The Authority sensitized stakeholders in the manufacturing sector and suppliers under the umbrella of AKS. The main objective of the sensitization was to learn more about Competition Law and Policy in general and abuse of buyer power provisions more specifically. This has led to increased awareness resulting in increased in cases being reported to the Authority for determination.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

vi. **Toyota Kenya Limited**: The Authority sensitized staff of Toyota Kenya on competition law provisions in so far as buyer power is concerned. The objective was to promote public knowledge, awareness, and understanding of the obligations, rights and remedies under the Act and the duties, functions and activities of the Authority.

vii. **Lake Region Economic Block (LREB)**: The Authority sensitized stakeholders from the retail sector in the Lake Region Economic Block. The key objective was to sensitize the region's retail stakeholders on the Competition Act, the Buyer Power Provisions of the Act, the current status of the Draft Trade Regulations and the Retail Sector Code of Practice. The stakeholders who participated were from: Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs), wholesalers – large and small, retailers - and their suppliers, small and large manufacturers, Business Membership Organizations (BMO's), and policy makers in counties.

viii. **North Rift Economic Block (NOREB)**: The Authority in collaboration with the State Department of Trade of the Ministry of Trade sensitized retail sector stakeholders in the North Rift Economic Block (NOREB) region on the Abuse of Buyer Power Provisions of the Act, the Retail Trade Sector Regulation and Retail Sector Code of Practice. The participants learnt about the provisions of the Act in so far the enforcement of buyer power provisions is concerned.

THEME 4: VISIBILITY AND CORPORATE IMAGE

During the Financial Year under review, the Authority rolled out various initiatives aimed at increasing the public's awareness about its activities and mandate, as well as strengthen its corporate image. In order to ensure that the Authority remains accountable, transparent and predictable to its stakeholders, all determinations were published on the Website, clearly highlighting the reasoning behind each decision.

The determinations were augmented by issuance of press releases as part of enforcement efforts against illegal increases of prices and hoarding of essential commodities following the COVID-19 pandemic as well as delayed payments by retail supermarkets. To promote enforcement of Competition Law and Policy, the Authority made eighteen (18) appearances on television and radio where various matters concerning abuse of buyer power, as well as consumer protection, were articulated. These **media interviews** targeted an expansive demographic scope, in terms of audience and their geographic location, and provided an opportunity to sensitize the public about the various channels through which to contact and interact with the Authority.

To enhance the Authority's position as a thought leader on matters competition law enforcement, top management, led by the Director-General, authored five (5) opinion pieces which were

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

published in the country's major newspapers. The Authority augmented its social media presence, resulting in increased followers across all platforms. All the Authority's major announcements and activities are disseminated to stakeholders through new media channels such as Twitter, Facebook and YouTube.

In recognition of the role the Media plays in facilitating execution of its mandate and in furtherance of efforts to furnish journalists with relevant, topical, and timely information regarding its activities, the Authority held capacity building sessions for business reporters. The Authority sponsored six (6) journalists to attend the Annual Capacity Building Workshop and Symposium. Further, the Authority hosted thirty (30) financial journalists at a Webinar during which salient issues relating to merger assessment, abuse of buyer power and consumer protection were discussed.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

THEME 5: ORGANIZATIONAL SUSTAINABILITY

1. HUMAN CAPITAL

The Human Resource and Administration function supports the achievement of the Authority's mandate as enshrined in section 13 of the Act by attracting, training and retaining highly qualified, skilled and motivated staff.

The Authority has continued to enhance capacity through the acquisition of talent in order to effectively deliver its mandate. During the year, nine (9) positions were competitively filled. The current staff establishment is comprised of forty-seven (47) male and twenty-seven (27) female employees, thus complying with the constitutional gender balance requirement as Figure 9 below shows;

Figure 9: The Authority's Staff by Gender



The age diversity in the staff establishment has ensured that the Authority's productivity is enhanced, creativity and innovation is achieved and there is an effective succession management strategy (Figure 10).

Figure 10: Staff by Age Category

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED



The Staff composition complied with the Constitutional requirement on regional diversity as Figure 11 shows;

Figure 11: Staff Representation by Counties



ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

The percentage of persons with disability (PWDs) in the workforce stood at 3% during the period under review. The Authority endeavours to increase the numbers of the PWDs in the workforce in order to comply with the requirements of the National Council for Persons with Disability. As part of our continued commitment to supporting PWDs, we continue to redesign our office premises to make them accessible as per the recommendations of the accessibility audit carried out in collaboration with the National Council of Persons with Disability.

To enhance the Authority's capacity in line with the emerging trends of Digital economy, Bid Rigging and abuse of Buyer Power in addition to management and leadership skills, the Authority facilitated staff members to attend various trainings, workshops and conferences locally and internationally with the aim of enhancing technical and behavioural competencies, learning best practices in various jurisdictions. The Authority also facilitated staff to undertake Continuous Professional Development Programmes geared towards succession planning, effective management and career progression. The Authority has leveraged on undertaking online trainings and has thus adopted to the Global paradigm shift brought about by the COVID-19 Pandemic.

The Authority continued to run the apprenticeship programme to build capacity on Competition Law and Policy in the labour market. Towards this, the Authority recruited five (5) Young Professionals who are Master Degree holders with a bias in Law and Economics. In support of the Government's agenda of equipping the youth with requisite skills, the Authority engaged ten (10) fresh university graduates for the internship program and twenty (20) continuing university students for Industrial attachment.

During the period under review, the Authority adopted the Home-based deployment in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. In the effort to enhance employees' productivity during this period, all employees were provided with laptops to facilitate the remote working arrangement and a monitoring framework adopted. Employees' welfare was enhanced by providing services and benefits that not only motivate them but also enhance their productivity. These included the provision of a medical scheme for staff - both out and in-patient, Group Personal Accident- 24 hours and Group life–sum assured and the Annual wellness program which was enhanced to take care of the Psycho-social wellness in consideration of the current dispensation.

To attract and motivate staff and ensure staff welfare, the Authority operates schemes which facilitate employees to acquire residential houses and vehicles at negotiated interest rates. Additionally, the Authority operates a defined contribution retirement benefits scheme for employees on permanent and pensionable terms of employment. The assets are held, managed and administered in a separate trustee scheme. Employees on contract are entitled to service gratuity as per the terms of their employment.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

To enhance trust and staff engagement levels across the organization and at the same time achieve the work-life balance, the Authority embraced various modes of teambuilding. An end of year team building was held where talents, contributions and efforts were recognized by awarding the employee of the year 2019. In addition, the Authority held quarterly town halls and issued communiques to apprise staff members on its activities. The town halls were conducted virtually and the main objective was to check on the employees.

The Authority adopted various measures to ensure that its employees remain safe from the Pandemic that continues to cause Health and Economic crisis within the country and the world. The Authority adopted the following measures and protocols as a way of curtailing the transmission of the novel virus;

i. **Home Based Deployment**: This was made possible by the fact that the Authority has automated its functions and provided its employees with adequate working tools, such as laptops;
ii. **Offering psychosocial support:** This was done through virtual wellness programs and sensitizations;
iii. **Enhanced communication** by giving regular feedback to issues affecting staff through virtual informal staff meetings (town halls);
iv. **Provision of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE's)** e.g. N95 Masks, adequate and accessible hand sanitizers, among others;
v. **Workload reporting**: The Authority developed a framework for monitoring the productivity of staff members during the remote working; and
vi. **Change Management:** The Authority continued to put measures in place in order to equip, support and prepare its employees to adapt to the dynamics of the work place and external changes making them more productive to the benefit of the national economy and general public.

2. STATUTORY COMMITTEE ACTIVITIES

In compliance with statutory requirements and enhancing employee welfare, the Authority continues to implement the workplace policies championed by the various statutory committees as follows;

Statutory Committee	Objective	Achievements
HIV & AIDS	To mainstream HIV & AIDS by creating awareness to staff	• Conducted a wellness program where the staff members and their families were sensitized on HIV and AIDS and non-communicable diseases.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Statutory Committee	Objective	Achievements
	members and stakeholders	• Voluntary counselling and testing of HIV and AIDS. • Carried out an outreach program targeting its external stakeholders on HIV and AIDS. Specifically, during the County sensitization forums and the World Consumer Rights Day
Environmental Conservation and Sustainability	To ensure and enhance environmental conservation and sustainability	• The Authority partnered with Kenya Forest Service (KFS) in a tree planting exercise where one thousand one hundred (1100) indigenous trees at Ngong Road Forest Station, Nairobi County were planted.
Gender Mainstreaming	To promote gender equality and freedom from all forms of discrimination in the workplace, especially for special interest groups through ensuring compliance with policies, laws and practice	• Ensured that the 1/3 Gender Rule representation has been maintained with a representation of 36% female and 64% Male in the staff compliment. • 30% of the Authority's public procurement tender opportunities assigned to special category groups where 5.14% were assigned to PWDs, 8.11% to Youth and 86.75% to Women.
National Cohesion Values and Principles of Governance	To promote National Cohesion, Values and Principles of Governance in the Authority and to the general public	• Capacity building on National Values and Principles of governance to all staff members, prepared the 2019 Annual President's Report and submit in a soft copy as a way of embracing the Authority's initiative of reducing paperwork; • The Authority was mentioned sixteen (16) times in the 2019 Annual President's Report, an improvement from 13 times in the 2018 Annual President's Report for exemplary implementation of the five (5) commitments and

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

Statutory Committee	Objective	Achievements
		way forward in the 2018 Annual President's Report and measures taken and progress achieved in the realization of National Values and Principles of Governance; • The Authority was the fourth-highest mentioned MDA out of the 354 institutions that submitted their reports through the Directorate of National Cohesion and Values; and • Designed staff business cards in braille as a way of embracing inclusivity and non-discrimination of visually impaired persons and developed National Values e-shots and shared with internal and external stakeholders as a way of disseminating the values to all.
Disability Mainstreaming	• To mainstream disability in the workplace and ensure accessibility and inclusivity • Promoting inclusion of Persons Living with Disability (PWDs), for both members of staff and external stakeholders.	• The Authority's Service Charter has also been recently translated into braille and placed in the reception areas to ensure that stakeholders who have eyesight impairments can familiarize themselves with the Authority's service delivery commitments; • Conducted awareness to both management and staff members; • Conducted an accessibility audit in collaboration with the National Council of Persons with disability to ensure the workplace is accessible and inclusive to PWDs; and • Provision of integrated services for PWDs, including the provision of an access ramp to access the Authority's offices, two (2) designated parking slots, accessible washrooms, and business cards with braille.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

3. RESOLUTION OF PUBLIC COMPLAINTS

The Authority submits quarterly reports to the Commission on Administrative Justice (CAJ) detailing resolution of service delivery complaints, adherence to the commitments of the Authority's Citizens' Service Charter, and processing of Access to Information requests, among others.

Every Financial Year, the Commission issues a certificate to all public entities and scores them based on the aforementioned criteria which, cumulatively, promote efficient service delivery to Kenyans.

Table 4 below illustrates the Authority's scores over the past seven years;

FINANCIAL YEAR	SCORE (%)
2013/2014	92
2014/2015	95
2015/2016	96
2016/2017	101
2017/2018	100
2018/2019	101
2019/2020	100

4. INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY

The Authority has continued to leverage on ICT to improve efficiencies of its processes. In light of this, the Authority launched a mobile application, which aims to increase efficiencies in processes such as filing complaints, viewing determinations, calculating merger thresholds, merger tracking tools, among other unique features. The application is available for both iOS and Android operating systems. The benefits accrued from the mobile application are customers can connect better and there is increased awareness on the Authority.

The Authority facilitated home-based deployment guidelines after the government issued containment measures during the COVID-19 pandemic. This was enabled by automated systems, which were accessible online by all staff members. The upgrade of endpoints devices from desktops to the acquisition of laptops also assisted employees to have working tools. Thus, productivity was not affected and the Authority was able to fulfil its mandate during the pandemic period. Additionally, the Authority adapted online collaboration platforms to not only enable Board members and staff work seamlessly remotely, but also facilitate webinars and meetings with different stakeholders.

ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

The Authority was also able to test disaster recovery preparedness by carrying out a fail-over test of key processes. Fail-over testing was a key process in evaluating the Authority's preparedness in cases of eventualities.

5. PUBLIC PROCUREMENT

The Authority has ensured that the Access to Government Procurement Opportunities (AGPO) is maintained above the minimum 30% threshold. During the period under review the Authority awarded 44.71% of its' total procurement spend on tenders to the disadvantaged groups (Youth, Women & PWD's) through the Access to Government Procurement Opportunities(AGPO) and 72.24% to the local content.

Figure 12: Tenders Awarded under AGPO Against Total Procurement Expenditure



ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED

6. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

The Authority, a regulatory state agency under the Ministry of the National Treasury and Planning, continued to draw the bulk of its funding from the National government by way of annual exchequer releases and Appropriation in Aid (A-I-A) collections. During the year under review, the Authority's revenue amounted to Ksh. 553.5 million comprising of Ksh. 371.1 million (67.1%) direct exchequer support and Ksh. 182.4 million (33%) being A-I-A. The revenue received in the year under review was a marginal improvement of Ksh. 0.5 million over the previous financial year.

During the financial year ended 30th June, 2020, the Authority's net expenditure amounted to Ksh. 464.2 million translating into 84% absorption of the total funds allocation. This was a reduction of 15% over the previous year. The absorption was largely affected by the impact of the novel COVID-19 pandemic which affected implementation of planned programs and limiting expenditure in quarter four (4) to expenditure on essential services only. The details of the Authority's income and expenditure is shown in the accompanying financial statements on pages 96 to 129 below;

STATEMENT OF DIRECTORS' RESPONSIBILITIES

Section 81 of the Public Finance Management Act No.18 of 2012 and section 14 of the State Corporations Act Cap 446, require the Directors to prepare financial statements in respect of the Authority, which give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Authority at the end of the financial year and the operating results of the Authority for that year. The Directors are also required to ensure that the Authority keeps proper accounting records which disclose with reasonable accuracy the financial position of the Authority. The Directors are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the Authority.

The Directors are responsible for the preparation and presentation of the Authority's financial statements, which give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Authority for and as at the end of the financial year ended 30th June, 2020. This responsibility includes: (i) maintaining adequate financial management arrangements and ensuring that these continue to be effective throughout the reporting period; (ii) maintaining proper accounting records, which disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the Authority; (iii) designing, implementing and maintaining internal controls relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements, and ensuring that they are free from material misstatements, whether due to error or fraud; (iv) safeguarding the assets of the Authority; (v) selecting and applying appropriate accounting policies; and (vi) making accounting estimates that are reasonable in the circumstances.

The Directors accept responsibility for the Authority's financial statements, which have been prepared using appropriate accounting policies supported by reasonable and prudent judgements and estimates, in conformity with International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS), and in the manner required by the PFM Act, 2012 and the State Corporations Act. The Directors are of the opinion that the Authority's financial statements give a true and fair view of the state of Authority's transactions during the financial year ended 30th June, 2020, and of the Authority's financial position as at that date. The Directors further confirm the completeness of the accounting records maintained for the Authority, which have been relied upon in the preparation of the Authority's financial statements as well as the adequacy of the systems of internal financial control.

Nothing has come to the attention of the Directors to indicate that the Authority will not remain a going concern for at least the next twelve months from the date of this statement.

Approval of the financial statements

The Authority's financial statements were approved by the Board on 2nd August, 2020 and signed on its behalf by

Amb. Nelson Ndirangu
Board Chairman

Wang'ombe Kariuki
Director General

REPUBLIC OF KENYA

Telephone: +254-(20) 3214000
E-mail: info@oagkenya.go.ke
Website: www.oagkenya.go.ke

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Enhancing Accountability

HEADQUARTERS
Anniversary Towers
Monrovia Street
P.O. Box 30084-00100
NAIROBI

REPORT OF THE AUDITOR-GENERAL ON COMPETITION AUTHORITY OF KENYA FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE, 2020

REPORT ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Opinion

I have audited the accompanying financial statements of Competition Authority of Kenya set out on pages 99 to 128, which comprise the statement of financial position as at 30 June, 2020, and the statement of financial performance, statement of changes in net assets, statement of cash flows and the statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts for the year then ended, and a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information in accordance with the provisions of Article 229 of the Constitution of Kenya and Section 35 of the Public Audit Act, 2015. I have obtained all the information and explanations which, to the best of my knowledge and belief, were necessary for the purpose of the audit.

In my opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Competition Authority of Kenya as at 30 June, 2020, and of its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with International Public Sector Accounting Standards (Accrual Basis) and comply with the Public Finance Management Act, 2012 and Competition Act, 2010.

Basis for Opinion

The audit was conducted in accordance with International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions (ISSAIs). I am independent of the Competition Authority of Kenya Management in accordance with ISSAI 130 on Code of Ethics. I have fulfilled other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the ISSAI and in accordance with other ethical requirements applicable to performing audits of financial statements in Kenya. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion.

Key Audit Matters

Key audit matters are those matters that, in my professional judgment, are of most significance in the audit of the financial statements. There were no key audit matters to report in the year under review.

REPORT ON LAWFULNESS AND EFFECTIVENESS IN USE OF PUBLIC RESOURCES

Conclusion

As required by Article 229(6) of the Constitution, based on the audit procedures performed, I confirm that, nothing has come to my attention to cause me to believe that public resources have not been applied lawfully and in an effective way.

Basis for Conclusion

The audit was conducted in accordance with ISSAI 4000. The standard requires that I comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain assurance about whether the activities, financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are in compliance, in all material respects, with the authorities that govern them. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my conclusion.

REPORT ON EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERNAL CONTROLS, RISK MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE

Conclusion

As required by Section 7(1)(a) of the Public Audit Act, 2015, based on the audit procedures performed, I confirm that, nothing has come to my attention to cause me to believe that internal controls, risk management and overall governance were not effective.

Basis for Conclusion

The audit was conducted in accordance with ISSAI 2315 and ISSAI 2330. The standards require that I plan and perform the audit to obtain assurance about whether effective processes and systems of internal control, risk management and overall governance were operating effectively, in all material respects. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my conclusion.

Responsibilities of Management and the Board of Directors

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with International Public Sector Accounting Standards (Accrual Basis) and for maintaining effective internal control as Management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control, risk management and overall governance.

In preparing the financial statements, Management is responsible for assessing the Authority's ability to continue to sustain services disclosing, as applicable, matters related to sustainability of services and using the applicable basis of accounting unless

Management is aware of the intention to terminate the Authority or to cease operations.

Management is also responsible for the submission of the financial statements to the Auditor-General in accordance with the provisions of Section 47 of the Public Audit Act, 2015.

In addition to the responsibility for the preparation and presentation of the financial statements described above, Management is also responsible for ensuring that the activities, financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are in compliance with the authorities which govern them, and that public resources are applied in an effective way.

The Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing the financial reporting process, reviewing the effectiveness of how the Authority monitors compliance with relevant legislative and regulatory requirements, ensuring that effective processes and systems are in place to address key roles and responsibilities in relation to overall governance and risk management, and ensuring the adequacy and effectiveness of the control environment.

Auditor-General's Responsibilities for the Audit

The audit objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes my opinion in accordance with the provisions of Section 48 of the Public Audit Act, 2015 and submit the audit report in compliance with Article 229(7) of the Constitution. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISSAIs will always detect a material misstatement and weakness when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

In addition to the audit of the financial statements, a compliance audit is planned and performed to express a conclusion about whether, in all material respects, the activities, financial transactions and information reflected in the financial statements are in compliance with the authorities that govern them and that public resources are applied in an effective way, in accordance with the provisions of Article 229(6) of the Constitution and submit the audit report in compliance with Article 229(7) of the Constitution.

Further, in planning and performing the audit of the financial statements and audit of compliance, I consider internal control in order to give an assurance on the effectiveness of internal controls, risk management and overall governance processes and systems in accordance with the provisions of Section 7(1)(a) of the Public Audit Act, 2015 and submit the audit report in compliance with Article 229(7) of the Constitution. My consideration of the internal control would not necessarily disclose all

matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses under the ISSAIs. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control may not prevent or detect misstatements and instances of non-compliance. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

As part of an audit conducted in accordance with ISSAIs, I exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. I also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.

- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the Management.

- Conclude on the appropriateness of the Management's use of the applicable basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Authority's ability to continue to sustain it services. If I conclude that a material uncertainty exists, I am required to draw attention in the auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify my opinion. My conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of my audit report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Authority to cease to continue to sustain it services.

- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

- Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information and business activities of the Authority to express an opinion on the financial statements.

- Perform such other procedures as I consider necessary in the circumstances.

I communicate with the Management regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that are identified during the audit.

I also provide Management with a statement that I have complied with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence, and to communicate with them all relationships and other matters that may reasonably be thought to bear on my independence, and where applicable, related safeguards.



Nancy Gathungu
AUDITOR-GENERAL

Nairobi

22 January, 2021

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020

	Note	2019/2020 Kshs.	2018/2019 Kshs.
REVENUE			
Revenue from Non-Exchange Transactions			
Government Transfers	4	312,244,313	387,220,000
Development Partners (COMESA)	4	10,000,000	7,000,000
Fines and Penalties	5	44,415,812	4,214,073
		366,660,124	**398,434,073**
Revenue from Exchange Transactions			
Merger Filing Fees-Local	5	49,000,000	55,000,600
Merger Filing Fees-COMESA	5	82,300,619	75,451,772
Interest from Fixed Deposits	6	3,552,964	21,096,186
Other Income	7	3,136,929	3,165,985
		137,990,511	**154,714,543**
		504,650,635	**553,148,615**
EXPENDITURE			
Employee Costs	8	247,335,877	221,660,067
Remuneration of Directors	9	7,127,298	24,429,493
Depreciation & Amortisation Expense	10	32,588,634	35,629,363
Policy,Research and Market Enquiries	11	56,179,193	76,013,863
Repairs & Maintenance	12	11,961,826	13,733,281
Contracted Services	13	9,699,797	14,909,485
General Expenses	14	97,991,032	139,272,149
Finance Costs	15	427,686	499,794
Loss on Disposal of Assets	16	539,162	-
Total Expenses		**463,850,505**	**526,147,494**
Surplus (Deficit) for the Year		40,800,130	27,001,121
Remission to National Treasury	36(a)	36,720,117	24,301,009
Net Surplus (Deficit) for the Year		**4,080,013**	**2,700,112**

The notes set out on pages 104 to 127 form an integral part of these Financial Statements

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION AS AT 30TH JUNE, 2020

	Note	2019/2020 Kshs	2018/2019 Kshs
ASSETS			
Current Assets			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	17	172,270,126	367,184,708
Receivables & Prepayments	18	74,377,665	20,031,293
Inventories	19	5,302,795	6,071,208
		251,950,586	**393,287,210**
Non-Current Assets			
Securities & Deposits	20	357,812,398	299,805,126.72
Computer Softwares	21	59,499,977	61,250,783
Property, Plant & Equipment	22	48,211,750	51,707,211
		465,524,125	**412,763,120**
TOTAL ASSETS		**717,474,712**	**806,050,330**
LIABILITIES			
Current Liabilities			
Payables & Accruals	23	70,821,301	70,570,557
Provisions	24	6,057,767	4,949,910
Current Deferred Capital Grant	25	4,505,388	-
		81,384,455	**75,520,467**
Non-Current Liabilities			
Employee Benefit Obligation	26	30,778,712	20,051,624
Non Current Deferred Capital Grant	25	44,350,300	-
		75,129,012	**20,051,624**
TOTAL LIABILITIES		**156,513,467**	**95,572,091**
Net Current Assets		**170,566,131**	**317,766,743**
Net Assets		**560,961,246**	**710,478,238**
Represented by:			
Equity			
Equity Contribution by the Treasury	27	53,381,820	53,381,820
General Reserve Fund	28	507,579,426	657,096,420
		560,961,246	**710,478,240**

The financial statements set out on pages 99 to 103 were approved by the Board of Directors on 3rd August, 2020 and were signed on its behalf by:

.....	.....	.....
Amb. Nelson Ndirangu	**CPA Ambrose Ageng'a**	**Wang'ombe Kariuki, MBS**
Board Chairman	**Head of Finance**	**Director General**
	ICPAK No.3461	

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30th JUNE, 2020

	Note	Contribution by Treasury	Mortgage & Car Loan Schemes	Accumulated Fund	Total Fund
		Kshs	Kshs	Kshs	Kshs
1st July 2018		53,381,820	-	630,095,300	**683,477,120**
Surplus/deficit for the Year		-		27,001,120	**27,001,120**
Transfer to Car loan Scheme		-	100,000,000	(100,000,000)	-
Transfer to Mortgage Scheme		-	200,000,000	(200,000,000)	-
Interest Earned on Mortgage and Car Funds			4,255,181	(4,255,181)	-
At 30th June 2019		**53,381,820**	**304,255,181**	**352,841,239**	**710,478,240**
1st July 2019		**53,381,820**	**304,255,181**	**352,841,239**	**710,478,240**
Surplus/deficit for the Year		-		4,080,013	**4,080,013**
Interest Earned on Mortgage and Car Funds		-	12,631,001	-	**12,631,001**
Surplus Paid to National Treasury	36(b)	-	-	(166,228,008)	**(166,228,008)**
At 30th June 2020		**53,381,820**	**316,886,182**	**190,693,244**	**560,961,246**

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020

	Note	2019/2020	2018/2019
		Kshs	Kshs
Cashflows from Operating Activities			
Surplus from Operating Activities		4,080,013	27,001,120
Depreciation & Amortisation Expense	10	32,588,634	35,629,363
Loss on Disposal of Assets	16	539,162	-
		37,207,809	**62,630,483**
Adjustments for Changes in Working Capital			
Increase/(Decrease) in Receivables & Prepayments	18	(54,346,372)	(1,864,884)
Increase/(Decrease) in Inventories	19	768,413	(6,071,208)
Increase/(Decrease) in Securities & Deposits	20	(58,007,272)	(299,805,127)
Decrease/(Increase) in Payables & Accruals	23	250,743	40,136,947
Decrease/(Increase) in Provisions	24	1,107,857	757,077
Decrease/(Increase) Employee Benefit Obligation	26	10,727,088	-
Decrease/(Increase) Deferred Capital Grant	25	48,855,687	-
		(50,643,855)	**(266,847,195)**
Net Cashflows from Operating Activities		**(13,436,047)**	**(204,216,713)**
Cashflows from Investing Activities			
Purchase of Computer Softwares	21	(16,983,209)	(17,830,213)
Purchase of Non-Current Assets	22	(10,898,320)	(24,643,052)
		(27,881,529)	**(42,473,265)**
Cashflows from Financing Activities			
Surplus Paid to National Treasury	36(b)	(166,228,008)	-
Interest Earned on Mortgage and Car Schemes		12,631,001	-
		(153,597,007)	**-**
Net Increase/(Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		**(194,914,582)**	**(246,689,977)**
Cash and cash equivalents at 1st July		367,184,708	613,874,685
Cash and Cash Equivalents as at 30th June		**172,270,126**	**367,184,708**

STATEMENT OF COMPARISON OF BUDGET AND ACTUAL AMOUNTS FOR THE YEAR THE ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020

	Original Budget 2019/2020 Kshs	Final Budget 2019/2020 Kshs	Actual on Comparable Basis 2019/2020 Kshs	Performance Difference 2019/2020 Kshs
Revenue				
Exchequer Allocation	341,300,000	341,300,000	361,100,000	(19,800,000)
Fines,Penalties and Levies	70,000,000	70,000,000	44,415,812	25,584,188
Merger Filling Fees-Local	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,000,000	1,000,000
Merger Filling Fees-COMESA	80,000,000	80,000,000	82,300,619	(2,300,619)
Donor Funding-RIIP	9,000,000	9,000,000	10,000,000	(1,000,000)
Interest from Fixed Deposits	5,000,000	5,000,000	3,552,964	1,447,036
Other Income	3,500,000	3,500,000	3,131,427	368,573
Total Income	**558,800,000**	**558,800,000**	**553,500,821**	**5,299,179**
Expenses				
Personal Emoluments	236,500,000	236,500,000	227,772,918	8,727,082
Insurance	24,900,000	24,900,000	24,511,363	388,637
Staff Welfare	6,280,000	6,280,000	1,672,401	4,607,599
Communication Supplies and Services	7,700,000	7,700,000	6,325,651	1,374,349
Domestic Travel Expenses	11,000,000	11,000,000	8,850,406	2,149,594
Foreign Travel Expenses	14,110,000	14,110,000	6,187,159	7,922,841
Printing, Advertising and Information Supplies	5,600,000	5,600,000	3,711,854	1,888,147
Office rent, rates, utilities and parking	37,000,000	37,000,000	36,207,856	792,144
Training Expenses	29,450,000	29,450,000	15,749,684	13,700,316
Conference facilities and Official Entertainment	8,000,000	8,000,000	6,635,494	1,364,506
Board Allowances & expenses	24,500,000	24,500,000	7,127,298	17,372,702
Policy,Research and Market Enquiries	85,500,000	85,500,000	58,017,475	27,482,525
Office and General Supplies and Services	3,310,000	3,310,000	3,270,290	39,710
Contracted Services	13,800,000	13,800,000	9,699,797	4,100,203
Subscriptions	1,050,000	1,050,000	1,006,313	43,687
Motor Vehicle Running Costs	2,300,000	2,300,000	2,275,963	24,037
Routine Maintenance - Other Assets	11,900,000	11,900,000	11,812,263	87,737
Other operating expenses	600,000	600,000	427,686	172,314
Purchase of Assets	35,300,000	35,300,000	27,881,529	7,418,471
	558,800,000	**558,800,000**	**459,143,400**	**99,656,600**
Surplus/(Deficit)			**94,357,420**	

Notes:

i. Other than the depreciation and amortisation recognized in the statement of financial performance and actual payments for the purchase of Assets shown on the face of the statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts, there are no reconciling items to warrant a reconciliation between surplus as per statements of financial performance and comparison of budget and actual amounts.

ii. An explanation of differences between actual and budgeted amounts (10% over/ under) have been provided in detail under note 35 as required by IPSAS 24.14

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION

1. Basis of preparation

The Authority's annual financial statements have been prepared in accordance and comply with International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS) issued by the Public Sector Accounting Standards Board in Accordance with section 194(1)b of the Public Finance Management Act No.18 of 2012.

The financial statements have been prepared on an accrual basis of accounting and are in accordance with the historical cost basis of measurement. Assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses were not offset. The financial statements have been prepared and presented in Kenya Shillings, which is the functional and reporting currency of the Authority. All figures have been rounded off to the nearest shilling.

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the PFM Act No.18 of 2012, the State Corporations Act Cap 446, and International Public Sector Accounting Standards (IPSAS).

1.1 Adoption of new and revised standards

The Authority did not early – adopt any new or amended standards in the year ended 30th June, 2020. A summary of the significant accounting policies, which have been consistently applied in the preparation of these annual financial statements, are disclosed below.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

2.1 Going concern assumption

These annual financial statements have been prepared based on the expectation that the Authority will continue to operate as a going concern for at least the next 12 months.

2.2 Materiality

Material omissions or misstatements of items are material if they could, individually or collectively, influence the decisions or assessments of users made on the basis of the financial statements. Materiality depend on the nature or size of the omission or misstatement judged in the surrounding circumstances. The nature or size of the information item, or a combination of both, could be the determining factor.

Assessing whether an omission or misstatements could influence decision of users and so be material requires consideration of the characteristics of those users. The framework for the preparation and presentation of the financial statements state that users are assumed to have a reasonable knowledge of government, its activities, accounting and a willingness to study the information with reasonable diligence. Therefore, the assessment takes into account how users with such attributes could reasonably be expected to be influenced in making and evaluating decisions.

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION CONTINUED

2.3 Revenue recognition

Revenue is the gross inflow of economic benefits or service potential during the reporting period when those inflows result in an increase in net assets other than increases relating to contributions from Government as owner.

i) Revenue from non-exchange transactions

Non-exchange transactions are transactions that are not exchange transactions. In a non-exchange transaction, the Authority receives value from another entity without directly giving approximately equal value in exchange, or gives value to another entity without directly receiving approximately equal value in exchange.

Recognition and measurement

An inflow of resources from a non-exchange transaction recognized as an asset is recognised as a revenue except to the extent that a liability is also recognized in respect of the same inflow.

As the Authority satisfies a present obligation recognised as a liability in respect of an inflow of resources from an asset, it reduces the carrying amount of the liability recognised and recognises an amount of revenue equal to that reduction.
Revenue from non-exchange transaction is measured at the amount of the increase in net assets recognised by the Authority.

a) **Fines and penalties**

The Authority recognizes revenues from fines and penalties when received and the asset recognition criteria are met. To the extent that there is a related condition attached that would give rise to a liability to repay the amount, deferred income is recognized instead of revenue.

b) **Exchequer transfers**

The Authority recognizes Revenue from exchequer allocation when the monies are received and asset recognition criteria are met. To the extent that there is a related condition attached that would give rise to a liability to repay the amount, deferred income is recognized instead of revenue.

c) **Transfers from other government entities**

Revenues from non-exchange transactions with other government entities are measured at fair value and recognized on obtaining control of the asset (cash, goods, services and property) if the transfer is free from conditions and it is probable that the economic benefits or service potential related to the asset will flow to the Authority and can be measured reliably.

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION CONTINUED

Other non-exchange revenues are recognized when it is probable that the future economic benefits or service potential associated with the asset will flow to the Authority and fair value of the asset can be measured reliably.

ii) Revenue from exchange transactions

An exchange transaction is one where the Authority receives a fee and in exchange investigates and assesses whether a merger is likely to substantially prevent or lessen competition and whether a merger can or cannot be justified on substantial public grounds and or for exemptions.

Fair value is the amount for which an asset could be exchanged or a liability extinguished, between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

Measurement

Revenue is measured at the fair value of the consideration received or receivable, net of trade discounts and volume rebates.

(a) Merger filing fees

The Authority recognizes revenue from local merger filling fees by reference to the stage of completion when the outcome of the transaction can be estimated reliably. The stage of completion is measured by reference to labour hours incurred to date as a percentage of total estimated labour hours. Where the outcome cannot be measured reliably, revenue is recognized only to the extent that the expenses incurred are recoverable .The Authority's share of the Merger filling fees from COMESA Competition Commission is recognized when received.

2.4 Budget information

The Authority is typically subject to budgetary limits in the form of appropriations or budget authorisations, which is given effect through authorising legislation. Public Finance Management Act No.18 of 2012 requires public entities to include in their reports information on whether resources were obtained and used in accordance with the legally adopted budget.

The original budget for FY 2019-2020 was approved by the National Treasury on 30th September, 2019. Subsequent revisions or additional/reduced appropriations were made to the approved budget in accordance with specific approvals from the Authority's board. The additional/reduced appropriations are added/subtracted to the original budget by the Authority upon receiving the respective approvals in order to conclude the final budget.

The approved budget is prepared on an accrual basis and presented by functional classifications linked to performance outcome objectives and covers the period 1st July, 2019 to 30th June, 2020.

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION CONTINUED

As a result of the adoption of the accrual basis for budgeting purposes, there are no major timing differences to include in the reconciliation between the actual comparable amounts and the amounts presented as a separate additional financial statement in the statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts.

A comparison of budget and actual amounts, prepared on a comparable basis to the approved budget, is presented in the statement of comparison of budget and actual amounts.

2.5 Property, plant and equipment

The cost of an item of property, plant and equipment is recognized as an asset when;

- It is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the Authority; and
- The cost can be measured reliably.

All property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment losses. Cost includes expenditure that is directly attributable to the acquisition of the items. When significant parts of property, plant and equipment are required to be replaced at intervals, the Authority recognizes such parts as individual assets with specific useful lives and depreciates them accordingly. Likewise, when a major inspection is performed, its cost is recognized in the carrying amount of the plant and equipment as a replacement if the recognition criteria are satisfied. All other repair and maintenance costs are recognized in surplus or deficit as incurred. Where an asset is acquired in a non-exchange transaction for nil or nominal consideration the asset is initially measured at its fair value. The useful lives of items of property, plant and equipment have been assessed as follows:

Item	Depreciation method	Average useful life
Furniture and fixtures	Reducing balance	8 years
Motor vehicles	Reducing balance	4 years
Office equipment	Reducing balance	8 years
Computer equipment	Reducing balance	3 years
Servers	Reducing balance	3 years

The depreciable amount of an asset is allocated on a systematic basis over its useful life.

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION CONTINUED

The Authority assesses at each reporting date whether there is any indication that the Authority's expectation about the residual value and the useful life of an asset have changed since the preceding reporting date. If any such indication exists, the Authority revises the expected useful life and/or residual value accordingly. The change is accounted for as a change in an accounting estimate. The depreciation charge for each period is recognized in surplus or deficit.
Items of property, plant and equipment are derecognized when disposed of or when there are no further economic benefits or service potential expected from the use of the asset.
It is the policy of the Authority to charge full depreciation on all its non-current assets including intangible assets in the year of purchase and no depreciation in the year of disposal.

2.6 Leases

The Authority has a running operating lease agreement with the Kenya Railways Staff Retirement Benefit Scheme that also includes an annual escalation clause of 5% for the provision of the office block that houses its Headquarters. Obligations arising out of the operating lease are recognised as an expense on a straight line basis over the lease term. The difference between the amounts recognized as an expense in the Statement of Financial Performance and the contractual payments are recognised as an operating lease liability or asset as appropriate.

2.7 Intangible assets

An intangible asset is recognized as an asset when;

- It is probable that future economic benefits or service potential associated with the item will flow to the Authority; and
- The cost or fair value can be measured reliably.

Intangible assets are carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any impairment losses.
The cost of intangible assets acquired in a non-exchange transaction is their fair value at the date of the exchange.
The amortisation period and the amortisation method for intangible assets are reviewed at each reporting date.
The useful life of the intangible assets is assessed as either finite or indefinite. Reassessing the useful life of an intangible asset with finite useful life after it was classified as infinite is an indicator that the asset may have been impaired. As a result, the asset is tested for impairment and the remaining carrying amount is amortised over its useful life.
Intangible assets are acquired.
Amortisation is provided to write down the intangible assets, on a reducing balance basis, to their residual values as follows:

Item	Depreciation method	Average useful life
Computer software	Reducing balance	4 years

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION CONTINUED

2.8 Provisions, contingent liabilities and contingent assets

Provisions

Provisions are recognized when:

- the Authority has a present obligation (legal or constructive) as a result of a past event,
- it is probable that an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required to settle the obligation; and
- a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation.

The amount of the provision is the best estimate of the expenditure expected to be required to settle the obligation at the reporting date.

Where the Authority expects some or all of a provision to be reimbursed, for example, under an insurance contract, the reimbursement is recognized as a separate asset only when the reimbursement is virtually certain. The expense relating to any provision is presented in the statement of financial performance net of any reimbursement. Provisions are reviewed at each reporting date and are adjusted to reflect the current best estimate and are reversed if it is no longer probable that an outflow of economic resources embodying economic benefits or service potential will be required, to settle the obligation. The details of the provisions made in preparing these Financial Statements are disclosed in note 24.

Contingent liabilities and contingent assets

The Authority is involved in a number of legal case proceedings that form part of the nature of its operations. Due to the inherent uncertainties precipitated by the nature of the cases, no accurate quantification of any cost, or timing of such costs, which may arise from any of the legal proceedings can be made.

The Authority does not recognize a contingent liability, but discloses details of any contingencies in the notes to the financial statements, unless the possibility of an outflow of resources embodying economic benefits or service potential is remote. Contingencies are disclosed in note 32.

The Authority does not recognize a contingent asset, but discloses details of a possible asset whose existence is contingent on the occurrence or non-occurrence of one or more uncertain future events not wholly within the control of the Authority in the notes to the financial statements. Contingent assets are assessed continually to ensure that developments are appropriately reflected in the financial statements. If it has become virtually certain that an inflow of economic benefits or service potential will arise and the asset's value can be measured reliably, the asset and the related revenue are recognized in the financial statements of the period in which the change occurs.

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION CONTINUED

2.9 Changes in Accounting policies and estimates

The Authority recognizes the effects of changes in accounting policy retrospectively. The effects of changes in accounting policy are applied prospectively if retrospective application is impractical.

2.10 Employee benefits

The Authority operates a retirement benefit scheme for all its permanent and pensionable employees. Further an amount equivalent to 31% of basic salary has been set aside as gratuity for all employees on contract. The provisions for employee entitlements to salaries and annual leave represent the amount which the organisation has a present obligation to pay as a result of employees' services provided for at the reporting date. The contribution towards employee pension scheme and staff gratuity for employees on contract are recognized in the statement of financial performance in the year in which the employees rendered their services to the Authority.

2.11 Foreign currency transactions

Transactions in foreign currencies are initially accounted for at the ruling rate of exchange on the date of the transaction. Trade creditors or debtors denominated in foreign currency are reported at the statement of financial position reporting date by applying the exchange rate on that date. Exchange differences arising from the settlement of creditors, or from the reporting of creditors at rates different from those at which they were initially recorded during the period, are recognized as income or expenses in the period in which they arise.

2.12 Related parties

The Authority regards a related party as a person or an entity with the ability to exert control individually or jointly, or to exercise significant influence over the Authority, or vice versa. Members of key management and their close family members are regarded as related parties and comprise the Director General, members of the Authority's board and Managers. Other related parties include; The Competition tribunal and the parent Ministry; The National Treasury. Details of transactions with related parties are as disclosed in note 31.

2.13 Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash on hand and cash at bank, short-term deposits on call and highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less, which are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and are subject to insignificant risk of changes in value. Bank account balances include amounts held at various commercial banks at the end of the financial .The details of the Authority's cash balances are shown in note 17.

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION CONTINUED

2.14 Commitments

Commitments represent goods/services that have been contracted/ordered, but no delivery has taken place at the reporting date. These amounts are not recognised in the statement of financial position as a liability or as expenditure in the statement of financial performance as the annual financial statements are prepared on an accrual basis of accounting but are however disclosed as part of the disclosures in note 33.

2.15 Comparative figures

Where necessary comparative figures for the previous financial year have been amended or reconfigured to conform to the required changes in presentation.

2.16 Subsequent events

Events after the reporting date are those events, both favourable and unfavourable, that occur between the reporting date and the date when the financial statements are authorised for issue. Subsequent events can be classified into two types:

- those that provide evidence of conditions that existed at the reporting date(adjusting events after the reporting date); and
- those that are indicative of conditions that that arose after the reporting date(non-adjusting events)

The Authority will adjust the amounts recognised in the financial statements to reflect adjusting events after the reporting date once the event occurred.

The Authority will disclose the nature of the event and an estimate of its financial effects or a statement that such estimate cannot be made in respect of all material non-adjusting events, where non-disclosure could influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

3. Significant judgements and sources of estimation uncertainty

In preparing annual financial statements in conformity with IPSAS management is required to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts of revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities represented in the annual financial statements and related disclosures at the end of the reporting period. However, uncertainty about these assumptions and estimates could result in outcomes that require a material adjustment to the carrying amount of the asset or liability affected in future periods. Significant judgements, estimates and assumptions include;

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION CONTINUED

3.1 Estimates and assumptions

The key assumptions concerning the future and other key sources of estimation uncertainty at the reporting date that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year, are described below. The Authority based its assumptions and estimates on parameters available when the financial statements were prepared. However, existing circumstances and assumptions about future developments may change due to market changes or circumstances arising beyond the control of the Authority. Such changes are reflected in the assumptions when they occur as required by IPSAS 1.140

Useful lives and residual values

The useful lives and residual values of assets are assessed using the following indicators to inform potential future use and value from disposal:

i. The condition of the asset based on the assessment of experts employed by the Authority
ii. The nature of the asset, its susceptibility and adaptability to changes in technology and processes
iii. The nature of the processes in which the asset is deployed
iv. Availability of funding to replace the asset
v. Changes in the market in relation to the asset

3.2 Depreciation and amortization

The Authority's management determines the estimated useful lives and related depreciation charges. This estimate is based on the Industry norm. Management will increase the depreciation charge where useful lives are less than previously estimated useful lives.

3.3 Inventories

Inventories are initially measured at cost except where inventories are acquired through a non-exchange transaction, then their cost are the fair value as at the date of acquisition. Subsequently inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value.

Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of operations, less the estimated costs of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale, exchange, or distribution.

Inventories are recognized as an expense when deployed for utilization or consumption in the ordinary course of operations of the Entity.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020

4. Transfers from ministries, departments and agencies

	Amount recognized in Statement of financial performance	Amount deferred under deferred income	Deferred capital grant	Total grant income during the year	2019/2020
	Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
The National Treasury-Recurrent	306,100,000	-	-	306,100,000	306,100,000
The National Treasury-Development	6,144,313	-	48,855,687	55,000,000	55,000,000
The National Treasury-RIIP	10,000,000	-	-	10,000,000	10,000,000
Total	322,244,312	-	48,855,687	371,100,000	371,100,000

All transfers recorded and recognized in the statement of financial performance have been confirmed and reconciled with those recorded by the transferring ministry-The National Treasury.

5. Fines & penalties and merger filing fees

Description	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Fines & penalties	44,414,812	4,214,073
Merger filling fees-local	49,000,000	55,000,600
Merger filling fees-COMESA	82,300,619	75,451,772
Total	**175,715,431**	**134,666,445**

The filling fees relates to revenue generated from merger cases filed by merging/acquiring companies both locally and regionally with the COMESA Competition Commission. The Authority's share of the COMESA filling fees is arrived at using a predetermined formula agreed upon by and applicable to all the member countries and is recognized when received.

Fines and penalties are levied against companies found to infringe the competition law by engaging in unfair trade practices including consummating mergers without approval by the Authority as well as infringements of consumer rights/welfare.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

6. Interest from fixed deposits

Descriptions	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Cash investments and fixed deposits	3,552,964	21,096,186
Total	**3,552,964**	**21,096,186**

The Authority recognizes that the revenue it receives both from exchequer and Appropriation in Aid (AIA) are not necessarily evenly matched with its spending pattern. Accordingly, any surplus cash is invested in short-term fixed deposits to generate AIA by way of interest on deposits.

7. Other income

Other income amounting to Kshs 3,136,929 relates to training fees levied against law and economic regulation course which the Authority conducts annually in collaboration with the University of Nairobi. Participants pay a fee to meet the running costs of the course.

8. Employee costs

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Salaries and allowances	196,292,092	173,156,288
Contribution to pensions	15,420,229	14,814,640
Staff gratuity	15,644,740	14,936,072
Staff medical insurance	19,562,959	17,995,991
Provision for staff leave	411,857	757,077
Total	**247,335,877**	**221,660,068**

9. Remuneration of directors

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Sitting & lunch allowances	2,026,995	5,190,000
Honoraria	960,000	724,240
Board induction & travel	3,815,815	13,609,936
Telephone	35,000	106,434
Insurance	225,866	665,486
Local travel costs	57,077	2,082,188
Conference facilities	6,546	2,051,210
Total	**7,127,299**	**24,429,493**

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

10. Depreciation and amortization expense

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Property, plant & equipment	13,854,619	15,278,375
Computer softwares	18,734,015	20,350,988
Total	**32,588,634**	**35,629,363**

11. Policy, research and market enquiries

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Awareness & publicity campaigns	13,112,188	16,051,107
Policy, research & market enquiries	29,478,248	39,535,948
Regulation of mergers & acquisitions	1,188,481	2,846,485
Consumer campaigns	4,930,891	1,399,769
Enforcement of restrictive trade practices	3,475,668	9,045,911
COMESA-RIIP	2,846,668	6,944,644
Buyer power	1,147,050	190,000
Total	**56,179,193**	**76,013,864**

12. Repairs and maintenance

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Motor vehicles	988,725	504,780
Property, plant & equipment	10,973,101	13,228,501
Total	**11,961,826**	**13,733,281**

13. Contracted services

	2018/19	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Consultancy	647,704	9,965,878
Hire of security	786,600	1,057,920
Cleaning expenses	1,807,538	1,710,440
Legal services	5,761,955	1,479,247
Audit fees	696,000	696,000
Total	**9,699,797**	**14,909,485**

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

14. General expenses

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Domestic travel subsistence allowances	8,850,406	12,655,087
Foreign travel subsistence allowance	6,187,159	15,362,210
Communication costs	6,325,651	7,031,208
Staff welfare	1,672,401	6,994,503
Printing & advertising	3,711,854	7,420,475
Rent & rates	38,046,137	36,537,494
General office supplies	3,270,290	2,150,730
Training expenses	15,749,684	34,632,094
Motor vehicles running expenses	1,587,238	1,772,008
Official entertainment and conferencing facilities	6,635,494	10,367,718
Subscriptions to professional bodies	1,006,313	1,015,353
Insurance of assets	4,948,404	3,333,269
Total	**97,991,032**	**139,272,149**

15. Finance costs

Finance costs of Kshs.427,686 relates to bank charges levied by banks in running bank accounts held by the Authority in various commercial banks.

16. Loss on disposal of assets

Loss on disposal of assets relates to assets donated to various schools within Nairobi County. The assets had a cost and accumulated depreciation of Kshs. 1,741,230 and Kshs. 1,202,068 respectively resulting to a loss of Kshs. 539,162.

17. Cash and cash equivalents

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
KCB-KICC main operating account	97,500,955	96,263,374
KCB-KICC Staff gratuity account	33,564,062	24,482,247
NBK-Dollar account	41,205,109	96,439,088
KCB-Short-term Investment on call	-	150,000,000
Total	**172,270,126**	**367,184,708**

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

18. Receivables and prepayments

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Staff travel imprest	109,200	-
Staff debtors	22,154	167,113
Trade receivables	19,847	19,667
Staff car loan scheme receivables	9,735,814	8,830,310
Staff car mortgage loan scheme receivables	54,618,287	-
Prepaid rent	2,846,332	2,790,499
Prepaid insurance	6,462,571	7,481,715
Prepaid internet services	-	173,587
Prepaid training fees	328,460	-
Advance deposit	235,000	150,000
Bank interest receivable	-	418,404
Total	**74,377,665**	**20,031,295**

19. Inventories

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Consumables	**5,302,795**	**6,071,208**

20. Securities & deposits

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
NBK-Staff car loan scheme account	103,627,096	99,805,127
Absa-Staff mortgage scheme account	254,185,302	200,000,000
Total	**357,812,398**	**299,805,127**

These are bank balances as at 30th June, 2020 in National Bank of Kenya and Absa Bank, which are placed as security against staff car and mortgage advances to support concessionary interest rate loans to staff. The contract with the banks stipulate that the cash balances are available to the Authority on call or upon termination by either party in which case the outstanding loans shall revert to commercial terms enforceable between the lender and the borrower. All risks related to the scheme are borne by the banks except where the Authority is found directly culpable.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

21. Computer softwares

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Cost		
At the beginning of the year	84,637,544	4,499,000
Additions	13,883,096	80,138,544
At end of the year	**98,520,640**	**84,637,544**
Amortization		
At the beginning of the year	23,584,582	3,233,594
Amortization	18,734,015	20,350,988
At end of the year	**42,318,597**	**23,584,582**
Net Book Value	**56,202,044**	**61,052,962**
Computer software under development	3,297,933	197,821
Total	**59,499,977**	**61,250,783**

Computer software under development, Kshs 3,297,933 relates to amounts incurred and paid towards the implementation of Mobile App Software to provide an interactive SMS solution to the Authority's automated processes.

It is the policy of the Authority to amortize all Intangible Assets at the rate of 25% on a reducing balance basis.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

22. Property, plant and equipment

	Motor Vehicles	Office Equipment	Computer Equipment	Furniture & fittings	Total
Depreciation rate	**25%**	**12.5%**	**33%**	**12.5%**	
Cost					
At 1st July 2018	**23,513,707**	**10,836,625**	**42,887,461**	**24,913,025**	**102,150,818**
Additions	13,825,000	1,807,738	6,266,354	2,743,960	24,643,052
Disposals	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers/adjustments	-	-	-	-	-
At 30th June 2019	**37,338,707**	**12,644,363**	**49,153,815**	**27,656,985**	**126,793,870**
Additions	-	4,603,320	6,295,000	-	10,898,320
Disposals	-	(622,000)	(908,780)	(210,450)	(1,741,230)
Transfer/adjustments	-	-	-	-	-
At 30th June 2020	**37,338,707**	**16,625,683**	**54,540,035**	**27,446,535**	**135,950,960**
Depreciation and impairment					
At 1st July 2018	**17,403,814**	**3,865,005**	**27,966,506**	**10,572,959**	**59,808,284**
Depreciation	4,983,723	1,097,419	7,061,730	2,135,502	15,278,375
Impairment	-	-	-	-	-
At 30th June 2019	**22,387,537**	**4,962,424**	**35,028,236**	**12,708,461**	**75,086,659**
Depreciation	3,737,792	1,495,779	6,764,289	1,856,759	13,854,619
Disposals	-	(302,971)	(783,096)	(116,001)	(1,202,068)
Impairment	-	-	-	-	-
Transfer/adjustment	-	-	-	-	-
At 30th June 2020	**26,125,330**	**6,155,232**	**41,009,428**	**14,449,220**	**87,739,210**
Net book values					
At 30th June 2019	**14,951,170**	**7,681,939**	**14,125,579**	**14,948,524**	**51,707,211**
At 30th June 2020	**11,213,377**	**10,470,451**	**13,530,607**	**12,997,315**	**48,211,750**

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

23. Payables and accruals

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Local creditors	24,785,039	46,616,337
Withholding taxes	16,667	309,168
Withholding VAT	71,143	995,327
Staff claims	107,038	13,740,365
Contractors retentions	-	1,058,833
Unremitted payroll deductions	3,742,281	4,282,328
Deferred lease liability	5,379,016	3,568,200
Surplus payable to exchequer	36,720,117	-
Total	**70,821,301**	**70,570,557**

24. Provisions

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Provision for staff leave balances	4,665,767	4,253,910
Provision for audit fees	1,392,000	696,000
Total	**6,057,767**	**4,949,910**

25. Deferred capital grant

Development/capital grant is recognized in the statement of financial position and armotized in the statement of financial performance over the useful life of the assets that have been acquired using such funds. Current deferred capital grant, Kshs. 4,505,388 will be realized in the statement of financial performance within the next one year while non-current deferred capital grant, Kshs. 44,350,300 will be realized in the subsequent years.

26. Employee benefits obligations

This refers to amount set aside as gratuity for staff who are on contract. The Authority contributes 31% of basic pay per employee per month.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

27. Equity contribution by Treasury

The Authority inherited a number of assets comprising mainly of furniture, computers, cash balances and payments due to suppliers with a net amount of Kshs. 52,932,150 from the then department of monopolies and pricing at the National Treasury. In addition, in the financial year 2014/2015, the National Treasury contributed three computers with an invoice value of Kshs.449, 670 for use by the Authority in the Regional Integration Implementation Programme. The Authority was not expected to pay for these assets. The contributions have been recognized in the statement of Net assets as a equity contribution by the National Treasury.

28. General reserve fund

The general reserve fund of Kshs. 507,579,246 represents accumulated surpluses comprising arising from normal operations of the Authority. The distribution of this amount is subject to the Authority retaining at least 10% annual surplus of the year or any other amount as the board in consultation with the Cabinet Secretary may determine. Pursuant to such consultation, as at 30th June, 2020, Kshs. 316,886,182 portion of the general reserve fund represent an amount placed as security with Absa and National banks of Kenya to secure staff housing mortgage and car loans at concessionary rates for its staff.

29. Cash generated from operations

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Surplus for the year	4,080,013	27,001,120
Adjusted for :		
Depreciation	32,588,634	35,629,363
Loss on disposal of non-current assets	539,162	-
Provisions	1,107,857	757,077
Working Capital adjustments		
Increase in receivables & prepayments	(54,346,372)	(1,864,884)
Increase in inventory	768,413	(6,071,208)
Security deposits-staff car & mortgage schemes	(58,007,272)	(299,805,127)
Increase in payables & Accruals	250,743	40,136,947
Employee benefit obligations	10,727,088	-
Increase in deferred grant	48,855,687	-
Net cash flow from operating activities	**(13,436,047)**	**(204,216,712)**

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

30. Financial Risk Management

The Authority has a policy and framework on risk management. The Strategic risk register is reviewed quarterly by management. The Authority's activities expose it to interest, credit and liquidity risks.

Credit risks

Credit Risk refers to the risk that a counterparty will default on its contractual obligation resulting in financial loss to the Authority. Credit Risk arises from bank balances, receivables and amounts due from related parties. Although this risk is unlikely to occur in the short term, it is mitigated as follows:

i. Cash and short-term deposits are placed with well-established financial institutions of high quality and credit standing and also approved by the National Treasury;
ii. Funds are invested in short-term facilities; and
iii. The Authority does not raise receivables in its ordinary course of business.

Credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited due to the nature of the Authority's business and its reliance on government grant as the main source of funding.

The Authority's exposure to credit risk at the end of the financial year is best represented by.

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Cash at bank	172,270,126	367,184,708
Security Deposits	357,812,398	299,805,127
Staff travel imprest	109,200	-
Staff debtors	22,154	167,113
Trade debtors	19,847	19,667
Staff car loan debtors	9,735,814	8,830,310
Staff mortgage loan debtors	54,618,287	-
Prepaid rent	235,000	2,790,499
Prepaid insurance	2,846,332	7,481,715
Prepaid internet services	6,462,571	173,587
Prepaid training fees	328,460	
Total	**604,460,189**	**686,452,726**

All the Authority's receivables are performing and are expected to be repaid.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

Liquidity

The Authority's liquidity risk is as a result of funds availability to cover future commitments. The Authority manages liquidity risk by monitoring forecasted cash flows and ensuring that the necessary funds are available to meet any commitments which may arise. Cash which is not utilized is invested immediately in Treasury bills and call accounts. The amounts that best describes the Authority's exposure to liquidity risk at the end of the financial year is as follows;

	Less than 1 month	Between 1-3 months	Over 5 months	Total
	Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
At 30th June, 2020				
Accounts payables	24,785,039	-	-	24,785,039
Staff claims	107,038	-	-	107,038
Taxes	87,810	-	-	87,810
Payroll deductions	3,742,281	-	-	3,742,281
Staff leave provisions	6,057,767	-	-	6,057,767
Total	**34,779,935**			**34,779,935**

	Less than 1 month	Between 1-3 months	Over 5 months	Total
Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.	Kshs.
At 30th June, 2019				
Trade payables	46,616,337	-	-	46,616,337
Staff claims	13,740,365	-	-	13,740,365
Taxes	1,304,495	-	-	1,304,495
Payroll deductions	4,282,328	-	-	4,282,328
Staff leave Provisions	4,949,910	-	-	4,949,910
Contractors retention	1,058,833	-	-	1,058,833
Total	**71,952,268**			**71,952,268**

Market risks

Market risk is the risk that the value of an investment will decrease due to changes in market factors. The above stated mitigating factors apply to market risk as well. The Authority has transactional currency exposures. Such exposure arises through purchases of services especially fees for international conferences that are done in currencies other than the local currency and

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

receivables arising mainly from the Authority's share of merger filling fees from COMESA Competition Commission. Invoices denominated in foreign currencies are paid within 7 days

from the date of receipt of the invoice and conversion at the time of payment is done using the prevailing exchange rate. The carrying amount of the Authority's foreign currency denominated monetary assets and monetary liabilities at the end of the reporting period are as follows:

	US $ dollar	Kshs.
Cash at bank- National Bank of Kenya	383,001.61	41,205,109

31. Related party transactions

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
a) Grants from National Government		
i. Exchequer budgetary support	361,100,000	387,220,000
ii. COMESA-Regional Integration Implementation Programme	10,000,000	7,000,000
Total	**371,100,000**	**394,220,000**
b) Gifts/donations declared by employees	-	15,000
c) Key management compensation		
(i) Directors' emoluments	3,212,861	6,579,725
(ii) Compensation to the Director General	9,910,000	9,910,000
(iii) Compensation to key management	69,136,835	68,736,080
Total	**82,259,696**	**85,225,805**

32. Contingent assets and contingent liabilities

a. Court cases

The Authority has cases pending before the Kenyan courts and before the Competition Tribunal the nature of which are of a judicial review in the form of seeking declaratory orders requiring specific actions from the Authority as opposed to special or general damages. The outcome thereof may result in legal costs or damages awarded against or for the Authority. The details of the cases are disclosed here below.

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

Case Reference Vs. CAK	Details of the case	Remarks
Tribunal Case No. CT002/2020 Kansai Plascon vs CAK	Judicial review proceedings challenging the Authority's decision and seeking Set Aside Orders, Orders of Certiorari & costs of the Memorandum of Appeal.	Likely legal liability in the sum of Kenya Shillings two million, nine hundred thousand (Ksh 2, 900, 000).
Tribunal Case No. CT 003/2020 Galaxy Paints vs CAK		
Tribunal Case No. CT 004/2020 Crown Paints vs CAK		
Nairobi Constitutional Petition No. 6 of 2020 Galaxy Paints, AG & CAK	Judicial review proceedings challenging the Authority's decision and seeking Declaratory Orders, Orders of Certiorari and costs of the Petition.	Likely legal liability in the sum of Kenya Shillings Nine Hundred and Sixty Thousand. **(Ksh 960, 000).**
Tribunal Case No. CT 006/2020 Majid Al Futtaim Hypermarkets Limited, CAK and Orchards Limited.	Appeal challenging the Authority's decision delivered against it on 4th February, 2020 and seeking Declaratory Orders and Costs of Appeal.	Likely legal liability in the sum of Kenya Shillings Nine Hundred Thousand. **(Ksh 900, 000).**
Mombasa Constitutional Petition No 201 of 2019 Kenya Transporters Association Limited versus CAK & Others	A suit challenging the Authority's mandate in curtailing the monopolistic tendencies and seeking Declaratory and Certiorari Orders.	Likely legal liability in the sum of Kenya Shillings seven hundred and sixty thousand. **(Ksh 760, 000).**
Civil Case No. E471 of 2019 Alexander Mugo & Others V KBL, CAK & Others	A suit challenging the Authority's mandate with respect to Abuse of Dominance and seeking Declaratory Orders.	Likely legal liability in the sum of Kenya Shillings three hundred and fifteen thousand. **(Ksh. 315, 000).**

NOTES TO THE ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30TH JUNE, 2020-CONTINUED

33. Commitments

	2019/2020	2018/2019
	Kshs.	Kshs.
Authorized, contracted and ongoing	5,339,566	22,737,081
Authorized but not yet contracted		
Total	**5,339,566**	**22,737,081**

The committed expenditure will be financed by allocated operational budget for future periods.

Operating leases-as leases (expense)

	2019/2020	2018/2019
Minimum lease payments due	Kshs.	Kshs.
• Within one year	26,875,884	25,596,080
• In second to fifth year	83,562,900	110,438,784
Total	**110,438,784**	**136,034,865**

Operating lease payments represent rentals payable by the Authority for leased office space. Leases are negotiated for an average term of five years. No contingent rent is payable.

34. Pending bills

As at the close of the financial year, the Authority did not have any bills which have not been adequately covered by its cash reserves. All the Authority's recorded liabilities as at the end of the year are current and are adequately covered.

35. Explanation of budget variances higher/lower than 10%

a. Fines & penalties 37%

The Authority had budgeted to receive fines & penalties from the results of various cases it was investigating in the last financial year whose outcomes had been determined and the parties issued with and accepted demand notes. However, in the course of the financial year, the parties decided to seek further interpretation from the Competition Tribunal thereby delaying the collection of the fines.

b. Interest from deposits 29%

The Authority's revenue from interest on deposits decreased significantly following a diminished cash reserves due to payment of surpluses for the previous years pursuant to

section 219(2) of the Public Financial Management (national government) regulation 2015. There was therefore no adequate cash reserves to invest with the approved banks.

c. All Expenditure heads for the FY 2019/20

Other than employee costs and repairs and maintenance, all other expenditure heads experienced significant drops in utilization ranging from 21% for purchase of assets to 71% for remuneration of directors owing to late approval of the budget which came on 30th September, 2019 to the negative effects of the COVID 19 pandemic which frustrated several procurement efforts in addition to a government directive to limit expenditure to only essential services.

36. Surplus Remission

a) The Authority has made provisions to remit to the exchequer Kshs 36,720,117 as per the provisions of Section 219 (2) of the Public Financial Management Act regulations 2015 being ninety per centum (90%) of the surplus as adjusted by the non-qualifying items as recorded in the Statement of financial Performance for the year.

b) The Authority paid to the Exchequer Kshs 166,228,008 during the year being 90% surplus payable on surplus reported on the audited Financial Statements for financial years 2014/2015, 2015/2016, 2016/2017, 2017/2018 and 2018/2019.

37. Events after the reporting date

There have been no material adjusting and non- adjusting events subsequent to the reporting date with a significant impact on the financial statements for the year ended 30th June, 2020.

38. Ultimate and holding entity

The Authority is a State Corporation under the Ministry of The National Treasury. Its ultimate parent is the Government of Kenya.

APPENDIX 1: PROGRESS ON FOLLOW UP OF AUDITOR RECOMMENDATION

The following is the summary of issues raised by the external auditor, and management comments that were provided to the auditor. We have nominated focal persons to resolve the various issues as shown below with the associated time frame within which we expect the issues to be resolved.

Reference No. on the external audit Report	**Issue / Observations from Auditor**	**Management comments**	**Focal Person to resolve the issue** *(Name and designation)*	**Status: (Resolved/ Not Resolved)**	**Time frame:** *(Put a date when you expect the issue to be resolved)*

Director General

Date 16/11/2020

APPENDIX II: INTER-ENTITY TRANSFERS

	ENTITY NAME:	**COMPETITION AUTHORITY OF KENYA**		
	Break down of Transfers from the ministry of the National Treasury			
	FY 2019/2020			
a.	**Recurrent Grants**			
		Bank Statement Date	**Amount (KShs)**	**FY to which the amounts relate**
		21/08/2019	76,525,000	2019/20
		07/10/2019	5,000,000	2019/20
		15/11/2019	76,525,000	2019/20
		10/02/2020	76,525,000	2019/20
		24/04/2020	5,000,000	2019/20
		11/06/2020	76,525,000	2019/20
			316,100,000	
b.	**Development Grants**			
		Bank Statement Date	**Amount (KShs)**	**FY to which the amounts relate**
		08/10/2019	27,500,000	2019/20
		19/03/2020	13,750,000	2019/20
		24/04/2020	13,750,000	2019/20
		Total	**55,000,000**	
	Grand Total		**371,100,000**	

The above amounts have been communicated to and reconciled with the parent Ministry

Manager, Finance
Competition Authority of Kenya

Sign ----------

APPENDICES

1.1 RESTRICTIVE TRADE PRACTICES CASES

No.	Cases/inquiry	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case status/Decision
1.	CAK vs Crown Paints Kenya PLC	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations into the conduct and practices of the undertakings engaged in the paints manufacturing and distribution in Kenya *on its own motion* pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act. In December 2018, the Authority carried a search exercise at the premises of Crown Paints Products (K) Ltd and other players and seized materials relevant to the investigation and later interviewed the Crown representatives.	Section 21 (1) and (3) (a)	The Authority concluded the investigations and after considering the parties submissions, arrived at a determination that Crown Paints PLC was in contravention of section 21 (1) and (3) (a) of the Act. Crown Paints however appealed the decision at the Competition Tribunal.
2.	CAK vs Galaxy Paints Limited	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations into the conduct and practices of the undertakings engaged in the paints manufacturing and distribution in	Section 21 (1) and (3) (a)	The Authority concluded the investigations and after considering the parties submissions, arrived at a determination that Galaxy Paints and Coatings Ltd was in contravention of

			Kenya *on its own motion* pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act. In December 2018, the Authority carried a search exercise at the premises of Galaxy Paints (K) Limited and other players and seized materials relevant to the investigation and later interviewed the Galaxy representatives.		section 21 (1) and (3) (a) of the Act. Galaxy Paints and Coatings Ltd however appealed the decision at the Competition Tribunal.
3.	CAK vs Kansai Plascon Kenya Limited	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations into the conduct and practices of the undertakings engaged in the paints manufacturing and distribution in Kenya *on its own motion* pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act. In December 2018, the Authority carried a search exercise at the premises of Kansai Plascon Kenya Ltd and other players and seized materials relevant to the investigation and later	Section 21 (1) and (3) (a)	The Authority concluded the investigations and after considering the parties submissions, arrived at a determination that Kansai Plascon Kenya Limited was in contravention of section 21 (1) and (3) (a) of the Act. Kansai Plascon Kenya Limited however appealed the decision at the Competition Tribunal.

			interviewed the Plascon representatives.		
4.	Pharmaceutical Industry	Pharmaceutical	The investigation was initiated on the Authorities own volition in order to determine the competitiveness of the sector. The Authority examined the conduct of firms supplying the Government with Anti-TB drugs and Antiretroviral medication for the 2013-2019 by way of review of tender documents.	Section 21(3)	Based on the information provided, the investigation did not establish any bid rigging indicators and the matter was closed with further recommendations of collaborative sensitization with KEMSA staff on the provisions of the Act.
5.	CAK vs Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs)	Petroleum and petroleum products	The Departmental Committee on Energy ("the Committee") of the National Assembly received a petition from two individuals on behalf of Oil Marketing Companies (OMCs). Specifically, the petition alluded to an influx of illegal OMCs operating at the Kenyan airports allegedly leading to undercutting. The Committee recommended that the Authority should review and investigate	Sections 21, 23 and 24	Investigations were concluded and it was determined that there was effective competition among the OMCs and that the Jet fuel was priced above the landing costs. The findings of the investigation were communicated to the Departmental Committee of Energy, of the National Assembly.

			business practices among OMCs involved in Jet A-I fuel business.		
6.	Andrew Karimi vs Kemnet technologies Ltd	Information and Communication	Andrew Karimi, a resident of Greatwall Gardens in Athi River, wrote to the Authority and alleged that the management company of the estate has barred all other Internet Service Providers (ISP) from operating or setting up in the estate, save for Kemnet Technologies Ltd thus denying the residents choice.	Sections 23 and 24 (b)	It was established that the relevant geographic market affected by the alleged conduct did not meet the threshold of a market as prescribed under section 4(c) of the Act and that Kemnet Technologies, served a very insignificant portion of the fixed data market in Kenya unlikely to result in any negative effects in the market. Based on the findings, the matter was closed.
7.	Timothy Kiige vs Safaricom PLC	Information and Communication	The complainant alleged that he had been unable to access the Safaricom App for about three (3) weeks. He claimed that he was only able to use Safaricom internet bundles to access the App. Effectively, Safaricom had barred other internet sources from being utilized by consumers to access or operate the Safaricom Application.	Sections 23 and 24 (b)	The Authority established that MySafaricom App is available on other internet platforms and that the instances of unavailability of the App on third party internet platforms was as a result of internet speed fluctuations/instability. It also established that the limitation of the activation of MySafaricom App to its mobile internet is for purposes of authentication and minimizing vulnerabilities including

					confidentiality and integrity. Based on the findings the matter was closed.
8.	James Kagotho vs Safaricom PLC	Telecommunication	The Authority received a complaint from Mr. James Kagotho of Cosmere Ventures, representing between 20-30 Content Service Providers (CSPs), seeking intervention and guidance regarding the sudden increase in Safaricom's bulk SMS prices. The complainant alleged that this amounted to abuse of dominance.	Section 24	The matter was referred to the Communications Authority of Kenya for reason that the complaint is considered as a sector regulatory matter since it falls within the Kenya Information and Communications (Tariff) Regulations, 2010.
9.	Kennedy Sirengo vs Safaricom PLC	Telecommunication	The Authority received a complaint from Mr. Kennedy Sirengo, who is in the online auctioneering business, alleging that Safaricom was discriminating against him by denying him a system with enhanced features (B2B with API) while allowing other categories of customers access.	Section 24(2) (c) of the Act	The findings of the investigation were that the customer had subscribed to the payment platform in early 2019 whereas Safaricom withdrew the B2B service via API, in August, 2018. This meant that he could not qualify for the service at that time. Additionally, the proposed tariff B2B via API is currently undergoing review by the CBK pursuant to Regulation 35 of the National Payment Regulations to ensure that Safaricom complies with

					Anti-Money Laundering and Countering Financial Terrorism. Based on the findings, the matter was closed.
10.	Kennedy Ngugi vs Glovo App LLC	Retail Sector	The Authority received a complaint from Mr. Kennedy Ngugi (the complainant) against Glovo App Company (Glovo), a company engaged in the provision of on-demand delivery services in Kenya. The complainant alleged that some of the vendor contractual agreements entered into between Glovo and its suppliers, have restrictive clauses that bind the suppliers on exclusive terms.	Section 21	Glovo App LLC was advised to revise the contracts with third party vendors to remove clauses that had restrictive effects. This was effected following which the matter was closed.
11.	Cereal Millers Association	Manufacturing	Cereal Millers Association complained to the Authority that the Ministry and the Wheat stakeholder were engaged in price setting of Wheat.	Section 9	The matter was referred to the Agriculture and Food Authority which implements regulations guiding on licensing and the process requirements of wheat importation.
12.	Provision of security services for University of Nairobi	Public Procurement	The Authority received complaint from an anonymous party claiming cases of bid rigging and collusion in the provision security	Section 22	The University of Nairobi amended the particular clause which was barring the complainant from bidding and this was communicated to the bidder before the lapse of time for the

			services at the University of Nairobi		bidding process. Based on the amendments and the participation of the complainant, the matter closed.
13.	CAK vs Edible Oil Manufactures	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations into the conduct and practices of the undertakings engaged in the edible oil manufacturing and distribution in Kenya. The investigation was initiated *suo moto* pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act. The investigations were triggered by the rigidity of the retail prices of various edible oils products in the local market despite apparent steady decrease in the cost of palm oil, the main raw material in the industry.	Section 21(3) (a) (b) (e) and (f) 22(1) (b)	The Authority did not find any evidence of collusion by the players and therefore the case was closed. The Authority will continue to periodically monitor the sector.
14.	CAK vs EABL	Alcoholic beverages Market	The Authority received a petition by Bunge La *Wananchi* against EABL on the allegations of the abuse of dominance in the	Section 24	We are awaiting determination of the matter in the High Court since we cannot carry out parallel investigations when a matter is pending in Court.

			production and supply of the Euro Brown Beer Bottles.		
15.	Kenya Transporters Association	Transport	The Association complained to the Authority that the there was a government directive on the compulsory usage of the Standard gauge Railway which according to them was anticompetitive.	Section 9	We stopped our investigations after the parties filed a suit resulting from the same cause of action in the High Court.
16.	Unfair trade practices by health providers (Jubilee Insurance)	Finance and Insurance	The Authority received a complaint against healthcare insurers, specifically Jubilee Insurance (Jubilee). The complainant alleges that a majority of doctors are locked out of agreements to provide professional services because they have not signed restrictive and exploitative contract with a healthcare insurer or a health insurer agent.	Sections 21 and 24	Investigations into this matter are ongoing.
17.	Shipping, haulage and trucking sector matter	Transport sector	A screening of the Shipping, haulage and trucking sector for possible restrictive trade practices was undertaken following the	Section 21	Matter held in abeyance pending the outcome of the investigations by COMESA on the same sector.

			outcomes of a regional market study in the sector. In the period under review, the COMESA Competition Commission commenced investigations on alleged concerted practices of shipping liners in the region which included Kenya.		
18.	Investigations into the Energy Dealers Association (EDA)	Electricity, Gas, Steam, and Air Conditioning supply	The Authority received information that EDA has been engaging in certain restrictive trade practices namely, price fixing and information exchange contrary to sections 21 and 22 of the Act. The EDA had not sought an exemption from the Authority.	Sections 21 and 22	Investigations are ongoing
19.	Capabuil vs Institute of Certified Public Accountants of Kenya (ICPAK)	Professional Services	The Authority received a complaint from Capabuil Ltd alleging that ICPAK was engaging in a restrictive trade practice through its implementation of a new Continuous Professional Development (CPD) policy. It was alleged that the policy intends to reduce CPD hours that ICPAK	Sections 21, 25, and 29	Investigations are ongoing

			recognizes for clients attending seminars offered by independent trainers and introducing exorbitant fees payable by independent trainers.		
20.	Review of Security tenders of National Housing Corporation	Public Procurement	The Authority initiated investigations into the parties engaged in the provision of private security services in Kenya *on its own motion* pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act.	Section 21	Investigations inot the matter are ongoing
21.	Intertropical Timber trading Ltd and others	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations into the conduct and practices of the undertakings engaged in the supply of both treated wooden poles and concrete poles at the Kenya Rural Electrification Authority based on a complaint on the alleged flaws in the tendering processes.	Section 21	Investigations are ongoing
22.	Bar soaps investigations	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations into the parties engaged in the manufacture of bar soaps in Kenya *on its own motion*		The review of the bar soaps sector indicated that the sector is competitive and that there are no apparent restrictive trade practices. Based on the findings, the matter was closed.

			pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act.		
23.	Citimed Family Health Clinic vs Silver Springs Pharmacy	Human Health and Social Activities	Citimed Family Health Clinic complained against Silver Springs Pharmacy and alleged that Silver Springs Pharmacy Limited was engaging in anti-competitive practices. Specifically, it was alleged that Silver Springs Pharmacy had recently branded its outlet with the exact colours of Citimed Family Health Clinic in a bid of to confuse Citimed clients and that Spring Pharmacy wrongfully presented themselves as medical doctors.	N/A	The review of the matter established that the issue on piracy of a purported trademark does not fall within the mandate of the Authority and the complainant was referred to Kenya Industrial Property Institute (KIPI). Further, the issue relating to the alleged misrepresentation of Silver Spring Pharmacy employees as Medical Doctors was equally found to fall within the purview of Kenya Medical Practitioners and Dentist Board (KMPDC). Accordingly the complainant was referred to the said institutions.
24.	Claros Company Limited vs KPLC	Public Procurement	The Authority received a complaint against KPLC on irregularities in tender for the provision of labour & transport construction services. The complainant alleged that the tender submissions responses		The review of the matter established that the tender anomalies was in reference to procedural irregularities of the tender process which fall outside the mandate of the Authority and was referred to PPRA.

			were not read during the tender opening in March, 2019 and that KPLC floated another tender which was due in June, 2019, whereby they did not share with the unsuccessful bidders the response to the appeals.		
25.	Security tenders at Postal Corporation of Kenya	Public Procurement	The Authority received a complaint from an anonymous party claiming cases of bid rigging and collusion in the provision of security services at Postal corporation	Section 22	The evaluation of tender documents from Postal Corporation did not reveal any elements of bid rigging. Based on the findings, the matter was closed.
26.	IPCA vs. KEMSA	Pharmaceuticals	The Authority received a complaint against KEMSA by IPCA for alleged restrictive clause on the technical specifications for tender for the supply of Artesunate injection 60MG.	Section 21	The review of the matter established that the alleged restrictive clauses on the tender specification did not fall under the ambit of the Authority and the matter was referred to PPRA for further action.
27.	Richard vs Githiga Sacco	Transport	The Authority received a complaint on allegations of monopolization of the provision of public transport services along Githiga-Kiambu-Nairobi and Githunguri-Githiga-Limuru	Section 24	The findings of the investigation were that there were a number of other *matatu* Saccos that were plying the same route that included Bakaki, Banana Hill, Kidagi, Kijabe and Lira line saccos. Based on the findings, the

			routes and Cianda - Ngorongo route.		route was established to be competitive and the matter was closed.
28.	Beauty Point College vs Anonymous accused party	Beauty and Fashion Industry	The Authority received a complaint from beauty point college against an unnamed organization concerning the defacing of the complainant's billboards, giving false information regarding the products of the complainant as well as use of unsafe/ substandard products.	Sections 23 and 24	Investigations are ongoing
29.	Sufuria World vs Kaluworks Limited	Manufacturing	The Authority received a complaint from Sufuria World Limited against Kaluworks Limited relating to refusal to supply aluminum circles to Sufuria World Limited.	Sections 23 and 24	The Authority established that the technologies used in the manufacture of aluminium circles were readily available and could be easily replicated. The Authority determined that the allegations did not meet the threshold of abuse of dominance by refusal to deal. Based on the findings the matter was closed.

30.	PET Bottle Recycling Versus PETCO	Manufacturing	The Authority received a complaint against PETCO factory in Athi River denied market access to bottles by charging Ksh. 25 as opposed to Ksh. 20 which is the common market price for the collectors.	Section 24	The findings of the investigation was that the complaint did not meet the threshold of Abuse of Dominance since PETCO is not dominant in the relevant market. Based on the findings, the matter was closed.
31.	CAK vs Animal Feeds Manufactures	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations into the conduct and practices of the undertakings engaged in the Animal feeds manufacturing and distribution in Kenya. The investigation was initiated *suo moto* pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act.	21(3) (a) (b) (e) and (f)	Investigations are ongoing

32.	CAK vs Agrochemicals Association of Kenya	Agrochemical Industry	The Authority pursuant to section 31 of the Act initiated investigations into the activities of members of the Agro-Chemicals Association of Kenya (AAK) to establish if the members were sharing commercially sensitive information including prices, quantities produced as alleged by a market screening of the sector.	Section 22	The investigation established that importation transactions related to agrochemicals were captured by an independent entity and were not shared with the Association. Based on the findings, the matter was closed.
33.	CAK vs Better SMS	Telecommunications	The Authority received a complaint in anonymity against Better SMS on allegations that BSL had entered into certain understanding/arrangements with certain media houses to ensure that they dominantly provided premium Short Message Services to the detriment of its competitors.	Section 24 (1) and (2)	Investigations are ongoing
34.	Midicom Limited vs SBG Securities	Forex Trading	The Authority received a complaint from Midicom Limited against SBG Securities alleging restrictions in accessing the forex market and customer allocation.	Section 24 (a) and (c)	Investigations are ongoing

35.	CAK vs Kenya Association of Travel Agents	Travel and Tourism	The Authority's market intelligence indicated that the Kenya Association of Travel Agents (KATA) had planned to adopt a 'No Credit' policy to ensure the business sustainability of their members during and post Covid-19 period. The adoption of this policy would see travel agents cease to extend credit facilities for travel to their customers thus restricting their dealings to cash-paying clients. This position could be views as agreements on terms of trade contrary to Sections 21 and 22 of the Act	Sections 21 and 22	Investigations are ongoing
36.	Bakex Limited Vs Others Millers	Manufacturing	The Authority received a complaint from Bakex Limited alleging that other millers were dominant in the market were abusing their dominance by selling their goods below the cost of production in other regions in the country.	Section 24	Investigations are ongoing

37.	Firefighting Services	Service	The Authority initiated investigations into the conduct and practices of the undertakings engaged in the provision of firefighting products and services. The investigation was initiated *suo moto* pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act.	Sections 21,22 and 24	The findings of the investigations were that the sector was competitive as assessed by ease of entry and exit and the number of players in the market. The matter was closed.
38.	Coffee Sector	Agriculture	The Authority initiated investigations *suo moto* pursuant to the provisions of section 31 (1) of the Act, into the practices and conduct of various players in the coffee value chain to determine the competitiveness of the sector.	Section 21(1)	The investigations revealed that there is need to review industry policy and eliminate the conflict of interest by coffee marketing agents resulting from single economic entities having their subsidiaries operate along the various segments of the value chain. The coffee agents seemed to favour their sister companies when shopping for millers. It was recommended that further engagements be held with AFA (coffee Directorate) on how to ensure the sector remains competitive.
39.	Gateway Marine Services	Transport	Gateway Marine Services alleged abuse of Dominance by Maersk	Sections 23 and 24	Investigations are ongoing

	Limited vs Maersk Kenya Limited		Kenya Limited in the reefer cargo market.		
40.	Unfair commission charges by Glovo, Jumia and Uber Eats	Retail Sector	The Authority received a complaint from Chris Wings against Glovo, Jumia and Uber Eats on allegations that the accused were offering unfair trading conditions amongst different players in the market.	Sections 21 and 24	Investigations are ongoing

1.2 COMPLIANCE MATTERS

No	Case/activity	Sector / market affected	Case summary	Status/Decision
1.	Sai Office Supplies & Lino Stationers	Office supplies	The Authority approved the implementation of the merger on condition that the acquirer absorbs not less than fifty seven (57) out of the seventy four (74) employees after the completion of the transaction.	Information provided to the Authority established that the parties had complied with condition of absorbing at-least 57 employees of the acquired entity.
2.	UPL & Arysta Life Science	Agrochemicals	The Authority approved the merger on condition that the acquirer would continue packaging the targets agrochemical products in packages ranging from 50ml/50g to 1litre/1 kilogram, and that the acquirer would maintain the distribution model of the target.	The Authority established that the parties had complied with conditions of the merger approval.
3.	Abyssinia Iron & Top Steel	Steel	The Authority approved the implementation of the merger subject to the acquirer retaining the sixty six (66) employees engaged in the target's business.	The Authority established that the parties had complied with conditions of retaining 66 employees of the acquired firm.

4.	Mobile Transparency	Finance	The Authority pursuant to section 56 (4) of the Act, investigated the financial providers over non- disclosure of fees charged on their mobile transactions and carried out checks to establish the level of compliance with the Act.	The Authority established that the targeted financial institutions had complied with financial disclosure requirements by upgrading the mobile applications to be able to disclose the transaction costs to customers prior to effecting the same.
5.	Kongoni River Farm & Kreative Roses Ltd	Horticulture	The Authority approved the implementation of the merger on condition that the target retains forty three (43) of its employees while the acquirer absorbs the remaining three hundred and sixty two (362) employees for at least one (1) year after the completion of the transaction.	The Authority established that the parties had complied with the employment condition of the merger.
6.	Trufoods Limited and Golden Biscuits (1985) Limited	Processed foods	The Authority approved the implementation of the merger on condition that the Trufoods absorbs 30 employees (50%) of the targets permanent employees.	The Authority established that the parties had complied with the employment condition of the merger.
7.	Breenntag (Holdings) BV & Desbro (Kenya) Limited	Agrochemicals	The Authority approved the implementation of the merger subject to the acquirer retaining 80 employees of the target firm.	The Authority established that the parties had complied with the employment condition of the approved merger.

8.	NIC Group PLC & Commercial Bank of Africa	Finance	The Authority approved the implementation of the merger on condition that none of the one thousand, eight hundred and seventy-two (1,872) employees of the merged entity were declared redundant within a period of 12 months or one calendar year from the date of closing of the transaction in Kenya	Ongoing
9.	Samson A. Wanyangu & Toyota Kenya	Motor Industry	The Authority received several complaints from persons who had purchased the HINO buses from Toyota Kenya Limited (Toyota).The alleged that the HINO buses model 300 and 500 had defective braking system failure, unstable chassis, clutch performance problems, overheating problems and poor wiring system.	Compliance is ongoing
10.	James Kariuki Githiri and 1XBET	Betting	The Authority received a complaint from James Githiri in March 2019 who alleged that 1XBET denied access to his money (Ksh. 28,000) that was in his 1XBET account after placing and winning three bets. The Authority found that the 1XBET terms and conditions stipulated that they reserved the right to limit the maximum	The player exited the market and the matter was closed.

			stakes or odds on certain customers without notifications which was found to be unfair and misleading to the customers.	
11.	ARM and National Cement	Manufacturing	The Authority approved the implementation of the merger subject to the merging entity ensuring continued operation of the Kaloleni and Athi River Plants of the target; and retaining at least one thousand and fifty-four (1054) (95%) of the one thousand one hundred (1100) employees of the target post-merger.	The Authority established that the parties had complied with the employment condition of the approved merger. Further compliance will be conducted in the Financial year 2020/2021 on retaining plant operations for the target firm.
12.	Nyaruai Gitonga vs Artcaffe	Retail Sector	The complainant had purchased cookies represented as gluten free from Artcaffe. The cookies allegedly affected her health negatively as she was gluten intolerant. After investigations, the Arte Caffe gave a written undertaking vide the settlement agreement to in future refrain from engaging in any conduct that is in contravention of the Act.	Information provided to the Authority established that Artcaffe had complied with the settlement agreement conditions.
13.	Kenya Breweries Limited	Alcoholic beverages	The Authority had investigated the arrangements between KBL and its distributors and found that they had the effective of restricting competition. EABL	The Authority reviewed the distributor agreements and established that EABL had amended the contracts. Based on the findings, the matter was closed.

			was required to amend the contracts to be non-exclusive in nature.	
14.	Total Outre-mer S.A & Gulf Africa Petroleum Coorp.	Petroleum and petroleum products	The Authority approved the Total Kenya Ltd merger with Gulf Petroleum Company on condition that the parties continue to provide petroleum products storage to the competitors at Mombasa. Additionally, they were required to provide security of employment to the existing Gulf Africa Petroleum Company employees.)	A review of the compliance with the merger conditions on hospitality for the third and final year revealed that Total provided the required hospitality.

1.3 EXEMPTIONS

No	Application	Sector	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Status
1	Energy Dealers Association	Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air Conditioning Supply	The Energy Dealers Association applied for an exemption on potential restrictive trade practices relating to a mutual cylinder exchange agreement on Cylinder Reciprocal and Hospitality Agreement of 2019 signed among its members for a period of ten (10) years.	Section 25	Exemption evaluation process held in abeyance pending the conclusion of an investigation touching on the subject of the exemption application.
2	Cooper K Brands (CKL)	Agrochemical	CKL sought an exemption application in regard to certain provisions of their Strategic Business Partnership agreements which may have effects contemplated in section 21(3) of the Act. This application was presented following the lapse of the first exemption period.	Section 25	Evaluation of the exemption is ongoing.

1.4 ADVISORY OPINIONS

No	Case/activity	Sector / market affected	Case summary	Violation/ theory of harm	Case Status/Decision
1	Tuskys Franchising	Retail sector	The Authority received an advisory request from Tusker Mattresses Limited regarding a proposed franchising project. Specifically, Tuskys sought advice on the necessary legal steps and requirements that they should put into consideration to enable the project become a success.	N/A	The Authority advised that a franchise agreement may qualify for a category exemption under Section 30 of the Act if the conditions set out in the Block Exemption Guidelines are satisfied. Tuskys was requested to furnish the Authority with the final draft of the Franchising Agreement, once prepared and before execution, for its review.
2	Advisory On Content Syndication Agreement between Wireless Group Media Ltd And Radio Africa Limited	Media and Telecommunication Sector	The Authority received a request for information or an advisory opinion on a matter concerning Exemptions on restrictive trade practices. The request was received from TripleOK Law, a law firm representing Standard group in a suit against Radio Africa Limited. (*Radio Africa Limited v The Standard Group PLC & Another Civil Case No. E253 of 2019*)	N/A	Matter is on-going

1.5 NOTIFIABLE MERGERS FOR THE FY 2019/2020 FINANCIAL YEAR

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
1.	Coca Cola Sabco (East Africa) Limited and Almasi Beverages Limited	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of a controlling stake in Almasi Beverages Limited by Coca Cola Sabco (East Africa) Limited (CCSEA). CCSEA is a subsidiary of Coca Cola Beverages Africa Proprietary Limited (CCBA). Analysis revealed that it would negatively affect competition and would likely raise negative public interest issues.	Approved with conditions: i. CCBA continue to operate the bottling plants of the Merged Entity in Nyeri, Eldoret, Nairobi, Molo and Kisumu for the foreseeable future, but in any event, will do so for at least three (3) years after completion of the Proposed Transaction; ii. The Merged Entity shall reserve the lower deck of the coolers lent to SMEs by the Merged Entity for products of competitors. However, this condition does not apply to and excludes the brands of The Coca-Cola Company's three (3) largest global NARTD competitors; iii. For a three (3) year period following completion of the Proposed Transaction, no more than twenty-one (21) permanent employees out of the total 1760 permanent employees of the Merged Entity will lose their jobs as a result of the legal reorganisation that CCBA intends to implement in 2020. This condition supersedes any previous employment conditions

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
				imposed on the merging parties as a result of any prior merger approvals granted by the Authority; iv. The bottler agreement dated 1 September 2012 (as amended) entered into with Coastal Bottlers Limited will be honoured in accordance with its terms; v. CCBA shall ensure that the agreements between the Merged Entity and its distributors shall be amended to permit such distributors to distribute other NARTDs, to the extent (if at all) the agreements provide otherwise. The Merged Entity shall have a period of nine (9) months following completion of the Proposed Transaction to ensure that all such amendments are completed; and
				vi. CCBA shall ensure that the agreements between the Merged Entity and its distributors shall be amended to remove all clauses which stipulate the prices and profit margins for the sale of its products, to the extent (if at all) the agreements contain such clauses; provided that, the Merged Entity shall retain the ability to set maximum recommended resale prices for its distributors. The Merged Entity shall have a period of nine (9) months following completion of the Proposed

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
				Transaction to ensure that all such amendments are completed.
2.	HID Corporation and De La Rue Kenya Limited	Electronic security printing services	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% of the issued share capital in De La Rue Kenya Limited by HID Corporation. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively. However, it was likely to raise negative public concerns in relation to current contracts with the Government.	Approved on condition that all existing contracts that the target (De La Rue) has with the Kenyan Government are honoured.
3.	KCB Group Plc and National Bank of Kenya Limited	Finance and Insurance	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% issued shares in National Bank of Kenya Limited by KCB Group Plc. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively but would likely raise public interest concerns.	Approved on condition that; Ninety (90) percent of the employees of the merged entity equal to five thousand five hundred and seventy-two (5,572) are retained for a minimum period of two years;
4.	Metro Concepts East Africa Limited and	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of plastic manufacturing business of Metro Plastics (Kenya) Limited by Metro Concepts East	Approved on condition that; the acquirer absorb at least ninety (90) employees of the target.

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
	Metro Plastics (Kenya) Limited		Africa Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively but would raise negative public concerns.	
5.	National Cement Company Limited and ARM Cement Plc(under administration)	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of all the assets, business and properties of ARM Cement Plc by National Cement Company Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively but would raise negative public concerns.	Approved on condition that; the merged entity ensures continued operation of the Kaloleni and Athi River plants of the target and; ii. Following completion of the proposed transaction the acquirer retains at least one thousand and fifty four (1054) (95%) of the one thousand one hundred (1100) employees of the target post-merger.
6.	KUL Graphics Limited, The Rodwell Press Limited, Printfast Kenya Limited, Digital Hub Limited and Colourprint Limited by the	Printing	The transaction involved establishment of a joint venture and the acquisition of certain assets of KUL Graphics Limited, the Rodwell Press Limited, Printfast Kenya Limited, Digital Hub Limited and Colourprint Limited by the Print Exchange Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition	Approved on condition that the parties keep at least one hundred and forty-six (146) employees of the merging parties (102 permanent and 44 on contract).

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
	Print Exchange Limited		negatively but would have negative public concerns.	
7.	Unilever Overseas Holding Limited B.V. and Chemi & Cortex Kenya Limited	Retail distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Chemi & Cortex Kenya Limited by Unilever Overseas Holding Limited B.V. Analysis revealed that the transaction would affect competition negatively but not raise any negative public concerns.	Approved on condition that the acquirer continue to provide the products (Whitedent bodyline, Baby Soft, Skin glow, Siri, U & Me, Lovely, Banister, Bannister and Tressa) of the target in the market for at least three (3) years after the completion of the transaction.
8.	Indo Oceania Ventures Limited and Mayfair Healthcare Holdings Limited.	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Mayfair Healthcare Holdings Limited by Indo Oceania Ventures Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively but would raise negative public concerns.	Approved on condition that; all the employees one thousand two hundred and fifty-seven (1,257) would be retained post-merger.
9.	KenolKobil Plc and Gulf Energy Holdings Limited	Petroleum	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Gulf Energy Holdings Limited by KenolKobil Plc. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to	Approved on conditions that; i. For a period of twenty-four (24) months from the date of implementation of the proposed transaction, the merged entity shall not declare any of the one hundred and two (102) employees of the target undertaking

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			affect competition negatively but would raise negative public concerns.	redundant and ensure that basic remunerations and other benefits to all the employees transferred to the merged entity are no less favourable than those provided at the date of the signing of the initial agreement. ii. For the duration of the existing contract between the Gulf Energy and the SMEs operating within the retail stations, the merged entity shall ensure that these SMEs enjoy the same benefits within the contract as provided at the signing of the contract; iii. The merged entity shall ensure that the contracts entered into between Gulf Energy and the retail station dealers is maintained for the length of such contracts; and iv. The merged entity to furnish the Authority with annual reports regarding the aforementioned conditions for a duration of twenty-four (24) months or up to the expiry of the longest of any of the existing contracts between Gulf Energy and the SMEs or the Dealers, whichever is longer.
10.	Marinvest S.r.l and Ignazio	Logistics	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Ignazio	Approved on condition that;

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
	Messina & C.S.P.A. & Roro Italia S.r.l.		Messina & C.S.P.A and Roro Italia S.r.l. Analysis revealed that the transaction would likely affect competition negatively but was unlikely to raise any negative public concerns.	The target, Ignazio Messina, continues to operate in these routes independently of the acquiring group, Marinvest Shipping Company (MSC), post-merger through ring-fencing of their operations on the EAF-SAF and SAF-EAF routes. The ring fencing shall exist for as long as MSC has a stake in Ignazio Messina. Ring Fencing Conditions The IM East Africa Business will be kept separate from the MSC East Africa Business and no steps will be taken to integrate or otherwise align the activities or conduct of IM and MSC's respective East African Operations. The day-to-day affairs and business of IM's East African Operations shall be managed by IM, in accordance with its business trading policies and practices as at the Approval Date, except as may be necessary to comply with any changes in applicable law or good industry practice. The IM East Africa Business shall exercise, in its sole discretion, final and determinative power regarding the strategic marketing and/or pricing policies of IM's East African Operations and will operate the East African

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
				Operations in the Ordinary Course of Business independently of MSC. MSC and IM shall ensure that none of the MSC representatives (or representatives of MSC affiliate companies) appointed to the board of directors of IM shall be engaged in the direct day-to-day management of the IM East Africa Business. MSC and IM shall ensure that no Competitively Sensitive Non-Public Information of the IM East Africa Business is discussed at IM board meetings unless the MSC board representatives (or representatives of MSC affiliate companies) first recuse themselves from such discussion. MSC and IM shall establish "information barriers" between the operations of the IM East Africa Business, on the one hand, and MSC, on the other hand, so as to ensure that: Information barriers exist in relation to Competitively Sensitive Non-Public Information of the IM East Africa Business (as determined by IM, acting reasonably in its

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
				discretion) and that of MSC (i.e. in order to prevent any flow of such information between IM and MSC). These barriers may consist of both physical and procedural measures, as determined by IM, acting reasonably in its discretion; and All members of the board of IM who obtain lawful access to the IM East Africa Business' Competitively Sensitive Non-Public Information shall retain same in secret and confidentially other than for use as permitted in terms of the Shareholders Agreement. IM, acting reasonably in its discretion, can establish any other practical and/or operational measures necessary to maintain the segregation of the IM East Africa Business' Competitively Sensitive Non-Public Information from that of MSC, as well as the independent operation of IM's East African Operations. These measures shall not impede MSC (or MSC affiliate companies): (i) to comply with its reporting and/or disclosure obligations under any applicable law; and/or (ii) to obtain legal or other professional advice; and/or (iii) to legitimately protect its rights as a shareholder in IM.

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
				For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in the above Conditions will prevent or otherwise limit the ability of IM and MSC to integrate their operations outside of East Africa. This includes any initiatives to engage in joint purchasing or other input procurement initiatives from Global Suppliers, even if such initiatives have an impact on the procurement activities of IM's East African Operations. In addition, nothing in the above Conditions will prevent or otherwise limit the ability of IM and MSC to enter into consortia agreements,1 or to enter into arm's length agreements for the provision of inland operational services (including but not limited to cargo handling and warehousing, cargo inland transportation or containers logistics (i.e. storage, repairs or positioning). Duration: The Ring-fencing Condition will apply for as long as MSC has a stake in IM. Monitoring of compliance with the conditions: MSC and IM shall produce an annual report which details their compliance with the conditions contemplated in paragraph 3 above. Such report will be submitted to the

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
				Authority within one month of each anniversary of the Approval Date and will be accompanied by affidavits or declarations attesting to the accuracy thereof by directors of MSC and IM respectively.
11.	Amethis Retail and Naivas International	Distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Naivas International by Amethis Retail. Analysis revealed that it was unlikely to negatively affect competition but likely raise negative public interest issues.	Approved on condition that; The merged entity to honour all the current contracts with suppliers and SMEs for the surviving period of such contracts; The merged entity to ensure that prior to implementation of the proposed transaction, all the outstanding debts owed to its suppliers and other SMEs are paid to the extent permitted by the contracts entered into between the parties; and The merged entity to review its existing and future contracts with suppliers and SMEs to ensure that any clauses that are contrary to the Buyer Power provisions of the Act and the Buyer Power Guidelines are removed.
12.	Access Bank Plc and Transnational Bank Plc	Finance and Insurance	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% of the shares in Transnational Bank Plc by Access Bank Plc. Analysis revealed that the	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	
13.	ATC Heston B.V and Eaton Towers Holding Limited	Telecommunication	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% issued shares in Eaton Towers Holding Limited by ATC Heston B.V. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
14.	Caryle Group and Compania Espanola De Petroleos S.A.U	Healthcare	The transaction involved acquisition of 40% interest with certain veto rights of Compania Espanola De Petroleos S.A.U by Caryle Group from Cespa Holding LLC. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns	Approved unconditionally
15.	Doctor No Parent Limited and CR Honos Parent Limited	Security systems	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% of the issued share capital of CR Honos Parent Limited by Doctor No Parent Limited. Analysis revealed that it	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			was unlikely to negatively affect competition nor raise any negative public concerns.	
16.	DSV A/S and Panalpina Wettransport Holding AG	Logistics	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% of the publicly held shares held in Panalpina Wettransport Holding AG by DVS A/S. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
17.	Hospital Holdings Investment B.V. and AAR Health Care Holding Limited	Healthcare	The transaction involved acquisition of 54.237% of shares in AAR Health Care Holding Limited by Hospital Holdings Investment B.V. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
18.	Marsyetu Limited and Mija Limited	Retail distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Mija Limited by Marsyetu Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	
19.	Prif Africa Holding Limited and Icolo Limited.	ICT	The transaction involved acquisition of 20% of the issued shares with controlling interest of Icolo Limited by Prif Africa Holding Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
20.	Sunsuper PTY Limited and Macquarie Airfinance Limited	Leasing	The transaction involved acquisition of 25% interest with controlling interest in Macquarie Airfinance Limited by Sunsuper PTY Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
21.	Yellow House Limited and Bamburi Special Products Limited	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of certain assets of Bamburi Special Products Limited by Yellow House Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	
22.	E3 Energy DMCC and Global Petroleum Products Kenya Limited	Distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of 85% of the issued share capital of in Global Petroleum Kenya Limited by E3 Energy DMCC. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
23.	Eastern Africa Holdings Limited and ICEA Lion Insurance Holdings Limited	Finance and insurance services	The transaction involved acquisition of 24.1% shares in ICEA Lion Insurance Holdings Limited by Eastern Africa Holdings Limited Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
24.	KCB Bank Kenya Limited and Imperial Bank Limited	Finance and insurance activities	The transaction involved acquisition of certain assets and assumption of liabilities of Imperial Bank Limited by KCB Bank Kenya Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	
25.	AFG International B.V. and Panalpina Airflow Limited	Logistics	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% of the ordinary shares in Panalpina Airflow Limited by AFG International B.V. Analysis revealed that it was unlikely to negatively affect competition nor likely raise negative public interest issues.	Approved unconditionally
26.	IX Africa, Veer Investments Limited & MIIT Investments Limited and IX Africa Data Centre Limited	ICT	The transaction involved acquisition of control of IX Africa Data Centre Limited by IX Africa, Veer Investments Limited & MIIT Investments Limited Analysis revealed that it was unlikely to negatively affect competition but would likely raise negative public interest issues.	Approved unconditionally.
27.	Pwani Oil Products Limited and Ushindi Brand	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of Ushindi Brand by Pwani Oil Products Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	
28.	Lake Agro Limited and Dominion Farms Limited	Agriculture	The transaction involved acquisition of plant, machinery, equipment, stock and property of Dominion Farms Limited by Lake Agro Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively nor raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
29.	AIF Power East Africa Limited & Energy LLP and Iberafrica Power (E.A) Limited	Energy	The transaction involved acquisition of the entire issued share capital of Iberafrica Power (E.A) Limited by AIF Power East Africa Limited. Analysis revealed that it was unlikely to negatively affect competition or raise negative public interest issues.	Approved unconditionally.
30.	Bain Capital Investors, LLC and Kantar Group	Services (Data analytics)	The transaction involved acquisition of indirect control of companies comprising Kantar Group. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	
31.	Cemetech Investments Limited and Axel Investments Limited	Property	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% shareholding in Axel Investments Limited by Cemtech Investments Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
32.	Elgon Kenya Limited and Dilpack Kenya Limited	Distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of the assets of Dilpack Kenya Limited by Elgon Kenya Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
33.	ICEA Lion Asset Management Limited and Stanlib Kenya Limited	Asset Management	The transaction involved acquisition of the assets and business of Stanlib Kenya Limited by ICEA Lion Asset Management Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
			competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	
34.	Madison Hotel & Resorts Limited and Kingdom 5-KR-185 Limited	Hospitality	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Kingdom 5-KR-185 Limited by Madison Hotel & Resorts. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
35.	Pledge Holdco Limited and Maziwa	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of 33.9% of the issued capital and joint control of Maziwa by Pledge Holdco Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
36.	Snetor East Africa Limited and Plexchem Limited	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of the entire business of Plexchem Limited by Snetor East Africa Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
37.	Sokoni Retail Kenya Limited and Quick Mart Limited	Retail distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Quick Mart Limited by Sokoni Retail Kenya Limited. Analysis revealed that it was unlikely to negatively affect competition or raise negative public interest issues.	Approved unconditionally
38.	VIVO Energy Investments B.V. and KUKU Foods Kenya Limited	Hospitality	The transaction involved investments in KUKU Foods Kenya Limited by VIVO Energy Investments B.V. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
39.	Aurora Bidco UK Limited and Accelya Topco Limited	ICT	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Accelya Topco Limited by Aurora Bidco UK Limited. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	Approved unconditionally
40.	Omidyar Network Fund Llc, Acumen	Finance and insurance activities	The transaction involved acquisition of 863,477 preference shares in Windgen Power USA Inc.	Approved unconditionally

S/No	Parties involved	Sector/Market	Summary	Decision
	Fund Inc., Stitching Dob Equity & Microgrid Catalytic Capital Partners and Windgen Power USA Inc.		by Omidyar Network Fund Llc, Acumen Fund Inc., Stitching Dob Equity and Microgrid Catalytic Capital Partners. Analysis revealed that the transaction was unlikely to affect competition negatively or raise any negative public concerns.	

1.6 MERGER EXCLUSIONS

Mergers that were excluded from Part IV of the Competition Act No 12 of 2010. The transactions were excluded since they were unlikely to negatively affect competition and the combined turnover/assets of the merging parties was below the threshold for mandatory merger notification.

S/No	Parties involved	Sector	Details
1	Actiflex Limited and Mapflex East Africa Limited	Manufacturing	The acquisition of assets of Mapflex East Africa Limited by Actiflex Limited.
2	Audrey Patricia Cheng and Moringa School	Education	The acquisition of 50% shareholding in Moringa School by Audrey Patricia Cheng.
3	Avipro East Africa Limited and Kim's Poultry Farm Limited	Manufacturing	The acquisition of assets of Kim's Poultry Farm by Avipro East Africa Limited.
4.	Clearskies Limited and Deep Blue Limited	Hospitality	The acquisition of certain business and assets of Deep Blue Limited by Clearskies Limited.
5.	Co-creation Hub Limited and I-Hub Limited	ICT	The acquisition of the entire issued share capital of I-Hub Limited by Co-Creation Hub Limited.
6.	Engie Afrique SAS and Mobisol Kenya Limited	Energy	The acquisition of 100% of the issued share capital of Mobisol Kenya Limited by Engie Afrique SAS.
7.	Julie Ann Dowd and Enkaji House PLC	Real Estate	The acquisition of sole control of Enkaji House PLC by Julie Ann Dowd.
8.	FCPI Energy Access Ventures Fund and Mawingu Networks Limited	ICT	The acquisition of 31.4% of the entire issued share capital in Mawingu Networks Limited by FCPI Energy Access Ventures Fund.
9.	Gridworks Development Partners LLP and Mettle Solar Investment Proprietary Limited.	Renewable Energy	The acquisition of 40% of the issued share capital with controlling rights of Mettle Solar Investment Proprietary Limited by Gridworks Development Partners.

10.	Kensalrise Limited and Maize Milling Limited	Manufacturing	The acquisition of assets of Maize Milling Limited by Kensalrise Limited.
11.	Tenlot International LLC and Kenya Charity Sweepstake	Betting	The acquisition of 84% of the issued shares in Kenya Charity Sweepstake Limited by Tenlot International LLC.
12.	Largadere Travel Retail S.A.S and International Duty Free S.A.	Retail distribution	The acquisition of all the issued share capital in International Duty Free S.A by Largadere Travel Retail S.A.S.
13.	Lutein Limited and Star Optics Limited	Health	The acquisition of business and assets of Star Optics Limited by Lutein Limited.
14.	Mohammed Mahmoud Idow & Abdibasit Abdinur Maalim and Turk Cell Communications Company	Retail distribution	The acquisition of shares in Turk Cell Communications Company by Mohammed Mahmoud Idow & Abdibasit Abdinur Maalim.
15.	Porini Safari Camps Limited and Kindani Limited.	Tourism	The acquisition of assets of Kindani Limited by Porini Safari Camps Limited.
16.	Rajnikant Shah and Bakpharm Limited	Health	The acquisition of control in Bakpharm Limited by Rajnikant Shah.
17.	Snetor Chimie East Africa and Agrinco Limited	Leasing	The acquisition of leased premises of Agrinco Limited by Snetor Chimie East Africa.
18.	Stitching PGGM Infrastructures Fund and Macquire Airfinance Limited	Leasing	The acquisition of 25% interest with veto rights in Macquire Airfinance Limited by Stitching PGGM Infrastructures Fund.
19.	Stitching DOB Equity and Moringa School Limited	Education	The transaction involved the acquisition of 20% of the issued share capital of Moringa School Limited by Stitching DOB Equity.
20.	Tuffsteel Limited and Hwan Sung Industries (Kenya) Limited	Manufacturing	The transaction involved the acquisition of direct control of Maxamcorp Holdings S.L.by entities controlled by Rhone Capital LLHwan Sung Industries (Kenya) Limited by Tuffsteel Limited.
21.	Uplands Meat Products Limited and Gilani Butchery Limited.	Retail	The transaction involved the acquisition of 100% of the issued share capital in Gilani Butchery Limited by Uplands Meat Products

			Limited.
22.	Acumen Fund Inc. and Tulaa Holdings Limited	Agriculture	The transaction involved the acquisition 87,603 of the preference seed shares in Tulaa Holdings Limited by Acumen Fund Inc.
23.	Aurecon 25 Proprietary Limited and Aurecon Kenya Limited	Energy	The transaction involved acquisition of indirect control of Aurecon Kenya Limited by Aurecon 25 Proprietary Limited.
24.	Azelis UK Holdings Limited and Orkila Holding SAL	Distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of sole control of Orkila Holding SAL by Azelis UK Holdings Limited.
25.	Azuri Technologies Kenya Limited and Ruh Solar Limited	Energy	The transaction involved the control of Ruh Solar Limited by Azuri Technologies Kenya Limited.
26.	Bereket Goitom and Canaaneast Company Limited	Distribution	The transaction involved allotment of shares in Canaaneast Company Limited to Bereket Goitom.
27.	Bilashaka Flowers Limited and Jirani Mzuri PLC	Agriculture	The transaction involved the acquisition of all the shares of Jirani Mzuri PLC by Bilashaka Flowers Limited.
28.	Brother Enterprises Holding Limited and Lanxess Cisa Proprietary Limited	Manufacturing	The transaction involved the acquisition of 100% issued shares of Lanxess Cisa Proprietary Limited by Brother Enterprises Holding Limited through its wholly owned subsidiary Brother Industrial (SA) PTY Limited.
29.	Buzz Merger Sub Limited and Worldwide Vision Limited	Online dating	The transaction involved the acquisition of control of Worldwide Vision Limited by Buzz Merger Sub Limited.
30.	Carepay international B.V. and Carepay Limited	Digital payment systems	The transaction involved the acquisition of 2.57% shares with controlling rights in Carepay Limited by Carepay International B.V.
31.	Cequens Holdings Limited and Synq Africa Holdings Limited	Telecommunication	The transaction involved the acquisition of 80% of the issued share capital in Synq Africa Holdings Limited by Cequens Holdings Limited.
32.	Co-Creation Hub Limited and I-Hub	Consultancy	The transaction involved the acquisition of the entire issued share

	Limited		capital in I-Hub Limited by Co-Creation Hub Limited.
33.	Commercial International Bank (Egypt) S.A.E. and Mayfair Bank Limited	Finance and insurance services	The transaction involved the acquisition of control of Mayfair Bank Limited by Commercial International Bank (Egypt) S.A.E.
34.	Edelman Kenya Limited and Gina Din Corporate Communications Limited	Corporate communications	The transaction involved the acquisition of the entire business of Gina Din Corporate Communications Limited by Edelman Kenya Limited.
35.	Energy Media Holdings Limited and Go Communications Limited	Media	The transaction involved the acquisition of 100% of the issued share capital in Go Communications Limited by Energy Media Holdings Limited.
36.	Eric Muigai Kenyatta and EcoFlow Waste Solutions Limited	Waste Management	The transaction involved the acquisition of shares in EcoFlow Waste Management Solutions Limited by Eric Muigai Kenyatta.
37.	FB Franchising Kenya Limited and Hoggers Limited	Hospitality	The transaction involved the acquisition of the franchise business of Hoggers Limited by FB Franchising Kenya Limited.
38	Henry Kinyua Omollo and Game Tosha Limited	Betting	The transaction involved the acquisition of 100% of the issued share capital of Game Tosha Limited by Henry Kinyua Omollo.
39.	Jatin Mukundrai Patel, Rupa Jatin Patel,Sahil Jatin Patel & Pranay Jatin Patel and Kenon Investments Limited	Real Estate	The proposed transaction involved acquisition of all the issued share capital in Kenon Investments Limited by Jatin Mukundrai Patel, Rupa Jatin Patel, Sahil Jatin Patel & Pranay Jatin Patel.
40.	Kiboko Holdings Limited and Hydro Aluminium Limited	Mining	The transaction involved acquisition of 56% of the issued share capital in Hydro Aluminium Limited by Kiboko Holdin.gs Limited
41.	Kudura Power East Africa Limited and Africa Impact Ventures LLC	Energy	The proposed transaction involved the acquisition of business and assets of Africa Impact Ventures LLC and Kudura Power East Africa Limited.
42.	Lightsun Limited and Solar Kiosk Kenya Limited.	Distribution	The transaction involved the acquisition of assets of Solar Kiosk Kenya Limited by Lightsun Limited.

43.	London Stock Exchange Group Plc and Refinitiv Business	Trading	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Refinitiv Business by London Stock Exchange Group Plc.
44.	Nitin Zubin Ramesh Parik & Binita Dyson and Jaribu Jabavu Limited	Property	The transaction involved the acquisition of 2 shares each and allotment of 598 and 597 shares in Jaribu Jabavu Limited.
45.	Outotec OYJ and Metso OYJ	Leasing	The transaction involved the acquisition of control of the mineral business of Metso OYJ by Outotec OYJ.
46.	Oxford Medical Centre LLC and Lifecare Holdings Limited	Healthcare	The transaction involved the acquisition of control of Lifecare Holdings Limited by Oxford Medical Centre LLC.
47.	Rift Investments Limited and Digital Innovation Packaging Limited & A-One Plastics Limited	Printing	The transaction involved the acquisition of direct control in Digital Innovation Packaging Limited & A-One Plastics Limited by Rift Investments Limited.
48.	Securex Agencies Kenya Limited and Svensson & Iysson Agencies Limited	Security services	The transaction involved the acquisition of security alarm and response business of Svensson & Iysson Agencies Limited By Securex Agencies Kenya Limited.
49	Simba Proprietary Limited and Pioneer Food Group Limited.	Hospitality	The transaction involved acquisition of sole control of Pioneer Food Group Limited by Simba Proprietary Limited
50.	Soc Spear Limited and Spear Supermarket Limited	Distribution	The transaction involved the acquisition of supermarket business and part of assets of Spear Supermarket Limited by Soc Spear Limited
51.	Stitching DOB Equity & Acumen Fund Inc. and Coconut Holdings Limited (CPR/2015/206636)	Manufacturing	The transaction involved the acquisition of joint control in Coconut Holdings Limited (CPR/2015/206636) by Stitching DOB Equity and Acumen Fund Inc.
52.	Stitching DOB Equity & Acumen Fund Inc. and Coconut Holdings Limited (CPR/2015/206636)	Manufacturing	The transaction involved the acquisition of shares in Coconut Holdings Limited (CPR/2015/206636) by Stitching DOB Equity & Acumen Fund Inc.

53.	Stiftelsen the World We Need & Gurpreet Kaur Kenth and T3 EPZ Limited	Manufacturing	The transaction involved the acquisition of joint control of T3 EPZ Limited by Stiftelsen the World We Need & Gurpreet Kaur Kenth.
54.	TBL Dairy Ventures B.V. and MA Cuisine Limited	Manufacturing	The proposed transaction involved acquisition of direct control of MA Cuisine Limited by TBL Dairy Ventures B.V.
55.	Traviata II Sarl and Axel Springer SE	ICT	The transaction involved the acquisition of joint control of Axel Springer SE by Traviata II Sarl.
56.	Upesy World Limited and Gravity Limited	ICT	The transaction involved the acquisition of mobile application business of Gravity Limited by Upesy World Limited.
57.	Upfield Europe B.V. and Arivia S.A.	Manufacturing	The transaction involved the acquisition of entire issued share capital of Arivia S.A. by Upfield Europe B.V.
58.	Virunga Power Holdings Kenya Limited and Tindinyo Falls Resort Limited.	Energy	The proposed transaction involves the acquisition of 85% shareholding in Tindinyo Falls Resort Limited by Virunga Power Holdings Kenya Limited.
59.	Alpha Vision CMA Kenya Limited and Radiotelle Limited	Media	The transaction involved the acquisition of shares and assets in Radiotelle Limited by Alpha Vision CMA Kenya Limited.
60.	Aragorn Parent Corporation and Overdrive Holding Inc.	Publication	The transaction involved the acquisition of sole control of Overdrive Holding Inc. by Aragorn Parent Corporation.
61.	Balvinder Kishori Lal Sahni and Securex Investment Limited	Property	The transaction involved acquisition of 50% shares in Securex Investment Limited by Balvinder Kishori Lal Sahni.
62.	BRCK Limited and Boelist Investments Limited	ICT	The transaction involved acquisition of certain assets of Boelist Investments Limited by BRCK Limited.
63.	Fanisi Capital Fund II LLC and St. Bakhita Holdings Limited	Education	The transaction involved acquisition of 30.8% issued share capital of Bakhita Holdings Limited by Fanisi Capital Fund II LLC.

64.	Finclusion Africa Holdings Limited & Growth State Holdings Proprietary Limited and Mybucks S.A.	Finance and insurance services	The transaction involved acquisition of 98.98% shareholding in Mybucks S.A. by Finclusion Africa Holdings Limited & Growth State Holdings Proprietary Limited
65.	Goodlife Pharmacy Limited and Eldochem Chemists	Distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of certain assets of Eldochem Chemists by Goodlife Pharmacy
66.	Goodlife Pharmacy Limited and Westmall Chemist Limited	Distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of certain assets of Westmall Chemist Limited by Goodlife Pharmacy Limited.
67.	Hass Petroleum Limited and Sahara Petrol Stations	Distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of assets of three (3) petrol stations of Sahara Petrol Stations by Hass Petroleum Limited.
68.	Moringa Entities and Asante Capital EPZ Limited	Agriculture	The transaction involved acquisition of shareholding in Asante Capital EPZ Limited by Moringa Entities.
69.	Nairobi Securities Exchange Limited and AKS Nominees Limited	Stock Trading	The transaction involved acquisition of control of AKS Nominees Limited by Nairobi Securities Exchange Limited.
70.	Sadhana Anil Kumar Puri and Ramani Limited	Property development	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Ramani Limited by Sadhana Anil Kumar Puri.
71.	Samuel Kibunja Watene and Stratostaff East Africa Limited	Hiring and recruitment	The transaction involved acquisition of 47 ordinary shares in Stratostaff East Africa Limited by Samuel Kibunja Watene.
72.	Starbright Holdings Limited and Livewire Limited	Agriculture	The transaction involved acquisition of the entire issued share capital in Livewire Limited by Starbright Holdings Limited.
73.	Superior Homes (Kenya) Plc and Vipingo Limited	Property	The transaction involved acquisition of all the issued share capital of superior homes at Vipingo Limited by Superior Homes (Kenya) Limited.
74.	Simba Foam Limited and Slumber Land	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of assets of Slumber Land by Simba Foam Limited.
75.	Pan African Housing Fund LLC and Barkey Wharf Limited	Property Management	The transaction involved acquisition of sole control of Barkey Wharf Limited by Pan African Housing Fund LLC.

76.	Freshpick Processors Limited and Organic Growers & Packers (EPZ) Limited	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition of assets and business of Organic Growers & Packers (EPZ) Limited by Freshpick Processors Limited.
77.	Altima Africa Limited (Samuel Kibunja Watene) and Stratostaff E.A Limited	Recruitment	The transaction involved acquisition of additional 47% of the issued share capital of Stratostaff E.A Limited by Altima Africa Limited (Samuel Kibunja Watene).

1.7 NON-MERGERS

For the following applications, analysis revealed that there would be no change in control post-merger and the combined turnover/assets of the parties is less than Ksh. 500 million and meets the thresholds for transactions that do not need the Authority's approval as provided for in the Competition (General) Rules, 2019.

S/No.	Parties	Sector	Details
1.	Erdemann Property Limited and Erdemann Gypsum Limited	Distribution	The transaction involved acquisition of assets, business and assumption of liabilities of Erdemann Gypsum Limited by Erdemann Property Limited.
2.	Foodplus Holdings Company and Chandaria Supermarket Limited	Retail	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% issued share capital in Chandaria Supermarket Limited by Foodplus Holdings Company.
3.	GBA Kenya Limited and Grassroots Business Partners Inc.	Consultancy	The transaction involved acquisition of business of Grassroots Business Partners Inc. by GBA Kenya Limited.
4.	Hospital Holdings Investments B.V. and Kiambu Road Investment Limited	Healthcare	The transaction involved acquisition of 81.22% of the shares in Kiambu Road Investment held by NGH Investment B.V. by Hospital Holdings Investments B.V.
5.	Jason Louis-Carmichael and Mobile Consultations Africa Limited	ICT	The transaction involved acquisition of shares in Mobile Consultations Africa Limited belonging to Mobile Medical Doctors Holding by Jason Louis-Carmichael.
6.	Terragon Holdings Mauritius and Terragon Kenya Limited	Media	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% shares in Terragon Kenya Limited by Terragon Holdings Mauritius from Terragon Limited.

7.	Chiromo Special Products Limited and Rhino Special Products Limited	Design	The transaction involved acquisition of business of Rhino Special Products Limited by Chiromo Special Products Limited.
8.	Potential Investors and Circle Gas Limited	Energy	The transaction involved subscription of 50% shares in Circle Gas Limited by 19 potential investors.
9.	Apex Africa Capital Limited and AIB Africa Capital Limited.	Stock brokerage	The transaction involved acquisition of 100% of the issued share capital in AIB Africa Capital Limited by Apex Africa Capital Limited.
10.	Asante Capital EPZ Limited and Live Love Well	Real Estate	The transaction involved acquisition of 35% of the issued share capital with controlling rights in Live Love Well by Asante Capital EPZ Limited.
11.	DNI-4PL Proprietary Contract and Blue Label Mobile Proprietary Limited	Telecommunication	The transaction involved acquisition of 85% of the issued share capital in Blue Label Mobile Proprietary Limited by DNI-4PL Proprietary Limited.
12.	Husky Holdings (AUST) PTY Limited and Husky Outdoor Equipment Limited (Kenya)	Advertising	The transaction involved restructuring of Husky Outdoor Equipment (Kenya) and Husky Holdings (AUST) PTY Limited.
13.	James Terjanian and Pink House Property Limited	Property Management	The transaction involved acquisition of control of Pink House Property Limited.
14.	Terroir Founders Partnership and DGB Proprietary Partnership	Distribution	The transaction involved transfer of 91.27% of the issued share capital in DGB Proprietary Partnership by Terroir Founders Partnership.
15.	Zebra Jobs Kenya Limited and Africa Jobs Network Limited	Recruitment	The transaction involved restructuring of Zebra Jobs Kenya Limited and Africa Jobs Network Limited.

16.	Musa Nyandusi Lwegado & John Akodo Ayugi and Mayfair Health Services Limited	Healthcare	The transaction involved the acquisition of 100% of the entire issued share capital of Mayfair Health Services Limited by Musa Nyandusi Lwegado and John William Akado Ayugi
17.	IPS & Advanced Bio-/extracts and Botanical Extracts EPL Limited	Manufacturing	The transaction involved acquisition in various proportions, a total of 28.4% of the shares in Botanical Extracts EPZ Limited (target) by Investment Promotion Services Kenya (IPS) and Advanced Bio Extracts Limited (acquirers).
18.	G4S International (NL) B.V. and G4S Kenya Limited	Security	The transaction involved the transfer of 82% of the share capital of G4S Kenya Limited by G4S International Holdings 101 (NL) B.V. to G4S International (NL) B.V.
19.	Dimensions Data Solutions Limited(DDS) and Internet Solutions Kenya Limited(IS)	ICT	The transaction involved integration of the operations of DDS and IS
20.	Mohit Sahni and Anzen Risk Management	Consultancy	The transaction involved transfer of all shares in Anzen Risk Management Limited to Mohit Sahni by Sahil Kiran Patel and Jitin Dipak Mediratta.
21.	Distributed Power African Limited and Econet Energy Kenya Ltd & Norman Moto	Energy	The transaction involved transfer of all shares in Econet Energy Kenya Limited held by Cumii International Limited (CIL) (4,999 shares) and Norman Moyo (1 share) to Distributed Power Africa Limited.
22.	AGV Holdings Limited and Entertainment Networks Group Kenya Limited	Property	The transaction involved acquisition of the acquisition of 70% of issued shares in Entertainment Network Group Kenya Limited by AGV Holdings Limited

1.8 MERGERS Notified to COMESA Competition Commission under the Competition (General) Rules, 2019

Mergers that meet the COMESA Competition Commission Merger Notification Threshold and a t least two-thirds of the turnover or assets (whichever is higher) is not generated or located in Kenya.

S/No.	Name/Parties	Sector	Committee Responsible for Initial Decision (CID) Determination
1.	Zaad International BV and EASEED Group Limited	Agriculture	The merger was not likely to substantially lessen or prevent competition in the Common Market or any substantial part of it. Further, the transaction is unlikely to be incompatible with the COMESA treaty objective of full market integration.
2.	MUA Insurance Kenya Limited and Saham Assurance Company Kenya Limited	Insurance	The merger was not likely to substantially lessen or prevent competition in the Common Market or any substantial part of it. The CID further determined that the transaction is unlikely to negatively affect trade between Member States.
3.	Mitsubishi Corporation and BBOXX Limited	Energy	The merger was not likely to substantially lessen or prevent competition in the Common Market or any substantial part of it. The CID further determined that the transaction is unlikely to negatively affect trade between Member States.
4.	Vertical Bidco GMBH and Thyssenkrupp Elevator AG	Elevators and Escalotors	The merger was not likely to substantially lessen or prevent competition in the Common Market or any substantial part of it. The CID further determined that the transaction is unlikely to negatively affect trade between Member States.

1.9 BUYER POWER ANNUAL REPORT MATRIX

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
1.	Bhumi Distributors Limited vs Saltes Supermarkets Limited	Retail	Bhumi Distributors Limited alleged abuse of buyer power by Saltes Supermarket through delaying payments without a justifiable reason and terminating the supply contract unilaterally and without notice.	Section 24(2A)	The Authority established that the supplier was no longer in operation rendering further action under the Act untenable. Investigations were closed.
2.	Flashmak Kenya Limited vs Saltes Supermarkets Limited	Retail	Flashmak Kenya Limited alleged abuse of buyer power by Saltes Supermarket through delaying payments without a justifiable reason and terminating the supply contract unilaterally and without notice.	Section 24(2A)	The Authority established that the supplier was no longer in operation rendering further action under the Act untenable. Investigations were closed.
3.	Solut Technology Limited vs Safaricom PLC	Telecommunications	Solut Technology Limited alleged that Safaricom PLC had buyer power and abused that power by unlawful appropriation of Solut's intellectual property.	Section 24(2A)	Authority determined that the matters complained about could not amount to contravention of sections 24(2A) of the Act. The parties did not have a binding agreement between them establishing Solut as a supplier and Safaricom as the buyer. Acceptance of Safaricom's terms

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
					and conditions were a prerequisite to a contract arising. Solut did not accept the terms and conditions, the parties were therefore not in a buyer/supplier relationship as required by the Competition Act.
4.	Papaya Holdings Ltd vs Bllissead Enterprise	Retail	Papaya Holdings presented a complaint of abuse of buyer power in the form of withholding payments by Blissead Enterprise a retail business that operated six (6) supermarkets.	Section 24(2A)	The Authority established that the buyer had since closed its outlets and the conduct was no longer continuing. Investigations were closed.
5.	Orchards Limited vs Majid Al Futtaim Hypermarkets Limited	Retail	Orchards Limited, a supplier of probiotic yoghurts alleged abuse of buyer power by Majid Al Futtaim Hypermarkets Limited (Carrefour Hypermarkets) in terms of imposition of rebates, unilateral termination of contract (delisting), transfer of commercial risk to the supplier by returning goods already ordered and	Section 24(2A)	The Authority established that Majid Al Futtaim had a position of buyer power over Orchards. Orchards had economic dependency on Carrefour Stores. The product of bio yoghurts was found to be best suited to the high-end market,

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
			delivered and transfer of commercial costs by demanding that Orchards deploy permanent staff to Carrefour Stores.		where the retailer's shops are mainly located. The Authority established that the retailer issued standard form contracts that were not negotiable, which it was able to do so by virtue of the position of buyer power over Orchards and other suppliers. Investigations established that Majid Al Futtaim's contracts contained terms enabling conduct in abuse of buyer power by imposition of progressive and annual rebates, return of near expiry goods, transfer of commercial costs by requiring deployment of permanent Orchards staff in its

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
					stores, and unilateral termination. And further that Carrefour had engaged in the conduct. Majid Al Futtaim Hypermarket Limited was ordered under section 36 of the Act to take action to expunge from its contracts clauses that provide for, lead to or otherwise facilitate abuse of buyer power and pay a penalty of 10% of its gross annual turnover from the sale of goods supplied by Orchard Limited.
6.	Nordics Assessors vs CIC Insurance Company Limited	Insurance and Automotive Repair	The complainant, Nordics Assessors, alleged that CIC Insurance Company Limited was abusing its Buyer Power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment on	Section 24(2A)	The conduct complained of, took place before Section 24(2A) of the Act came into effect. The complaint could not be pursued against CIC Insurance

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
			motor vehicles for purposes of post-accident repairs.		Company Limited based on the principle of non-retrospectivity of the law. The complainant was advised to pursue other options available to it in Law.
7.	Nordics Assessors vs Xplico Insurance company Limited	Insurance and Automotive Repair	The complainant, Nordics Assessors, alleged that Xplico Insurance company Limited was abusing its Buyer Power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment on motor vehicles for purposes of post-accident repairs.	Section 24(2A)	The conduct complained of took place before Section 24(2A) of the Act came into effect. The complaint could not be pursued against Xplico Insurance Company Limited based on the principle of non-retrospectivity of the law. The complainant was advised to pursue other options available to it in Law.
8.	Nordics Assessors vs Trident Insurance company Limited	Insurance and Automotive Repair	The complainant, Nordics Assessors, alleged that Trident Insurance company Limited was abusing its Buyer Power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment on	Section 24(2A)	The conduct complained of took place before Section 24(2A) of the Act came into effect. The complaint could not be pursued against Trident Insurance

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
			motor vehicles for purposes of post-accident repairs.		Company Limited based on the principle of non-retrospectivity of the law. The complainant was advised to pursue other options available to it in Law.
9.	Kishliz Enterprises vs Lemigo Dala Supermarket	Retail	The Kishliz Enterprises presented a complaint of alleged abuse of buyer power by the complainant through delayed payment of goods delivered. The complainant claimed that on various dates in 2019, it made suppliers on the basis of local purchase orders of Lemigo Dala. Upon presentation of invoices, only part of the invoiced amount was made. Following this, Kishliz terminated its contract with Lemigo Dala by stopping supplies and moved to alternative outlets.	Section 24(2A)	The buyer was not found to be in possession of buyer power, investigations were closed. The Authority established that Kishliz did not have economic dependence on Lemigo Dala. There were comparable alternative outlets, evidenced by the action of Kishliz to stop supplies to Lemigo Dala in preference for other outlets. It was established that the opportunity cost to be suffered by Kishliz upon termination was not proportionately greater

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
					than that suffered by Lemigo Dala. Investigations were closed.
10.	Nordics Assessors vs Invesco Insurance Limited	Insurance and Automotive Repair	The complainant, Nordics Assessors, alleged that Invesco Insurance Limited was abusing its Buyer Power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment on motor vehicles for purposes of post-accident repairs.	Section 24(2A)	The conduct complained of took place before Section 24(2A) of the Act came into effect. The complaint could not be pursued against Invesco Insurance Company Limited based on the principle of non-retrospectivity of the law. The complainant was advised to pursue other options available to it in Law.
11.	Electrical Two Thousand vs Kamiti Main Prison	State Department of Correctional Services	The complainant, Electrical Two Thousand, alleged abuse of buyer power exercised by State Department of Correctional Services in the form of delayed payment for goods and services delivered. The complainant claimed that it supplied building materials to State Department of Correctional Services	Section 24(2A)	The conduct complained against arose from public procurement. The Act enables the Authority to regulate conduct by government when it is engaging in trade. The definition of trade did not extend to the conduct of the State Department of

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
			totalling to Ksh. 1.58 million in 2018.		Correctional Services in this case. The complaint therefore fell under the purview of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) as enabled by the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015 The file was forwarded to the PPRA for further action.
12.	Twinlex Textiles vs Kamiti Main Prison	State Department of Correctional Services	The complainant, Twinlex Textiles, alleged abuse of buyer power exercised by State Department of Correctional Services in the form of delayed payment for goods and services delivered. The complainant alleged supplied suiting materials to Kamiti Main Prison Industry totalling Ksh. 297,000 in 2016 and State Department of Correctional Services failed to pay for the supplies.		The conduct complained against arose from public procurement. The Act enables the Authority to regulate conduct by government when it is engaging in trade. The definition of trade did not extend to the conduct of the State Department of Correctional Services in this case. The complaint therefore fell under the purview of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) as enabled by

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
					the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015 The file was forwarded to the PPRA for further action.
13.	Webs Enterprises vs Services against Ministry of Interior and Coordination of National Government	State Department of Correctional Services	The complaint was of alleged abuse of buyer power through non-payment by the State Department of Correctional Services. The complainant alleged to have supplied the Kamiti main prison with aluminium sheets for the making of number plates as well as polythene paper bags totalling Ksh. 10.8 million and Ksh. 1.25 million respectively in 2016.	Section 24(2A)	The conduct complained against arose from public procurement. The Act enables the Authority to regulate conduct by government when it is engaging in trade. The definition of trade did not extend to the conduct of the State Department for Correctional Services in this case. The complaint therefore fell under the purview of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) as enabled by the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015 The file was forwarded to the PPRA for further action.

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
14.	Manyota Limited vs the Judiciary	Judiciary	The complainant alleged to have entered into a commercial agreement with the Judiciary to construct a five (5) storey building at Embu High Court. Upon completion of construction in December 2017, the Judiciary failed to pay the contract fee agreed, which totalled KSH. 57.2 million.	Section 24(2A)	The conduct complained against arose from public procurement. The Act enables the Authority to regulate conduct by government when it is engaging in trade. The definition of trade did not extend to the conduct of the Judiciary in this case. The complaint therefore fell under the purview of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) as enabled by the Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015 The file was forwarded to the PPRA for further action.
15.	Cowood Limited vs Chavakali High School and St. Patricks' Netima Boys	Ministry of Education	The Authority received a complaint on delayed payment by Chavakali High School and St. Patricks' Netima Boys respectively. Cowood alleged that it entered into commercial agreements with Chavakali	Section 24(2A)	The Authority established that though the complaint is one of alleged abuse of buyer power which is regulated under the Act, it arose from public procurement which is under the

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
			and St. Patricks' Netima Schoold for the supply treated sun-dried maize in mid-2017. Following delivery, both schools failed settle his invoices.		Public Procurement and Asset Disposal Act, 2015 (PPADA). In addition, the Authority concluded that the conduct of both schools does not fall within the definition of trade under Section 5(5) as read together with Section 2 of the Act. The matter was therefore forwarded to the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) for further action.
16.	Regent Automobile Valuers & Assessors vs Invesco Insurance	Insurance	The complainant, Regent Automobile Valuers & Assessors, alleged that Invesco Insurance Limited was abusing its Buyer Power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment and valuation on motor vehicles for purposes of post-accident repairs.	Section 24A	The Authority closed the case after the parties agreed on a settlement.

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
17.	Chred Designs Ltd vs Greenlands Agroproducers (K) Ltd	Agriculture	Chred presented a complaint alleging abuse of buyer power by Greenlands by delaying of payments followed by unilateral termination of the contract. The complainant entered into a contract for supply of packaging material for export of horticultural products. It alleged that following delivery of a consignment in September 2018, Greenlands failed to pay and on Chred making demands for payment, unilaterally terminated the contractual relationship.	Section 24(2A)	The Authority found that Greenlands had buyer power against Chred and was able to impose terms. Further, the supplier had economic dependence on the buyer. The contract between the parties was unwritten and variable on Greenlands choice. The Authority found that there was conduct in abuse of buyer power through delayed payments and unilateral termination by Greenlands. The case was closed after Greenlands opted to settle by paying the delayed payments.
18.	Beta Solutions Limited vs Linksoft Intergrated Services (East Africa) Limited	Telecommunications	The complainant, Beta Solutions Limited, claimed that it was subcontracted by Linksoft Intergrated Services (East Africa) Limited, the main contractor, to put up Telecommunication masts Client. In spite	Section 24(2A)	The Authority found that Linksoft had buyer power against Beta Solution. The supplier had economic dependence on the buyer given

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			CLOSED CASES		
			of carrying out various projects to completion, and after inspection and certification of the projects as fully completed by the Client, Linksoft delayed payments unjustifiably in a sum amounting to Ksh. 2,560,737. Further, it unilaterally terminated the contract between the two parties.		the nature of the subcontracting market. The Authority found that there was conduct in abuse of buyer power through delayed payments and unilateral termination by Linksoft. The file was closed after Linksoft opted to settle the matter by paying the delayed payments.

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			ONGOING CASES		
1.	Members of Kenya Motor Repairers Association vs Kenya Orient Insurance Company	Insurance	A complaint by six (6) members of Kenya Motor Repairers Association (KEMRA) against Kenya Orient Insurance Limited for alleged delayed payments without justifiable reasons in breach of the agreed terms of payment; de-listing; demand for unfavourable terms; and transfer of	Section 24(2A)	Investigations are ongoing.

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			ONGOING CASES		
			commercial risk to the repairers amounting to abuse of buyer power.		
2.	Members of the Kenya Motor Repairers Association vs Invesco Assurance Company	Insurance	A complaint by five (5) members of Kenya Motor Repairers Association (KEMRA) against Invesco Assurance Company for delayed payments without justifiable reasons in breach of the agreed terms of payment; de-listing, demand for unfavourable terms; and transfer of commercial risk to the repairers amounting to abuse of buyer power.	Section 24(2A)	Investigations are ongoing.
3.	Members of Kenya Motor Repairers Association vs Trident Insurance Company	Insurance	A complaint by seven (7) members of Kenya Motor Repairers Association (KEMRA) against Trident Insurance Company for delayed payments without justifiable reasons in breach of the agreed terms of payment; de-listing; demand for unfavourable terms; and transfer of commercial risk to the repairers amounting to abuse of buyer power.	Section 24(2A)	Investigations are ongoing.

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			ONGOING CASES		
4.	Nordics Assessors vs Monarch Insurance Company Limited	Insurance and Automotive Repair	The complainant, Nordics Assessors, alleges that Monarch Insurance Company Limited abused its Buyer Power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment on motor vehicles for purposes of post-accident repairs as well as unilaterally terminating the supply contract.	Section 24(2A)	Investigations are ongoing.
5.	Nordics Assessors vs AMACO Insurance Company Limited	Insurance and Automotive Repair	The complainant, Nordics Assessors, alleges that AMACO Insurance Company Limited abused its Buyer Power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment on motor vehicles for purposes of post-accident repairs as well as unilaterally terminating the supply contract.	Section 24(2A)	Investigations are ongoing.
6.	Nordics Assessors vs Corporate Insurance Company Limited	Insurance and Automotive Repair	The complainant, Nordics Assessors, alleged that Corporate Insurance Company Limited abused its Buyer Power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment on motor vehicles for	Section 24(2A)	Investigations are ongoing.

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			ONGOING CASES		
			purposes of post-accident repairs as well as unilaterally terminating the supply contract.		
7.	Phontain Controls (K) Ltd vs Tusker Mattresses Ltd	Retail	Phontain Controls (K) Ltd filed a complaint alleging abuse of buyer power through a delay of payment for security services rendered and unilateral de-listing by Tusker Mattresses Limited, a retailer.	Section 24(2A)	Investigations are ongoing.
8.	Maisha Bora Company Limited vs Various Supermarkets	Retail	Maisha Bora, the complainant presented a complaint against various insurance companies for delayed payments and return of goods already delivered.	Section 24A	Investigations are ongoing.
9.	Regent Automobile Valuers & Assessors vs Jubilee Insurance	Insurance	The complainant, Regent Automobile Valuers & Assessors, alleges that Jubilee Insurance abused its buyer power by refusing to make payments after the performance of agreed assessment and valuation on motor vehicles for purposes of post-accident repairs.	Section 24A	Investigations are ongoing.

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			ONGOING CASES		
10.	Nationwide Electrical Industries vs Havi Construction Ltd	Construction	The complainant, Nationwide Electrical Industries, alleges delayed payments in abuse of buyer power by Havi Construction. The amount owed is claimed to be Ksh. 354,991.22.00	Section 24A	Investigations are ongoing.
11.	Colbriz Super Foods Limited vs Alexandre Chocolatiers Ltd		The complainant, Colbriz Super Foods Limited, alleges that they supplied Alexandre Chocolatiers with unsalted butter, whipping cream and yoghurt and Alexandre Chocolatiers Ltd have abused their buyer power by delaying payments of Ksh. 434,768.	Section 24A	Investigations are ongoing.
12.	Kartech Engineering Ltd vs Tusker Mattresses Ltd		The complainant, Kartech Engineering Ltd, is a company specializing in fabrication of institutional utilities and was contracted by Tusker Mattresses Limited to service and install smoke and vapour extraction systems in all their outlets countrywide. The complaint alleges non-payment of amount of over Ksh. 1.6 million by Tusker	Section 24A	Investigations are ongoing.

No.	Case	Sector/Market affected	Case Summary	Relevant Section of the Act	Case Status/Decision
			ONGOING CASES		
			Mattresses limited which occurred in abuse of buyer power.		
13.	Christopher Ochieng vs Jackyz Liquor Store	Retail	The complainant, Christopher Ochieng, alleges to have supplied liquor to Jackyz Liquor Store. The complainant alleges non-payment of Ksh. 479,720 in circumstances of abuse of buyer power.	Section 24A	Investigations are ongoing.
14.	Omega Risk Management Ltd vs Tusker Mattresses Ltd		The complainant, Omega Risk Management Ltd, alleges to have had a contractual agreement with Tusker Mattresses Ltd to provide them with security and risk management services since 2010. The complainant alleges non-payment of the amount of Ksh. 62 million being a delayed payment in abuse of buyer power.	Section 24A	Investigations are ongoing.

1.10 CONSUMER PROTECTION CASES

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
1.	Dipak Shah vs Chloride Exide Ltd.	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant alleged that he bought a car battery from Chloride Exide Ltd on 16th October, 2018 and later discovered that it was faulty. Chloride Exide Ltd allegedly declined to replace the battery.	Sections 55 (a) (i), (b) (ii) (v), 56 (1) (2) (a) and (e)	After the Authority's intervention. it was established that the complainant had purchased a lower capacity battery which was unsuitable for his car. The complainant was asked to top up the amount and was given a higher capacity battery, (N90MFL Powerlast battery (90 amp) which was suited for his car. He was satisfied with the redress and the matter was then closed.
2.	William Mungai Vs Kenya Commercial Bank	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant took a loan in 2011 claimed that he finished repaying in October 2017 but the Bank alleged that he still had a loan balance. He alleged that the bank made a total of 75 monthly deductions as opposed to 72 deductions as per the loan agreement.	Section 56(1)-(4)	After the Authority's intervention the parties agreed on the amount the complainant was to pay and the duration of the said payment. The matter was then closed after redress.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			It was established that the dispute arose due to underpayments, and penalty charges resulting from it.		
3.	Gerald Mbugua vs Yang Guang Property Design and Manufacturing Ltd	Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	The complainant alleged he ordered for doors and frames from Yang Guang Property Design. The contractor visited the site and took measurements, but what was delivered did not fit. He was then advised that the delivered goods were as per the ordered products and they could not be replaced.	Section 56(1) (2) (a) (d) and (e)	The Authority contacted the accused party regarding the allegations through a Notice of Investigation. The complainant, however, later indicated that they had agreed to resolve the matter amicably. The matter was closed after redress.
4.	Allan Thuo vs Bet Sure	Arts, entertainment and recreation	The complainant alleged that his bet was cancelled 20 minutes before a football game ended and alleged that he was about to win. He was then refunded the stake on the bet only but he now wanted the company to credit his account with the amount he had purportedly won.	Section 56 (1)& (2) (a) (d) (e)	The Authority established that the matter did not lie within its mandate and referred the complainant to the Betting Control and Licensing Board. The matter was then closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
5.	Ms. Irene Wangui Kinyanjui vs National Bank of Kenya (NBK)	Financial and Insurance activities	The complainant alleged that she received two text notifications on her phone indicating that her Visa card had completed two online transactions. According to her, the deductions were made without her consent. She alleged that she had not received any communication from the Bank despite reporting to them	Section 56	After the intervention of the Authority, NBK compensated the complainant for all the deductions. The matter was closed after redress.
6.	CAK VS IFFCO	Manufacturing	The Authority undertook investigations into the edible oils sector to establish claims by IFFCO regarding the composition of their product Amber Cooking Oil, specifically, the level of Vitamin E.	Section 55 (a)(i))	Upon analysis of their submissions and results from tests that were undertaken, the Authority established that IFFCO was not in contravention of the Act, and the matter was closed as a result.
7.	Vincent Kisua Vs Kenya Orient Insurance	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant alleged that Kenya Orient was taking too long to honour his insurance claim after his vehicle was involved in an accident. They kept changing garages to seek	Section 56 (1) (2) (a)(b)(d	The Authority wrote a Notice of Investigation to Kenya Orient, who indicated that the vehicle had been fully repaired. The Authority therefore required the complainant

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			different opinions in the meanwhile he had to pay for the vehicle's loan. Kenya Orient later informed him that the vehicle was repaired but he was not satisfied.		to have the vehicle inspected by NTSA for a comprehensive report on the status of the vehicle. The complainant later indicated that he had fixed the vehicle and taken it to NTSA where it passed the test, and he no longer wished to pursue the matter and the Authority then closed the case.
8.	Samuel Kumbu Vs Select Management	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant alleged that he got a loan from the Select Management, and claimed they misled him that the loan would be disbursed in 24 hours but this was done after 2 weeks. He also claimed they were still deducting his salary even after completing the loan amount.	N/A	The Authority requested the complainant to provide relevant documentation. The loan agreement he provided showed that he had signed the loan application form. However, the complainant later indicated that the signature had been forged. The complainant was advised to report the issue of forgery to the Directorate of Criminal

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
					Investigation. The matter was closed.
9.	Patience Kwekwe vs Harambee SACCO	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant alleged that she took a loan at an interest rate of 12% p.a. and she was to repay in 34 months. She cleared the loan in June 2015. In December, 2017, she applied for a new loan from the same SACCO but was informed that she still owed the SACCO an amount of about Ksh. 200, 000 which was recovered from her SACCO shares without her knowledge.	Section 56 (3)	The Authority wrote a Notice of Investigation and after further engagements, Harambee Sacco opted to exercise their rights to settle the matter under section 38 of the Act. The Sacco was penalized Ksh. 38,379.85 which was 10% of the principal amount of the loan amounting to Ksh. 336,000. The complainant's loan was also re-calculated. Other remedies included cancellation of the alleged loan arrears and restitution of the complainant's shares. The matter was closed.
10.	Stella Ndung'u Vs Faulu	Financial and Insurance activities	The complainant claimed that her parents took a loan from Faulu Micro-finance in June, 2013 at an	Section 56 (2) (a), (c), (e) and (3)	It was established that there was misrepresentation regarding flat rate and reducing balance rate of

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
	Microfinance Bank		interest rate of 12% p.a. but the bank adjusted it in 2016 to 16% p.a. Further, upon their calculation, the actual interest rate was about 30% and not 16% or 12% as purported by Faulu.		interest that was presented to the complainant. The Authority further engaged the Central Bank of Kenya to get an expert opinion on the calculation of interest rates. Faulu Microfinance Bank exercised their right to settle the matter with the Authority under section 38 of the Act. They were penalized Ksh. 150,000 representing 10% of the loan amount of Ksh. 1,500,000. Other remedies included waiving all penalty interest accruing to the complainant. The matter was closed.
11.	David Kosse vs G4S Ltd	Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	Complainant purchased a parcel at Kilimall, which was to be delivered via G4S. However, the parcel got lost at the hands of G4S.	Section 56 (1), (2) (a) (d) and (e)	The Authority wrote a Notice of Investigation to G4S, and the complainant later informed the Authority that Kilimall had refunded him the money and he

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
					wished to close the matter. The case was then closed.
12.	Ms. Laura Lime vs Slumberland Kenya Limited	Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	The Complainant purchased a bed and mattress from Slumberland, which she later discovered was not well aligned. She raised the complaint but was told she failed to report the issue immediately, and thus the matter could not be resolved.	Sections 55(a)(i),(b)(v) and 56(1),(2)(a)	The Authority wrote a Notice of investigation to Slumberland, who indicated their willingness to resolve the matter. The matter was closed after the complainant confirmed that the issue was resolved.
13.	Jeremy Agunga vs Shine Motors Spares	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant had bought an engine from the Shine Motors Spares and returned it because it was not working. However, the accused was not willing to refund him his money.	Section 56 (1) (2) (a) (b) and (e)	A Notice of Investigation was sent to the accused party. Shine Motors called and confirmed that a post-dated cheque had been prepared for the complainant but he never went to collect it. Upon being informed, the complainant collected the cheque and was satisfied with the resolution. The case was then closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
14.	John Kiura vs Faulu Microfinance Bank	Financial and Insurance activities	The complainant alleged to have taken a loan in 2013 from Faulu Bank which he fully settled in April 2018. He was later informed by the bank that he had not settled Ksh. 20,000. He visited the Bank and was assured that it was a system error. After sometime, he was informed by the bank that his employer had delayed to repay the amount which had at the time accrued interest. He was later listed at the CRB.	Section 56 (1), 2 (a) and 3	The Authority wrote a Notice of Investigations to Faulu, and the complainant later informed the Authority that he was withdrawing the case since the matter was resolved amicably. The Authority received confirmation from Faulu Microfinance Bank that the matter was resolved and closed the matter.
15.	Maren Okoth vs Amaron Batteries	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant bought a car battery from Motor Rank Enterprises Limited but within two weeks, she noticed that the battery was draining too fast. She took it back to Motor Rank twice but they never sorted the issue and advised her to take it to Amaron offices in	Sections 55 (a) (i), (b) (v), 56 (1), (2) (a) (d) (e), 63 (1) (d) (e) & 64	The Authority investigated the matter, and noted that the complainant received assistance on the matter from the main Amaron office in India. She expressed her wish to withdraw the matter as it had been resolved amicably. The matter was then closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			Nairobi who did not resolve the matter.		
16.	John Kiptum vs NIC Bank	Financial and Insurance activities	The complainant alleged that he owed the bank two loans, a car loan and a personal loan. When he fell into arrears, the bank sent him a repossession notice. He paid some amount to his account and instructed that the amount be used to settle the car loan, however the bank opted to offset the personal loan instead.	Section 56	After further investigation, it was established that the complainant was in arrears. The complainant later confirmed that the matter had been resolved as he cleared all the pending arrears. The matter was then closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
17.	Wambui Ngimeria Maina vs Jumia Ltd	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant alleged that she purchased two FIFA 2020 PlayStation video games. However, Jumia Ltd failed to deliver the items as promised. Instead, Jumia Ltd changed the delivery dates without her knowledge and still failed to deliver the items.	Section 56 (1)(2)(a)	After further enquiry from the complainant regarding the matter, she confirmed that she was refunded and did not wish to pursue the matter. The matter was then closed.
18.	Joseph Ngare vs Sairam Supermarkets	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The Complainant alleged that he bought a rice cooker from Sairam Supermarket which was not working. Attempts to secure reimbursement allegedly failed.	Sections 55 (a) (i), (b) (ii) (v), 56 (1) (2) (a), 63 and 64	Despite several requests for further information from the Authority, the complainant failed to provide evidence required to proceed with the investigation, The Authority therefore closed the matter.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
19.	Mary Kamau vs Jumia Kenya	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant purchased a TV model Vision plus 43 inch curved from Jumia on 3rd September, 2019, and the agent told her the TV had a one (1) year warranty. The TV did not function as expected which was returned for repair but never functioned even after the repair.	Sections 55 (a)(v) and b(v), 56(1), (2) (a) (e),	After intervention from the Authority, the complainant confirmed that her matter was resolved. The matter was closed.
20.	David Mwaura vs Bolt Kenya	Transportation and Storage	He requested for a ride on 20th September, 2019 through the Bolt App which was successful and he was picked up from his residence. The total cost for the trip was Ksh.200 which was discounted to Ksh.160 but deduction made on visa card account were totaling to Ksh.800. He raised the issue with Bolt but his ticket was closed and no help was offered.	Section 56 (2) (a) (d)	The complainant was requested to provide necessary information such as bank statement to confirm the deductions, which he failed to provide despite several reminders. The Authority closed the matter for failure by the complainant to supply supporting documentation.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
21.	Julius Onyango vs Stanbic Bank	Financial and Insurance activities	Complainant applied for a car loan facility with Stanbic Bank in December, 2018. The vehicle was officially released to him on 19th December, 2018. He failed to remit monthly repayments as required which led to the bank sending auctioneers who towed the car. He was required to meet the towing and yard parking charges plus repay the outstanding balance due to the bank, which he was disputing.	Section 56(1), (2) (a) (b), (3)	The Authority closed the case after reviewing a response from Stanbic Bank which confirmed that the complainant had been informed about the eventualities of repossession and the attendant cost.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
22.	Ciru Kariuki vs Total Kenya	Media/Advertising	She stopped at Total petrol station in Hurlingham area to fuel and get her car washed. Later, she discovered two large stickers at the rear end of her car which were promoting Total Quartz oil. Her consent was not sought before the promotional stickers were affixed on her car.	N/A	The case did not fall within the mandate of the Authority. The complainant was referred to the Advertising Standards Body of Kenya and the matter closed.
23.	Anonymous vs manufacturer of Eco Gas Saver	Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	The complainant alleged that the accused was misleading consumers that the consumption of gas reduced when you affix the eco gas saver to the gas cylinder. He further alleged that there can be no chemical reaction between the gas cylinder and the plastic containing the gas saver liquid. He indicated that the offending advertisements were in platforms such as YouTube and Google search engine.	Section 55(i)(ii)	The Authority investigated the matter and also consulted with the Kenya Bureau of Standards and Energy and Petroleum Regulatory Authority (EPRA). It was established that Eco Gas Saver was not licensed to operate in Kenya, and its products had not obtained regulatory approvals. The Authority further engaged Google LLC and You Tube LLC requiring them to remove the

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
					offending advertisements. The links were removed and the matter closed.
24.	Lennah Abbygael vs Nairobi Bottlers Ltd (NBL)	Manufacturing	The Authority investigated allegations regarding contaminated Keringet water bottle bought by the complainant	Sections 59 (1) (a), (2) (a), 63 and 64	After investigating the matter including interrogating the bottle, it was established that the water bottle did not have batch numbers, essential in undertaking product tracing. The Authority closed the investigation and informed the complainant that the absence of markings such as batch number would not provide the source of the product, necessary to investigate the product.
25.	Sammy Ndolo Vs Nairobi Bottlers Ltd (NBL)	Manufacturing	The Authority investigated allegations against NBL regarding contaminated Keringet water bottle bought by the complainant	Sections 59 (1) (a), (2) (a), 63 and 64	After investigating the matter including interrogating the bottle, it was established that the water bottle did not have batch numbers,

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
					essential in undertaking product tracing. The Authority closed the investigation and informed the complainant that the absence of markings such as batch number would not provide the source of the product, necessary to investigate the product.
26.	CAK vs Italian Gelati Food Products	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations against Italian Gelati for allegedly labelling its yoghurt as being 100% veg.	Section 55 (a)(i)	The Authority sent a Notice of investigation to Italian Gelati Food Products who in their submissions, satisfactorily demonstrated that the yoghurt was 100% veg. The matter was then closed.
27.	CAK vs. HP Laptops	Information and Communicatio n	Following a trigger via intelligence search, the Authority noted that HP recalled more than 100,000 laptop batteries, worldwide due to overheating.	Section 59	The Authority engaged HP Kenya, and they submitted information indicating that 50% of the affected batteries had been replaced. Further, it was noted that the recall was open-ended and thus the

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
					Authority continues to monitor the market for complaints that may arise regarding the HP batteries.
28.	Edna Karimi Vs Zuku	Information and communication	The complainant alleged that she paid for installation of internet services from Zuku but they never did the installation and have refused to refund her the money.	Section 56	The complainant was requested to provide evidence of payment for the installation service, which she never did despite several reminders. The matter was closed due to lack of evidence
29.	Wilson Nguyo Vs Kenya Commercial Bank (KCB)	Financial and insurance services	The complainant alleged that he borrowed Ksh. 50,000.00 from KCB-Mpesa and repaid a day after the set timeline. He was charged a penalty of 5% which he felt was unjustified.	Section 56	The Authority engaged KCB and the penalty was waived by the bank. The complainant was satisfied with the decision. The matter was thus closed.
30.	Heather Kanana Njue vs Spirtzcolour an Online Instagram Store	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant ordered for a pair of shoes worth Ksh. 5,800 from Spritzcolour. Inquired on the progress of delivery by writing a direct message to Spritzcolour but was told they will neither deliver the shoe nor offer a refund.	Sections 55 (b) (v) and 56 (1) (2) (a) (d) and (e)	The Authority established that the matter was a scam and advised the complainant to report the matter to the Directorate of Criminal Investigation (DCI). The matter was closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
31.	Annemarie Musawale Vs Jumia Kenya	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant ordered and paid for Sandalwood soap which was not delivered and refund not made.	Section 56 (1) (2) (a) (d)	After intervention of the Authority, the complainant was refunded her money, and the matter was closed.
32.	Everlyne Taabu vs Kapi Ltd	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant purchased Dudu Krin dog shampoo and after using the product, she developed burns on her hands. She alleged that she has used the product before and did not experience burns.	Sections 59 (1) (a), (2) (a) (b) (c) (3) (b), 60 (1) (7) (a) (b)	After further investigation and consulting with Kenya Bureau of Standards (KEBS), it was established that they were not able to undertake testing of the product to determine whether it contains harmful ingredients. However, the Veterinary and Medicines Directorate (VMD) have a mandate of ensuring quality standards in the use of veterinary medicines. The matter was forwarded to VMD for further investigation and the complainant informed. The case was closed

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
33.	Kipkurui Kosgei Vs Motor Hut Ltd	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant alleged that he deposited Ksh. 60,000 with the accused, with the intention of buying a vehicle from him. However, upon inspection by a mechanic, the vehicle was found to be defective. The accused was not willing to refund him his money.	Section 56 (1), (2)(a)	The Authority wrote a Notice of Investigation to the accused. Following a meeting held with Motor Hut Ltd, they refunded the complainant his money. They were also required to amend their template sales agreement to provide a timeline within which a customer should confirm purchase or decline, after which they get their deposit back or risk losing the deposit. The matter was then closed
34.	CAK vs Del Monte Kenya	Manufacturing	The Authority initiated investigations into claims that Del Monte Kenya has made regarding its products namely Del monte Apple Juice and Del monte Pineapple juice that the products are 100% natural juices.	Sections 55 (a) (i) and 59 (1) (a) and (2) (a)	It was established that Del Monte had failed to indicate on the labelling that the Apple Juice was made from concentrate. Del Monte opted to enter into a settlement with the Authority pursuant to section 38 of the Act.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
					They also amended their labels to reflect that the juices are made from concentrate. The Authority closed the matter.
35.	Julia Njeri vs City Walk	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant bought shoes from City Walk worth Ksh. 10,000 which peeled on the first day of being worn.	Section 55 (a)(i) and 56 (1)(2)(a)(e).	The Authority sent a Notice of Investigation to City Walk and they responded that they were consulting with the supplier. They later refunded the complainant in full. The case was then closed.
36.	Chetan Amlani vs Denko Properties	Construction	The complainant alleged that Denko Properties had failed to honour a contract to construct greenhouses in his piece of land and were unwilling to refund him his investment money.	N/A	The Authority closed the matter since the matter does not fall within its mandate. The complainant was advised accordingly.
37.	David Herbling vs Carrefour	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant alleged that a clause in Carrefour's terms and condition under the loyalty scheme which sets a minimum balance of 10,000 points as a basis to be eligible	Section 56 (1), (2)(b)	The Authority closed the matter since the matter does not fall within its mandate. The complainant was advised accordingly.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			to redeem the points infringes on consumer rights.		
38.	Jacob Okelloh vs Kilimall	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant bought a bluetooth sound bar speaker which upon delivery, did not match the descriptions as advertised on the Kilimall website.	Section 55 (a)(i)	The Authority sent a Notice of Investigation and Kilimall resolved the matter. The complainant confirmed that he was satisfied with the way the matter was and the matter was closed.
39.	Francisca Owuor vs Royal Mabati Limited	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	She ordered for 600 pieces of iron sheets, from Royal Mabati Limited and made payment. She was however, promised that delivery would happen within seven days. Delivery did not happen and until the time of reporting the case, no communication had been made about the issue.	Sections 55 (a)(ii) 56 (1) 2(a) (b) (d) and (e)	In the process of investigations, the complainant confirmed to the Authority that her materials had been delivered and she was satisfied.
40.	Kevin Isika vs Kilimall	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor	The complainant ordered and paid for Christmas gifts which were not delivered.	Sections 55 (1) (ii) , 56 (1) (2) (a) (b) (d)(e)	The matter was closed following a confirmation of refund from the complainant.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
		vehicle and motorcycles			
41.	Carole Muthaura vs Victoria Courts	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant ordered and paid for two mattresses that were delivered, but were not compatible with the beds. The seller was not willing to replace them.	Sections 55 (1) (i) 56 (1) (2)(a)(b)&(d)	After the intervention of the Authority the complainant confirmed replacement of the mattresses. The case was closed.
42.	Lydia Seurei vs Jambo Jet	Transportation and storage	She booked for a return air ticket from Nairobi to Eldoret, however, she could not travel due to the Presidential Directive regarding cessation of movement due to Covid-19. She requested her tickets to be kept open which was declined by the service provider and was charged cancellation charges.	Section 56(1) (2) (a) (b) &(d)	The matter was resolved following intervention by the Authority as Jambo Jet agreed to keep the tickets open for use within one year. The matter was closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
43.	Ben Harris vs Bei Nafuu Business Centre	Transport and Storage	The complainant allegedly hired a Toyota Premio from Bei Nafuu and after fuelling it, it broke down. He was issued with a RAV4, which he also had to fuel. He was promised a refund of the fuel used on the Premio worth Ksh.3000, but even after several follow ups, this did not happen.		After the intervention of the Authority, the complainant was refunded his money. The matter was closed.
44.	Lucy Wanjiku Maina vs Gakuyo Investments	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant had deposited Ksh. 2.1 Million with Gakuyo Real Estate and she had requested to withdraw her savings but never received a response from Gakuyo.	Section 56	The complainant was informed about the fact the same matter was actively being investigated by the DCI on the issue, and was advised to lodge the complaint with them.
45.	Josephat Nyamwange vs Salama Pharmaceuticals	Retail Sector	The complainant bought a hand wash soap at Ksh.1400, which had been sold to him at Ksh.800 the previous week.	Section 56	The complainant did not have sufficient evidence in terms of purchase receipt to support his allegations and the matter was closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
46.	Hillary Kipchumba Kipkoech vs Platinum Credit Ltd	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant alleged that his loan balance of Ksh. 135,000 was increasing rather than reducing yet he had been remitting monthly instalments on time. He alleged that Platinum Credit Ltd did not disclose all the facts regarding interest rate and other charges, especially on early repayment of the loan.	Section 56 (1), (2) (a), (c) (d) (e) (3) & (4).	After investigation, it was established that the complainant had been made aware of the interest rates and charges through the Terms and Conditions. The Terms indicated that in the event of early loan repayment, loan administration fees will be charged. The Authority found no violation of the Act and case was closed.
47.	Centre for Corporate Governance vs Nego International Limited	Other service activities	Centre for Corporate Governance alleged that Nego International Ltd were misleading consumers by putting false information on their website regarding the history of the institution and their ability to undertake corporate governance courses.	Section 55 (a) (ii), (v) (vi)	The matter was closed after the Authority established that it does not lie within its mandate. The complainant was advised to forward the matter to DCI.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
48.	Fred Obade vs Rocaffe Restaurant	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant visited Rocaffe Restaurant and paid his bill amounting to Ksh. 4,350 via his debit card. However, the transaction was swiped twice. Despite several reminders, the restaurant failed to refund the money.	Section 56 (1) (2) (a) and (d)	The matter was resolved on intervention by the Authority as Rocaffe Restaurant refunded the complainant. The matter was closed.
49.	Dennis Gachoka vs Spire Bank	Financial and Insurance activities	Complainant alleged that he had secured a loan worth Ksh. 4,200,000 with Spire Bank before leaving the bank where he had been servicing the facility without default. Spire Bank had his name listed with a Credit Reference Bureau.	Section 56 (1), (2) (a) (d) & (e)	The matter was resolved on intervention by the Authority as Spire Bank further indicated that his credit status was updated to reflect that he was not a defaulter.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
50.	Eustace Nderitu vs Jambo Jet	Transportation and storage	The complainant booked a oneway flight from Mombasa to Nairobi scheduled for 19th March, 2020, via Jambo Jet. He requested Jambo Jet to open the tickets for travel at a later date, following the Presidential Directive on cessation of movement due to Covid-19 but Jambo Jet indicated that they could only do that for tickets cancelled seven (7) days before travel date.	Section 56 (1) (2) (a) (c) and (d)	The Authority engaged Jambo Jet and later the complainant notified the Authority that they had agreed to cancel his booking without any penalty and allowed the full amount to be used later (up to 6 months). The case was closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
51.	Fredrick Koskei vs Minet Limited	Financial and Insurance activities	The complainant alleged that Minet's Medical cover does not allow change of beneficiary until the end of contract period and that Minet Limited can only add new born or remove a beneficiary with the limit being 4 beneficiaries. He considered it to be unfair to the consumers since they should be allowed to remove and add a beneficiary at least every year and not five years as it is now.	Sections 55 (a) (v), 56 (1) (2) (a) and (d)	The complainant was asked to seek redress from his employer the Teachers Service Commission (TSC). The matter was closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
52.	Shisha Boo vs Magunas	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant alleged that her mother went to Magunas Super Store to purchase Fields of Africa hand sanitizer (60ml) amongst other things, which was retailing at Ksh. 145. However, when the complainant went to purchase the same hand sanitizer the price had increased to Ksh. 155.	Section 56(1) (2) (a) (d)(e)	The Authority established that there was no violation of the Act since the prices adjusted upwards occasioned by the increased demand for sanitizers due to the pronouncement of the first case of Covid-19 in Kenya. It was also established that the price increase was not unconscionable. The matter was closed
53.	Ken Karanja vs Kibo Africa	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant purchased a motor bike and was promised handle bars and the back plate, which were never delivered	Sections 55 (a) (v) 56 (2) (a) (e)	The Authority sent a Notice of Investigation and Kibo Africa responded that they were experiencing challenges with obtaining the items. The complainant later confirmed that he had received the items, and the matter was closed.
54.	Andrew Wanjiru vs Showmax	Information and	He subscribed for a promotion being run by Showmax, Get 3 months' subscription for the price of	Section 55(a)	After further review, it was established that there was additional information he required

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
		Communication	1 month. After paying, he got only one month and when he complained to Showmax, he was informed it was only for selected customers.		to subscribe. The complainant was also not sure if he had followed the instructions required for subscription to the promotion. The matter was therefore closed.
55.	Allan Chacha vs Modern Coast Bus Company	Transportation and Storage	Allan Chacha's family was travelling from Nairobi to Isebania town on 16th March, 2020 at 8pm, the bus carried his wife and daughter but left his sister at the bus station together with her luggage; this made him to incur more travel costs.	N/A	Complainant was advised to lodge the complaint with NTSA. The mater was closed.
56.	Patrick Okoti vs Bob Morgan Sacco.	Administrative and support service activities	Bob Morgan Services Limited terminated the employment services of the complainant on 29th September, 2018, allegedly on disciplinary grounds. He had saved with Bob Morgan Sacco Ksh. 209,244.00, which he was to be paid	Sections 56(1), (2)(a)(d)	Through the Authority's intervention, the complainant confirmed in writing that Bob Morgan Sacco had paid him Ksh. 209,244.00 owed to him. The matter was closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			upon separating with his employer, but they were not willing to do so.		
57.	Francis Gitahi vs Mini Bakeries	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant bought a Supa Loaf bread on 13th March, 2020 from one of the Mini Bakeries' distributors, he noticed that the bread had a dead cockroach. He also alleged that that he had received dirty bread from Mini Bakeries.	N/A	The complainant was advised to lodge quality related issues of Supa Loaf bread with KEBS. The matter was closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
58.	Apollo & Co Advocates and Other vs KPLC	Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	The complainant alleged that in the month of November/December 2017, several consumers started receiving inflated power bills from Kenya Power He added that Kenya Power was recovering Ksh. 8.1 Billion backdated bills from electricity consumers allegedly incurred on diesel generation in the year 2017, but were not factored in the monthly charges. There is a court injunction instructing Kenya Power to stop and cease the billing of electricity consumers with inflated backdated electricity bills.	Sections 24(2)(a), 55 (b)(i), 56(2), 57(2), 56(3), (4) and 57 (i)	The Authority closed the matter as it was later established that the same was also pending before a Court of Law. The complainant was advised accordingly.
59.	Dr. Gergely Jandrasits vs Africa Impact	Accommodation and Food Service Activities	The complainant alleged he visited Kenya as a tourist under a package offered by the accused. The accused is domiciled in The Republic of South Africa. He however was not happy with the services provided.	Section 55(a)(ii), 56 (1)	The matter was officially forwarded to National Consumer Commission of South Africa for further investigation since the accused is domiciled in South Africa. The complainant was

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			He was later refunded a smaller amount of money compared to his companions under the same package.		informed of the same and the matter closed.
60.	Analita Wanjiru vs BIMAS Kenya Limited	Financial and insurance services	She took a loan of Ksh. 3.8 Million from BIMAS Kenya Limited and defaulted which resulted in the piece of land that had been offered as collateral to be put up for auctioning.	Section 56 (1)	It was established that there was no contravention of the Act. The matter was closed.
61.	Jonathan Mbui vs Britam Insurance	Financial and Insurance Services	He lodged a complainant that his retirement benefits had been channelled to Britam Insurance for annuity purchase without his knowledge.	N/A	The case does not lie within the mandate of the Authority and complainant was referred to Retirement Benefits Authority (RBA). The matter was closed.
62.	CAK VS Troy Medicare	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant indicated that Troy Medicare Pharmacy had hiked the price of Hand Sanitizers from Ksh. 600 to between Ksh. 1500 and 1800 per 500 ml bottle.	Section 56 (1), (2) (a) & (d)	The case was closed after the complainant failed to respond to the Authority's several requests to provide supportive documentations of the purchase.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
63.	Peter Wangera Vs Best Lady Outlets-Nakuru	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant indicated that there was almost a triple price hike of spray bottles at Bestlady outlets in Nakuru. That is, on 16th March, 2020, the prices of the spray bottles were at Ksh. 130 and on 17th March, 2020, the prices rose to Ksh. 250.	Section 56 (1), (2) (a) & (d)	The case was closed after the complainant failed to respond to the Authority's several requests to provide evidence of purchase.
64.	Tonnie Mello Vs Safaricom Plc	Information and Communication	The complainant applied and paid for Fixed Data Internet service in January 2019, after Safaricom Plc. conducted a survey of his premises. He alleged that Safaricom Plc. claimed they could not provide the service to his business and refused to refund him the 5,750 paid for that service despite numerous reminders over the course of 1 year.	Section 56 (1), (2) (a) (d) & (e)	The case was closed after the complainant failed to respond to the Authority's several requests to provide evidence of payment.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
65.	Charity Cheruto Kipkorir vs Kenya Commercial Bank	Financial and insurance services	The complainant alleged that she was deducted Ksh. 29,000.00 from her account by the bank, the deduction allegedly being partial recovery for a certain transaction yet she did not have any debt with the bank.	Section 56(1)	Upon the Authority's intervention, the complainant was refunded her money by the bank, and case was closed.
66.	Tom Amadi vs Fadhili	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant alleged that he took a mobile loan from Fadhili Mobile on 20th September 2019 which was repayable in 4 months and the first payment due on 27th September 2019. He however, received threats from Fadhili a few hours after taking the loan that his loan was in default and that his name would be negatively listed with CRB. He further alleged that Fadhili were not reachable and was therefore forced to repay the loan in order to avoid further harassment.	Section 56	The case was closed after the complainant failed to submit evidence despite several reminders

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
67.	Alberto Estrada vs Kenya Airways	Transportation Services	The complainant together with two other persons purchased tickets to fly by KQ to three destinations on different dates. KQ unilaterally cancelled the first scheduled flight and postponed the second one hence the complainants were not able to use the tickets. KQ refunded the full amount paid for the tickets but the complainants were claiming damages up to a tune of USD 15,585.00 for costs associated with accommodation.	Sections 55 & 56	After review of the matter, the Authority noted that the complainant had been fully refunded by Kenya Airways, and he was seeking compensation for other costs. The complainant was referred to the Kenya Civil Aviation Authority (KCAA). The matter was closed.
68.	Ezekiel Oriedo vs StarTimes Kenya	Communications	The complainant accused Startimes of running misleading advertisements in the form of promotional text messages. He alleged that he was promised a one month free service upon renewal of his subscription. After renewing, he	Section 55(a)(v)	Further investigations revealed that Startimes did not engage in any conduct that was in violation of the Act. The matter was closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			claims to have not benefited from the offer.		
69.	Catherine Gitahi vs Mibisco Ltd	Manufacturing	The complainant bought cakes that had a cockroach baked with it. She raised a complaint with the seller who referred her to the manufacturer but no redress was given.	Sections 55(a)(i), 56 (1) and 59(1)	The Authority in its investigation requested for further information in order to proceed with the investigation. However, the complainant failed to provide sufficient evidence. The matter was closed.
70.	Patrick Kariuki vs Jumia	E-Commerce	The complainant alleged that he placed an order for a finger print and card issuance machine with Jumia but they delivered a faulty device. Jumia agreed to give a replacement within a month but later informed the complainant to	Sections 55(a)(i)(v), 56(i), 63 and 64	After the Authority's intervention, the complainant confirmed that he was refunded his money amounting to Ksh 13,999 and the matter closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			wait for the arrival of a new version of the device which the complainant claims was not going to meet his needs. When he asked for a refund, he was informed that he could only be issued with a credit note for the refund.		
71.	Davies and Davies vs Westgate	Retail	The complainant alleged that he bought a dress for his wife but the dress was not in good shape and of the wrong size. The accused refused to accept the dress back when he returned it to be exchanged.	Section 55 (a)(v) and 56 (b)(v)	The complainant was requested to provide further information necessary to proceed with investigations. However, since he failed to provide the information, the Authority proceeded to close the matter.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
72.	Geoffrey Wainaina vs Get Bucks Kenya	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant alleged he took a loan at Get Bucks Kenya. He alleges that the loan amount was increasing rather than reducing.	Section 56(2)(c)(d)(e) (3) and (4)	The Authority sent a Notice of Investigations to Get Bucks Kenya requiring the company to respond to the allegations. However, Get Bucks wrote to the Authority indicating they had met with the complainant and agreed to resolve the matter amicably. Get Bucks also opted to settle the matter under section 38 of the Act. They were penalized Ksh. 19,800 representing 10% of the loan amount, as well as resolving the matter with the complainant. The Authority sensitized Get Bucks on the provisions of the Act, and the matter was closed.
73.	Sammy Muriuki vs Platinum Credit Kenya Limited.	Financial and Insurance activities	The complainant alleged that the loan interest charged was not the same as the one he was informed at the point of loan application. He	Section 56 (1), (2) (a), (c) (d) (e) (3) and (4).	After investigations by the Authority, it was established that the complainant was aware of the terms and conditions of the loan,

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
			alleged that the charges were inflated after repossession of the vehicle and imposition of bank charges.		including the charges. The Authority established that there was no violation to the provisions of the Act. The matter was closed.
74.	Abraham Marangu Vs Harambee Sacco	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant took a loan in 2011, and the SACCO revised the monthly instalment without his knowledge.	Section 56 (1), (2) (a), (d) (3) and (4)	In the course of investigations, it was noted that the complainant had not paid off some loans he had with other facilities, thus jeopardizing repayments at Harambee Sacco. It was established that the Sacco had not violated any of the provisions of the Act, and the matter was thus closed.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
75.	Kennedy & Gloria Manyonyi vs Stanbic Bank.	Financial and insurance activities	The complainant alleged that he got a mortgage facility of Ksh.12,000,000.00 in 2008 from Stanbic Bank to purchase a maisonette. The loan was to be repaid over a period of 20 years. However, some key terms & conditions had been changed by the bank without prior notification to him.	Sections 55(b)(i), 56 (1), (2)(a)(b)(d), (3)	The Authority held a meeting with the complainant and advised that the Authority cannot investigate the matter since the matter is before a court of law. The matter was closed.
76.	Issac Odera vs KSM	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicle and motorcycles	The complainant bought a 32-inch TV set, after three days the TV volume stopped working. He sought for assistance from the seller but he was not assisted. He wanted the Authority to assist him get a replacement or refund from the seller.	Sections 55 (a) (i) b(v), 56(1), 56(2) (a) (e) 59(1) (a), 63 & 64	After intervention by the Authority, the complainant indicated that his TV had been repaired by the accused party.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
77.	Jeffrey Cherogony vs Faulu Microfinance Bank	Banking Sector	The complainant bought a vehicle on hire purchase agreement from Faulu Microfinance Bank on 3rd October, 2016. He was advanced Ksh. 1,260,000.00 at an interest rate of 14% fixed rate repayable within 36 months with monthly instalment of Ksh. 50,157.00. The vehicle was later repossessed due to non-payments.	Section 56 (1), (2) (a), (d) (3) and (4).	The complainant was requested for information necessary to proceed with the investigations, however, despite several reminders, he did not submit the information. The Authority therefore closed the matter.
78.	Christopher Juma vs Tahmeed Coach	Transport Sector	The complainant was to travel from Voi to Nairobi. He booked online and he received a message confirming booking and payment. On arriving at the office he was informed he cannot travel that day until 2 days later, or the only way he could travel is if he pays an additional Ksh.300.	Section 56 (1) (2) (a) (b) (d) and (e) of the Act	After investigations into the matter, it was established that the complainant had presented himself earlier than the day he was supposed to travel. The matter was closed as the accused had not violated the Act.

CLOSED CASES					
No.	**CASE**	**SECTOR**	**CASE SUMMARY**	**RELEVANT SECTION OF THE ACT**	**CASE STATUS**
79.	Gideon Tarus vs Safaricom Plc.	Telecommunication Sector,	The complainant alleged that Safaricom deactivated his Mpesa accounts and blocked him from registering any new Safaricom line using his ID.	Section 56	After further investigations, it was established that the matter was fraud related and therefore Safaricom Plc is liaising with the DCI. The matter was therefore closed.
80.	Mostafa Nabawy vs Bata Kenya	**Retail Sector**	The complainant purchased a pair of shoes from Bata in Westside Mall, Nakuru for his mother but they were big in size. When he returned them they declined to accept them or refund him.	Section 56(1)(2)(a)	The complainant was refunded the money after intervention by the Authority. The matter was closed.
81.	Daniel Wainaina Ngunyi vs Direct Line Insurance	**Insurance**	The complainant claimed that he acquired a six months third party policy for his vehicle at a cost of Kshs 50, 000 from Directline Assurance but later discovered that it was for only three weeks.	Sections 55(a)(ii)(v) and 56 (1), (2) (a)(b)(c)(d) (e), (3) and (4)	In the course of investigations, it was established that the complainant had been advised to pay for an annual policy by Directline Assurance. The complainant later informed the Authority that he had decided to follow the advice and requested that the matter be closed.